

May 29, 1984

Dear Harold,

Much thanks to you and Mrs. Weisberg for your hospitality. The insights and historical gems you provided are very useful for my teaching and research. I have enclosed a list of the MLK documents that CIA gave me under FOIA. I also enclosed the FBI teletype about the Galt name (4/18/68) because I found two copies in my folder--either you made me two copies or I mistakenly took your copy, so I've sent one back just in case.

I will inform you of anything I turn up (or write up) concerning the Toronto angle, but I won't get there until July.

In the meantime, if you have any FBI documents or clippings regarding the "FAT MAN" incident or Vic Douldton, I'd appreciate it if you could retrieve them and send them on.

Also, despite my extensive effort, I cannot decipher the handwritten notation about "Eric Galt" which is scrawled on the FBI teletype enclosed. If you have figured it out, I'd greatly appreciate knowing what it says.

Thanks again.

Best regards,

*Phil*

Dear Phil,

6/4/84

As best I can make out the notation on 44-38861-1448 it reads "withheld withheld advised. Believes this is illegible's idea. Illegible Eric Galt & will illegible dept. "llegible initials 6 10 p 4/18/68."

As I recall the dates, the day before Galt was charged in Birmingham and this very day FBIHQ identified Galt as Ray, prior to the filing of this TT.

With regard to the CIA list (for which thanks), page 1 item 3, 671005-A, does the content of this record coincide with the kind of stuff I gave you? (Some of this seems familiar and it may be that these also are records disclosed to me in that case.)

Page 3, item 3, 93087-68, if the composite photos are included, I'd like to see xeroxes of them. I've been tracing them, with some success, and there was a funny business involving them in Mexico.

Page 5, 1st item, 660715, this may well relate to what you saw here and I'd like to see a copy, please, because I do not recall receiving anything disclosing the source of those receipts and notes.

After you were here the FBI filed a supplementary brief in the King case appeal, out of order but with the permission of the court, to invoke a new decision. I do not know what you include in your teaching so I inform you. I also do not know if when "il finishes retyping it I can now provide one response. There is not a single truthful statement in this brief. It even misrepresent my request and the case record to pretend relevance of this new decision. Such dishonesty and lying, even total lying, is not new to me, but this is different because a) it is to the appeals court )b, out of order, with special permission. And under oath I'm proving that each and every allegation is untrue, ranging from misrepresentation to complete fabrication. It is so extreme that it argues that two of the specific items of and in my request are "outside scope!"

Ldl is retting it, then I'll send it to Jim, and then he'll decide whether or not to use it and whether or not if he wants to it requires any changes. So, if it can be of value to you, I suggest that you wait a while because he has close time pressures on this and perhaps more, and then ask him for a copy of it, his reply and the government's supplemental brief. Whatever is filed in court, of course, is available after filing. I just don't know right now what he will file.

I made subject-filing copies of only 3 pp. on McDouglton. They are enclosed. I'm not rereading them now because I'll delaying Ldl. We are going out for supper.

Thanks and best wishes,