## 4/9/71

Dear Jim, your letter of the 6th rills me with dismay and frustration. It a stan much limits what $I$ can or wll do and its reflects an axragance and what follows, Iny frankly, astounded to find in you. So you ean better understand

Yaster, seven Jeaxi of it, that I know no youn a very old 58. I still keep hourg, after
 abla indeptodness is now dud and I must cio. The interest on wy to me consident owe me monsy and promisec it in time hev have it, simply because people who emotionally drained, and I/just an not going to ergace in futifitically exhausted, no time for them and I'm not going to overtarden ry nerves on aties. I have them. It is that simple.

You have tro good sources of information, and you have jeopardized them both and may already have rutned the infornation you have gotiten and certainiy have, with consumpate stuplasty, done everything a man can do to guarantee its nisuss. You did this krowine you should not have. And after it is too late, jou

I knew that you had seen Sprague before youn lotter reached here and whatever It is he got from you, includirg a pictare or pictures, is already in the wrong all off. I Il fine on inforred of it. Because I wes informed ky phone I cut it all off. I II find, out wher If want to, but in person, and soon.

It was at the very best irresponsible of you to talk to anyone when I esutioned $\bar{c} c \mid$ arainst it, If none of us is invunc to error, none the repository of all knowledige or Ilawless, Judigenent, I have had nore experience of th this subject. I diets apon this to connsel yow. So, the far than aryone else on this the opposite. Yivain tion to counsel yow, $\mathrm{So}_{3}$, the flrst thing you do it expactly and above ail to krop $C$ awny from him so you arrange for boy away from Sprague
 reservad for the barqyard. Irat you would even droam of dof what is generally
 these flapjased paranoids hsve a sufficient identiff ${ }^{\prime} t$ go is irrelevant, for these flap .- in what told.
Now rach ast want this information, ani important as it can be to me and my work, I si ply will not ba part of anytiaing that can in axy way hart anybody, Cor 7 or marone. And if you can't keop your word or take advice, the same applles to you. I fecognlae I have no right to give you orders and I do not assune of excricise such a right. But when peonle work together thay do have a I will not do what I otljer to be rat onal, responsible, and to teep their words. it is prong for me. cxj/ticize the governeat for doing. If it is mong for thora

I don't know how if cu can untio this awful mess you have made, how you can take out of tive ufind a the irrational sprague what you have already put there, how you can witherew the coples of watarer he has distributed that he has, but if you vant to oone oult of this vith any solf-respect you'd better ficure some wey out. That man isy as II told you, no better than a raving maniac on this subject caly, rational and decent as he seens to be in all other ways He blous evorything
is utteriy incapabie of correctity under tanding any genuine evidence he vight see, and can be depended upon to spread everything wholesale among all the irrationa ionals with whom he maintains close liason. If you will but think a motaent, you Hill sce that I have told you what you cid not write me, and this should be all the illusmation you need of the speed with wich his does what I say.

Tour expianation is not cerdible. If your sole propose in corresponting bith Sprague was to get copies of picture, then all you need have done was write and ask for those pictures, no more.

Anci after I warned you, you have told the thole fucking world that "Ell meterial fron 'a friend who once kneu Hosty' was being given to you", meaning me. If you gave him none of it, why even mention it? Now there is no possibility of keeping this as it had to have been kept, and if it is not all blown, it will not be your faul.t. I bad a lone letter from Sprogue yeaterday, written aftor hiss return. He made no mention of this. Eut he did to another, or others. How blind and stppidly stubborn can you be?

I 出d take wime to write hin a four-page letter, trying to straighten him our on other thinge, but I knew it was a futility, and I was trying to keep nim Srou sorious misuse of sonething of somebory elge's he had smailiac.

I an sorry to hare to write you so blunkly, but it would be dishonest not to, ant no service to you or wiat wo all seek. Wo must practise the highest morality, tive highest ethies, or we have no business doing what ve do. This moans, amon other things, mutual respect ansi trust and the strictest protection of thase from whom we seek information. How suach trouble to you thirk it will be to pinnoint former indends of Hosty' They gare ss hell aron't going to think of Walkerts pal with whom he ployed bridge!

Fran Iy, wach as I want to know what he can sey, I tivink $C$ hes to be out of his wind to taile to you farther, and if I knew how to acdress hir, I'd so tell hilu the has to sumvive all of this, and you on top of it. I would like to hear from him directiy, but I have no way of reaching him. IAth wait you have done, I cen't honorably encourage you to talk to him rgain, based on what you have aditted, and I can but wonder if there is yet 020.

Do Jou think Sprazue will pay any nore atiention to your telling hint he has things burned around that, say, to me? I'Te apent lours developing proof of his error, so he sinply ignores it. I'll aever recoyer frum what one oi his incredibly zany obsessians cost me, but had I not irustrated that one, there'd be no pofint in all this work nows

Iou h ave every mizht to do tiniags yous way. When you ame reaty to keep your word to me, to do things ryy way ofiscuss then flymtand understard the reasons wiyg do you can avoid the kind of shameful and selfdestructive thing you have just done, write me agitin. And if you mant to tivoid the possibility of never forgiving yourself, try and fl nd some way of getting Sorague to never mention wat you told him and to return to you every copy of watever you gave him, watever he wrote about it. I regret very much that you have so lowored ny spirits. Sincerely,

Capill6,1971
Dear Harold
The delay in correoponaing after your 3129 was to look back: to take stock of ny acturities. Data given to ne was given in trust. I broke the trust as a tactical error.

My whole rational for correspond with Sprague was to obtain certain photos. C and \& planned to attend his lecture, but we both couldrit male it. I suggested we could meet him envorte to view the photos. Chalked, suggested we not bother. I wrote to spraque anyway. \& met him the 29+h Clone.
\& told sprague that all material from" a frichal who exec knew Hosty" was bevin given tn INAM \& was interstice in

Beng his photos to see yo Could spot the man in the negation I had sent him. I did, but \& didnit tell P.S.
ats going to be havel for Sprague to forget me, unfortunate Ne called me up tuesday to tell me that the ONI man we discussed was in the lecture hall and asked if sprague knew Clout the "No Name key group". \& doit know what that is, but the outrageous thing about the whole tale is that Spraque discribeal the ONI Man in detail, much more then had given him.
sin going to write a letter to Sprague and fránbly tell his his a nice person, but his got it turned around. (for example: he had powell. totally misplocece - near willis in $z-183-189$.) see hold off, in lye of advise from you.

You will get the whole story about more as soon as $Q$ get it all down. in sending a resgistereal. envelope with photos, description etc soon.
\& would like to have any coper of any Moore memos referric to Eva grant. Also anything he wrote up about a photo of the TSBD area. \& will pay copy charges. Moore gives me a chill - hes hiduic alot. He worked with an agent on Jarry Crofard, and never filed the report. INs various tales about san $\ddagger$ raucisco on $11 / 22 / 63$ lead me to believe he night have been watching shaw. Ne sow two slides of the head wound in. gan. 64. We rranitainothaot there was a set made up.

The ONI man is real he is in the following, photos.

1. Hackle weaves
2. Lughes-frames before car turns in front of TSBD.
3. Ina Townes.
and
possibilif Willis 9 .
2 will sent photoo-avicribe fully. \& will consult five before \& do thingo from now on.

Dim.
PIs. C doesn't know about atlanta. Apparently, he got alot of shop tole about Rays "escape" but what \& gave you is all he has really spoke up about.

Has Ray tuvelece about his scape. \& set hint from C that the kcteds thoyalit of was a remarkable fun ce for such a "jerbe"t dr

