U.S. Subs Spying In Soviet Waters By Laurence Stern

Washington Post Staff Writer

17 a fleet of electronic eavesdrop-ping submarines operating close to the Soviet coastline to 0 monitor Russian submarine pilot Francis Gary Powers. 8 activity and secret military

r

it

communications. These submarines, described, as "underwater U-2s," roam within Soviet territorial within Soviet territorial waters, according to intelligence sources with access to documents describing the spying operations.

The Pentagon declines to comment on the underwater intelligence gathering program on grounds that public discussion of the activity would be "detrimental to what we're doing." Other knowl-edgeable sources contend that the Russians have been aware of the U.S. submarine surveillance for years, as they were of the U-2 flights over the Soviet Union in the late 1950s. The U-2 flights over Russia

The United States maintains were ended in an atmosphere

Sources familiar with the submarine eavesdropping operations say that the mon-itoring has been conducted within the Soviet Union's three-mile territorial limit. Pentagon officials, while neither confirming nor denying the surveillance activities, assert — in the words of one spokesman—that "we don't go mucking around in other people's territorial waters . . All the things we do are mindful of other people's airspace and territorial waters."

Soviet vessels also conduct eavesdropping operations in U.S. continental waters al-though chiefly by means of surface trawlers. Russian subs are not believed to have the See SUBMARINES, A8, Col. 1

SUBMARINES, From A1

immensely sophisticated electronic capability of the U.S. .underwater snooping craft. The highly secret U.S. sub-"marine surveillance program, which has been alluded to in scattered public references, has assumed major strategic "importance since the capture "of the electronic spy ship Pueblo off the coast of North Korea five years ago this month. The Pueblo was cap-"tured 13 miles off the North Korean coast. ---- One of the principal lessons sof the Pueblo incident was the vulnerability of surface intelligence ships to capture, especially in the vicinity of hostile coastlines. Questions were also raised in the ensuing debate, particularly in a Senate

Foreign Relations Committee nstaff study on the Pueblo inci-

dent, whether military intelligence and diplomatic interests were being sufficiently coordinated in the spying operaetions. ""Prior to the capture of the Pueblo an American submarine on an intelligence missision, the Ronquil, narrowly avoided capture by Soviet nayal forces. The sub caught fire near the Soviet coast and was surrounded by Soviet destroy-Gers which attempted to force it to the surface. The sub eluded the Russian destroyer gauntlet and escaped to safety. In another case, according to intellitence sources, a U.S. surveillance submarine colslided with a Soviet sub near "the Russian coast but also avoided capture. The underwater eavesdropping program, code named

Holy Stone, is probably the most hush-hush of all U.S.

electronic intelligence operations which are also conducted "by spy satellite and aircraft. The subs are equipped to gather a wide variety of elec-tronic, communications and radar intelligence.

One of their chief missions is to monitor Soviet nuclear submarine activities, a function which figures importantly in the strategic arms limitation negotiations between the United States and the Soviet Union.

The operations are coordinated by the <u>40 Committee</u> of the National Security Council, which presides over all "plack" — convert — intelligence activities of the United States.

One of the reasons cited by Pentagon officials in declining to discuss the submarine eavesdropping-in addition to the claim of sensitivity-was current litigation over a book manuscript by two former in-telligence officials. The book, "The Cult of Intelligence," by Victor Marchetti and John Marks, reportedly describes U.S. electronic surveillance techniques which were censored by the CIA under court order.

"Lawyers on our side suspect that things in the book are beginning to pop up in the hands of other people," said a Pentagon spokesman. Mar-chetti, a former CIA analyst. and Marks, a former State Department intelligence officer, are challenging 225 deletions made in the manuscript on security grounds.

"The Marchetti-Marks manu-script, to be published by Knopf, has been classified "top secret-sensitive" by the government, according to at-

"""We do some things with submarines," said one Defense official. "Any speculation about what we do is some-thing our people think would be detrimental to what we're doing. It is not an area we'd like to see opened up."