

1/19/70

Dear Gris,

The serious flaw in your recollection prompts me to drop everything and make immediate, if brief, response to your letter of the 12th.

The story of the warehouse is anything but that Ivon owned it. Ivon is as clean as they come, and wholesome, including in his personal life. He is a very "good cop", an honest man, etc. I am fond of him and respect him.

Garrison has asked me why he could get no information out of a certain very popular watering place heavily attended by the far-out young and roughest type. He said he had concluded there was nothing to be gotten from there because Louis was either a friend of or knew the owner. My own check produced an explanation from someone living close to that place: above this bar was a fancy warehouse. Garrison's reaction was genuine surprise and disbelief, for he quite sincerely believed he had closed all of them. Matter of fact, this is the only one of which I ever did hear in N.O. My own association with Ivon convinces me it is impossible for him to have any such taint. He just is not that kind of guy. And he is so very hard-working, believe me. The taxpayers cannot begin to pay him for the house he puts in.

While Garrison and I have had very serious disagreements, and some are pretty basic, and while we have barely spoken for several years because he prefers it that way, I do not believe any of the tenuous stories about his alleged Mafia connections. The fact is that he has under indictment a member of the family involved, at least one indictment, of a man whose name is identical with that of the one from whom he either bought his house or his neighbor, as I recall from the stories in the magazines. It is the kind of a case in which he could easily have avoided an indictment, involving the sale of stolen property.

That whole area is so confused and obfuscated, so larded with illegitimate criticism (where legitimate was available and eschewed), I do, strongly, caution you to be more than usually careful with what you write and have someone else check it before publication.

There is nothing new of which I know for we are next to entirely out of contact. As of my last contact, their only interest was the pending Shaw perjury case. Here, for my own reasons, I agree with them. He did perjure himself and he was not alone in so doing. I think I have a better case than Garrison alleged.

For your understanding of Garrison and the Mafia, they would be stupid to violate the law in his jurisdiction because they have so many very profitable legitimate businesses operating there from which they make so much money. Why risk it? Especially with an independent man like him? All that activity is in the next parish, Jefferson. This is outside his jurisdiction. And I doubt Mafia involvement in the assassination. I know of nothing to justify, "If there was a conspiracy that killed President Kennedy...the Cosa Nostra should have been a prime suspect". Not a single thing. Nor do I know of anything to indicate, leave alone prove, that Jim "was in close terms with Marcello". In a place like New Orleans, where they have their own folkways and mores, standards of political conduct that would not be accepted elsewhere, this would be anything but unusual. But I have no reason to believe it nor ~~xxx~~ have I heard even a whisper of it.

I lament the fragmentation with you but anticipate no change and visualize no possibility. Things have happened to fine people, who do miserable things without awareness and thinking it high principle. Even Penn, who is now utterly irrational. Desperately broke as I am, for example, he acknowledges owing me money, refuses to pay it (and he sees it), will not even let me take it out in trade to get copies of the 26 and the DCA film from him to give students anxious to help us. When someone like Penn is capable of this (and refusing to respond to mail on it), is there any hope of any change for the better? He actually, really believes I am some kind of agent. To him, this justifies anything he may do.

Most of the others who have dropped out, of those who had public associations, represent no loss, for their activity was in many respects very hurtful because they were both irresponsible and dishonest in too many cases.

However, there is still a small band of us continuing work. - have four books completed, copyrighted in xerox editions I cannot get printed, and I keep plugging away. I have much more significant materials that I once dreamed we could ever get. And whether or not I can bring these books out - going further in debt to do it is impossible- I am keeping working on new work.

Out of times. Best to you all,

Sincerely,

Griscom Morgan

Rt. 1, Box 275

Yellow Springs,

Ohio 45387

January 12, 1970

Dear Harold Weisberg:

The last time I communicated with you was just before the trial in New Orleans last year. Since the trial I have not been in communication with much of anyone about the Kennedy assassination matters. At long last an article I wrote in which I made a brief summary of the subject while using it as evidence about something else has aroused the interest of an editor, and I was asked to bring it up-to-date. So I thought I had better ask you if there is anything particularly new that you know of.

What you told me when you were here about Garrison's response when you told him that Ivon had an interest in a whorehouse -- or something to that effect -p- came to my mind when I read the Look article of September 26th of last year in which the author wrote "If it was a conspiracy that killed President Kennedy. . . the Cosa Nostra should have been a prime suspect", and he goes on to assemble evidence that Garrison was in close terms with Marcello and his Cosa Nostra associates. So I wonder how all this looks to you after these months since the trial at New Orleans.

The fragmentation of the fellowship and cooperation between all those who had worked on the case has concerned me. Is it possible that this can be overcome?

With best wishes, *Gris*

Griscom Morgan