

May 5, 1967

Mr. Griscom Morgan  
Route 1, Box 275  
Yellow Springs, Ohio 45387

Dear Mr. Morgan:

Sorry I do not have time for an adequate reply. I have just finished the rough draft of my book, CIA WHITEWASH: OSWALD IN NEW ORLEANS and am behind schedule on MANCHESTER MACHIAVELLI: THE UNINTENDED UNOFFICIAL WHITEWASH and WHITEWASH III, each of which is partly written. It will be at least two months before WHITEWASH III is completed. This is not a reflection of my concept of importance but of timing.

I am in touch with Garrison and with Novel in Ohio it is possible your papers may contain information that does not appear elsewhere. I would appreciate it if you could send me copies.

I have not see the current issue of Atlas and, unfortunately, I just stay too busy to look for it. My working day still exceeds eighteen hours.

Thanks for your good wishes.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Route 1, Box 275  
Yellow Springs, Ohio  
April 26, 1967

Harold Weisberg  
Hyattstown, Md. 20734

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

I am still looking forward with keen interest to what Whitewash III will bring us. Particularly do I wish to see the photographic evidence that the Warren Report was wrong in asserting that only the easternmost window on the sixth floor of the D. building was open. That, in conjunction with the Rowland testimony, is a big issue. It was interesting that Death of a President should have credited Rowland when Rowland was one of the most severe threats to the one assassin theory.


Probably all of us have been wondering how the true assassins would counter Garrison's work in New Orleans. The way it is being done is highly significant. The use of the lie detector test for purposes of falsification in this case suggests the more strongly that it was similarly used in Jack Ruby's case. And in Ruby's case the evidence that it was so used is pretty strong. For in that case there is the clear contrast between Ruby's assertion that six months earlier he could have told the truth in Washington -- six months earlier than when Justice Warren questioned him -- or even then in Washington, and Ruby's asking for and proceeding with the lie detector test in Dallas with the inference that from the test the truth would not appear.

I suggest that you publish this idea in conjunction with the New York Times report of June 18, 1964 that "there is no scientific evidence to show that lie detector tests were worthwhile" and that "persons could be trained to fool the devices" -- a government report that was classified to be unavailable to the public, but that might be put to the fullest use by the CIA.

The current Atlas on "Why was JFK shot" is interesting from two aspects. Assuming the third hypothesis, that Americans sought to pin the blame for the assassination on the Russians through the episode, and that Johnson sought to avoid following the potential of pinning the assassination on Russia, it is interesting that the idea of planning for a U.S. war against China through the Kennedy death and Johnson presidency should have been expressed back in the fall of 1963.

With best wishes for your work,

sincerely

  
Griscom Morgan