Thant Says World War Threatens He Blames U.S.; **Fears Vietnam**

Is First Phase

By Robert H. Estabrook Washington Post Foreign Service

UNITED NATIONS, N.Y., May 11-U.N. Secretary General U Thant warned today that the initial phase of World War III may already have started in Vietnam. He left no doubt that he principally blames the United States.

Continuation of the Vietnam conflict will make a direct confrontation between the United States and Communist China "inevitable," he told a luncheon meeting of the United Nations' Correspondents Association. The mutual defense pact between Moscow and Peking is still in force, he added.

Thant said his most recent proposals of March 1 beginning with a general standstill truce are "no longer under consideration" because "nei-ther side has fully and unconditionally accepted them."

This contradicted the U.S. announcement that it had accepted the plan. In a state-ment issued after Thant's remarks, Ambassador Arthur J. Goldberg said the American answer was "forthcoming and affirmative."

Goldberg continued: "A comparison of the tone and con-tent of our reply with that of North Vietnam would be enlightening. Judging from public radio dispatches of Hanoi Its response was person to the constituted a rejection of the Secretary General's proposal."

Thant reiterated his conviction that only an unconditional stop to the American bombing of North Vietnam would create conditions for meaningful peace talks. The bombing has had "no effect either in stopping infiltration or reducing infiltration," he asserted, adding that it only stiffens attitudes in Hanoi. At the same time Thant ac-knowledged that he had no authorization from Hanoi for his repeated prediction that a bombing halt would be fol-lowed by talks within a few weeks. In his reply Goldberg said the U.S. shares Thant's desire for a peaceful settlement but not his "current assessment of the situation in Vietnam." Goldberg repeated the American offer of Sept. 22, 1966, to take the first step and

order a cessation of all bombing of North Vietnam "the moment we are assured privately or otherwise that this step will be answered See THANT, A20, Col. 4

promptly by a corresponding tradiction between this state- Word Wars I and II, Thant on the other side."

At no time in Thant's hour-South or indicate that President Johnson may have exerident Johnson may have exer-cised restraint against de-mands for more intensive bombing. Instead, his frustra-tions at American escalation to be in the gold about the source of the negotiations about the table in the source of the negotiations about the Minister Ngygen Duy Trinh, cised restraint against de-mands for more intensive appeared to boil over.

"The fact that the Democratic Republic of North Viet. "to a large degree" with the bombing were halted there nam, a developing nation, is approach of the Rev. Dr. Mar- could be talks. continuing to withstand the tin Luther King Jr. to the "It may be assumed that pressure of an enormously supproblem of Vietnam, "without this was taken with the full perior power has been and the slightest intention of pok- knowledge of the positions" of still is the essential factor ing my nose into the affairs of North Vietnam's allies Thant which has prevented an en- a member-state." largemnt of the conflict beyond the frontiers of Viet-nam," he asserted.

Thant's Replies

In answer Thant also:

Feb. 7, 1965. He declined to flict. comment on the apparent con-

talks in Warsaw, he explained.

• Observed that he agrees

prospective May 23 truce in opment." Vietnam on Buddha's birthday to questions have not been very encourag- 1954

American bombing began on in settling the Vietnam con- of World War II.

and appropriate de-escalation ment and reports that Hanoi said that the psychological clihad not made a halt to the mate is similar today. He is long commentary response to bombing a condition of its "really concerned," he added, questions did he criticize agreement, later withdrawn, to because he knows "the moods, North Vietnam's actions in the talk secretly with U.S. repre- not only of the principal parsentatives in Warsaw last De ties, but also of some of those

and more recently, Hanoi has emphasized that if American

a member-state." • Urged an extension of the as a very important devel-

Thant appealed for use of as conducive to peace talks "all the processes for peaceful but said the responses so far settlement" envisaged in the Geneva agreements Thant also: • Said that North Vietnam has not been willing to talk with the United States since has been willing to talk which "were probably one of • Said he could see no way in which the United Nations can be "effectively involved" tional relations since the end which "were probably one of

A return to the spirit of In comparing the Vietnam these agreements, he added, conflict to the beginning of would open the way "for new

imaginative and constructive States is prepared to engage steps towards peace." in talks making use of the Ge-Goldberg said the United neva framework.

New Army Role Dismays **U.S. Civilians in Vietnam** 5712/67

By Ward Just

Washington Post Foreign Se SAIGON, May 11 --- Ameri-now move through the milican civilian officials were distary staff system. mayed today by the announce- Pacification is the program ry effort in Pacification will for the government. be placed under Gen. William Civilian reaction ; today C. Westmoreland, in the chan- ranged from the bitter ("We nels of the military command. don't think they can do their The civilians have now own job-how can they do ceased to operate as an inde-ours?") to the resigned ("I'll ceased to operate as an inde-ours?") to the resigned ("I'll pendent element in Revolu-tionary Development (Pacifi-along") to the very optimistic cation's more fashionable syn-onym), except through the person of Robert W. Komer, the White House official named Westmoreland's dep-called "a unique experiment in a unique situation." Details remain to be worked.

ment of Ambassador Ells to develop allegiance among worth Bunker that the adviso- the people in the countryside

personal rank of ambassador. Details remain to be worked out, but as a practical matter the program will be directed at the crucial corps level by American military officials, with civilians as their depu-ties. Reports that used to move through both civilian and military channels will Nor was there jubilation at

of quick results the White House apparently wants.

"I did not volunteer for the job," he is reported to have said privately this morning. "But now that I've got it, I'll do my best with it.'

Not Factual

One responsible official in the field today was flat in his assessment of what the new chain of command would mean.

"We are going to be unable to give factual reports, if they are critical," he said. "Of course you can reach the course, you can reach zenith of paranoia around here, and declare that the reason for all this is a political necessity for good news."

Few here would go so far, but serious officials — both civilian and military — realize there are limitations on how far an officer will go in re-porting "negative" informa-tion, and how hard a civilian, now his subordinate, will fight for realism.

Discussing the matter today, officials declared that it was not a matter of inveterately realistic diplomats and optimistic colonels, but the pressures on both. Civilians in South Vietnam aimost take a perverse delight in finding the hole in the doughnut, while military men, who have more to protect, are often more optimistic. A civilian's career was never short-circuited because he gave a negative re-port, and as events have shown, pessimists have never gone hungry in South Vietnam.

The dismay among civilians has several sources, not least of them the implication that the soldiers are taking over Revolutionary Developthe ment program because the civilians are incapable of run-ning it — an implication not without some truth. The official rationale is that the central component of Pacification is military security and that the Pacification programs are all heavily dependent on military logistics and support.

The crucial questions to the



civilians, however, is not whether the military can supply the means to win the war, but whether they have an accurate appreciation of what the war is about. The difference is the difference in mentality between a diplomat and a colonel.

is not

Excessive Optimism

Critics say that the military compulsion is to paint rosy pictures, and give optimistic appraisals whether or not they are warranted. These critics contend that officers who are cirtical of either the Ameri-can or the South Vietnamese effort are chided by superiors for being "negative" and "not on the team."

Two recent examples give weight to the critics' case:

In Haunghia Province, west of Saigon, an American ad-visor was "verbally slapped," and removed from command after he wrote a report critical of the 2d Brigade, U.S. 25th Infantry Division. Later, Lt. Gen. Bruce Palmer—who was then the Corps commandersent a letter to his advisers declaring that in the future they would not speak badly of any American unit.

In Binhduong Province, north of Saigon, an American adviser to the 5th South Vietnamese Infantry Division was asked by his superiors to re-write a report on the division's 7th Regiment. He was asked to change the tone from negative to positive.

Saigon's understanding of the situation in the countryside is largely drawn from official reports from the four Corps areas. These have been joint military-civilian efforts, with ample space for dissent and amplification. To the extent that the reports are inaccurate, Saigon's view of the war will be inaccurate.

Part of the problem, which is now Komer's problem, is that there has never been a plausible yardstick by which to measure the winning of the war in Vietnam. It is difficult enough to assess the significance of a battle-where, at least, there is a body countand nearly impossible to fied and Revolutionary Deassess when a village is pacivelopment a success.

Under the new regime the

MILITARY TAKEOVER-U.S. Ambassador Ellsworth Bunker announces at a press conference in Salgon that South Vietnam's pacification program will be

Associat

taken over by the military. Liste are, from left, Robert W. Komer, G liam C. Westmoreland and Deputy sador Engene M. Locke.

reports from Corps will bear one imprint, that of the senfor military commander. That report, it is understood, will be an agreed report and move to Komer, and thence to West-moreland, through traditional military channels. Officials today sought to

mitigate the effect of the announcement by saying that Komer and his staff, physical-ly located in the American military compound in Saigon, will be in a far better position to influence the course of Pacification than he would among "all the guys with glasses and sack suits" in the Office of Civil Operations.