Dear Ms. Leyerovitz,

Please excuse even the typing of the enclosed critique of Luker and his ignorant and hasty libels and other lies. Better is not now possible for ie.

I've had more medical problems and a revortand as I told y u, I'm 87.

as I also told you my work in recent years has been the making of a record for history that is accurate.

It is for that purpose that I've written more than can be used as a response. But I hope you'll read it and learn from it. While it is rough and needs editing, it is fact ual. As the Lukers and the Kurt res rarely are.

Kurtz did two books and I have a book-length manuscript on each. It is not easy to believe that a college professor would be as streadfastly is morant of the fact of such an important event in his toyy and then be stypid enough to present himself as an unquestionable subject-matter extert.

I hope you people will rethink your position that your Journal cannot be so earing used for propaganda, for hurt to those someself-important ignoramus does not like and so that it cannot be misused to corrupt and lie about our precious history.

Since oly,

Harold Weisberg