

9/16/67

Dear Mr. Morgan,

Thanks for your letter of 9/11/ The New Orleans book is being published by Parallax. Your bookstore will be able to get it through normal channels if he is willing to go to whatever extra trouble this will be, for S&S, which normally distributes Parallax, will not touch this subject. The bookstore price will be about \$1.00. The \$1.50 is a mail price only. I feel that if effective distribution can be arranged, the book will sell well. It will not include the more than 300 pages of documentation I have already assembled into an appendix. If the book does well enough in a cheap edition, Parallax has promised to do it in a larger, more permanent one, with the documents, which will make it a very large and also an expensive book. If I were not so deep in debt, I would have done this myself.

On the photos of the western end of the 6th. floor, I regret that I forgot to include the reference. I am trying to do too much to include all of them. I'm now finishing up the fifth book, so I'm still pressed for time. There are a number of photos scattered throughout the record that do show this. I recall ~~Billis~~ Willis 12. If I had not forgotten the statement of the Report, I'd really have listed the pictures showing the contrary.

Wish also I'd have known of the radio program in time to hear it. My own belief is that the only thing that will prevent Jim from bringing the Shaw case to trial will be legal maneuvering or error. I fear, deeply, the unwise things Mark Lane did down there. The judges had unfavorable comment to make. The bar association, which he addressed while I was there, gave him a letter in advance banning any comment on the trial and case, for he had no independent knowledge. There has been a real campaign of this sort on, with the local ACLU taking an unseamy part. They made false charges against me and when I proved them false remained mute rather than correcting a damaging error. Instead of scolding off I refused comment on anything that transpired before the grand jury.

I do not agree with Vince. We have done our part-more. But that is not enough and we cannot resign from the world, or Jim's support. He can lose the case on technicalities, you know. Also, his is but a facet. As you will see, I have continued my work and am going further in debt to publish it. I expect the book I'm working on to be out in about a month unless I go on a speaking tour. We must not stop, especially when there has been such a counter campaign.

Thanks for your good wishes. Please pardon the undue haste. I never catch up, never get done.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Route 1, Box 275
Yellow Springs, Ohio 45387
September 11, 1967

Harold Weisberg
Hyattstown, Md. 20734

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

In inclose check for \$1.50 for one copy of Oswald in New Orleans. I am glad to see that it is now available, and if it seems to be what people will buy (as our bookstore felt the price would be prohibitive with your other books) I will try to get this an outlet in our bookstore.

I do wish you could give a copy of photograph or citation of source to prove that the window Arnold Rowland asserts he saw a rifleman at was open as you assert on page 78, third paragraph in Whitewash II. Of course this directly contradicts the assertion of the Warren Report on page 78 (N.Y. Times Edition), "the far east corner of the sixth floor of the Depository, the only open window on that floor." I have been surprised that you have not taken that statement specifically to task and given documentation for your assertion that the window was open. I realize that some of the films you could not reproduce because of copyright. But a specific reference to the film would be in order to support your statement.

A member of the staff of the Yellow Springs News, that has been following Penn Jones' work with approval and interest, reported that a radio program early this morning had carried a half hour on Garrison's work, asserting that because of obstruction of federal and other state authorities Jim might not be able to bring his case to trial. I had been counting on this as a next step and so had not been exerting myself over the case of late. As Vince Salandria said to me in the spring, "We've done our part and now we can leave it to Jim Garrison." Perhaps we cannot, after all, and must get going again --with the ammunition you have been giving us.

A few weeks ago the legislative worker for the Friends Committee on National Legislation was hereabouts and I went over the case with him, and was surprised that he had no inkling of what was developing, had been lulled into complaisance by the slander against Garrison. He seemed impressed with what I was able to report -- leaning much on your work. This kind of endeavor can do much if we work on it harder.

Best wishes,

fraternally,


Griscom Morgan