

In my response (these are 33F1 and 2) I told him I lacked means of retrieving it. As I'd told him, I'd supposedly gotten all the lab records. I also told him the matter had been widely reported, what Ray had told me and my opinion of it, that his was a credible explanation. I'd also told him that what HSCA had done was to simply remove the FBI identification numbers from copies of its records already disclosed to me. In this instance HSCA had not, perhaps because it was not easily done. In any event, he did know that what he claims he got under FOIA had been published earlier by HSCA with this FBI lab identification number. So much for his claims to have brought anything at all to light!

I refer to his lack of honesty in crediting Jerry McKnight with what Jerry had gotten from me and that Melanson knew this. It was easier and cheaper for the copying to be done at Hood than on our primitive machine, so in response to several of his letters I wrote him on 3/7/5 that Jerry had copied my ~~Invaders~~ Invaders and Sanitation Strike files that I'd gotten from the FBI and even that an honors paper had been done on them that he might want to read. So, he knew what he was doing, as he did throughout with all such dishonesties of varying degrees. (Doing the copying of my records at Hood is always cheaper because of the difference between their copies and ours and the costs of copies on them. The Hood machine is also faster. Currently this is being done for another who has sought and gotten help from me without telling me he is writing a book on this and after telling me that he represents Ray in some legal matters, Bill Pepper. He's had a student searching here and I suggested that in those two files it would be cheaper and faster if they use the copies - let Hood have. I'm not concerned about the lack of credit but I am addressing honesty, principle and standards, personal and professional.)

Whether or not a reading of this correspondence file would disclose other such illustrations, I consider that the few in which special words caught my eye are adequate to confirm the serious allegations I made above.

After finish^{ing} the preceding pages I decided to make a perfunctory check of my file of correspondence with Melanson because of the seriousness of some of my criticisms. I just skimmed his letters, without reading them, for a few clues. This is reflected in the few selections + made after this cursory examination. There could well be more like these illustrations. I am not integrating them with the preceding pages, ~~from with~~

On 2/20/84 he was aware that although in the book he credits the "Starvo" in the Galt name as Ray used it, he knew very well that it is I who figured that out. (33A)

As I now recall he had his weird theory about "the fat man" before he knew the name and that he got the name from me when he asked what + have on the fat man. His 6/14/84 reflects that I sent him those of the FBI's records I'd gotten in the FOIA litigation of which I'd made duplicate copies for subject filing. ~~33B~~ He thanked me for them 6/14/84. As this comes out in his book (59) "...one document obtained by the author had failed to delete the name," ~~for~~ ^{thus making his handwriting} a cheap trick because the name was freely available to anyone from me, as he got it, or from the FBI's public reading room, he invented the totally unnecessary pseudonym Bolton. He then, having gotten all the information from these FBI records I'd given him, pretends a major investigative accomplishment, "I located William Bolton in 1984," the feat magnified by the false pretense that he was ~~hidden~~ ^{hidden} by US and Canadian police so the press could not find him. (33B)

I indicate above that he got nothing from the CIA by his request except copies of (only some of what) ~~he~~ knew I had obtained by litigation. We had discussed this before he wrote this letter and despite knowing what I say he uses what can be taken as ambiguous language ("CIA MIK documents pleased to me", emph. added.) On 6/19 I let him know that he had gotten only some of what I'd gotten via that litigation (33C) and his book holds no indication that he made any further effort to get anything else from the CIA. (In this letter I also identified Jack Kennedy to him, with a description of Kennedy's politics and views.)

With regard to the duplicate Alabama drivers license gotten by someone else for Ray, I decided to refer to my reference to what he says about this and cannot locate in by means of his index. +t is a pisspoor apology for an index and is also inaccurate. For example, what he says about the fat man begins pages before the first index citation. Ray told Melanson that he did not get that license, that someone else did, perhaps Raoul. Melanson says, "this is a suggestion which, to the author's knowledge, Ray has never made before." (153. He also quotes Ray on all the mail being laid in the opad on a table there, where anyone could get it. He knew nothing at all about this and wrote asking me for information 9/18/84. (33 D2) I replied two days later (33D1) Before this I'd discussed with him at some length what I indicate in frameup, that I was without doubt that Ray was being manipulated up until the time of the assassination and that there had to have been someone like Raoul and that he had met Raoul at this Birmingham roominghouse. My reply, without any checking, from recollection, and, of course, what I'd gotten from Ray is a description of how the mail was handled there, my own belief that this was one of the indications of a conspiracy, and that someone in B'ham ~~or~~ who went there for that purpose did get the license.

I refer to his ignorance about the matters he lumps under ballistics. He reflected this ignorance in asking me about the shell casing 2/26/85 (33E2) I began my reply with what he should have taken as a caution, "Re the shell casing, I ask again if you've read frameup or is your reading diet limited to junk?" I then go into what was in MURKIN, as if he'd done any real research at the FBI reading room he'd have known. (33E1) He does not reflect his source in his book. (I responded to him in some of these letters in such haste I did not read and correct them.)

I do not recall what he says in the book about Ray's letter to South Africa and about which he asked me 3/2/85. He claims to have been responsible for disclosure of that letter (139): "Under the Freedom of Information Act, the author obtain" this letter, and he hippodromes this in a childish way by giving the FBI's identification of it, "4334" (he does not explain, probably because he doesn't know, what this number represents.)

66

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUN 11 1968
TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

FBI WASH DC

FBI MEMPHIS

849 AM URGENT 6-12-68 MCP

TO DIRECTOR AND BUFFALO
FROM MEMPHIS 44-1987 1 P

McElroy

MURKIN.

RE BUFFALO TEL EIGHT ELEVEN P.M., JUNE ELEVEN PAST.

IT IS NOTED ROBERT MC DOULDTON IN INFORMATION FURNISHED
TORONTO PD EXPLAINED HE HAD USED PUBLIC PHONE BOOTH IN VICINITY
NINE SIX TWO DUNDAS STREET AND FOUND ENVELOPE THERE ADDRESSED
TO SNEYD AT DUNDAS ADDRESS. REQUEST BUFFALO THROUGH LIASON
WITH TORONTO PD DETERMINE IF POSSIBLE LONG DISTANCE PHONE
CALLS THAT MAY HAVE EMANATED FROM PHONE BOOTH OF INTEREST. STRONG
POSSIBLIITY EXISTS THAT SUBJECT MAY VERY WELL HAVE USED PHONE
BOOTH AND THIS MIGHT ACCOUNT FOR ENVELOPE BEING THERE.

~~CORRECTION - LINE 4, LAST WORD IS ADDRESSED.~~

A & D.

P. END

REG 88

44-1987-4396

JUN 18 1968

JTM

FBI WASH DC

70 JUN 18 1968

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

lcc
JUN 17 1968
TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. DeLoach	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Gale	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Sullivan	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Trotter	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

FBI WASH DC

FBI MEMPHIS

849 AM URGENT 6-12-68 MCP

TO DIRECTOR AND BUFFALO
FROM MEMPHIS 44-1987 1 P

McClintock

MURKIN.

RE BUFFALO TEL EIGHT ELEVEN P. M., JUNE ELEVEN PAST.
IT IS NOTED ROBERT MC DOULTON IN INFORMATION FURNISHED
TORONTO PD EXPLAINED HE HAD USED PUBLIC PHONE BOOTH IN VICINITY
NINE SIX TWO DUNDAS STREET AND FOUND ENVELOPE THERE ADDRESSED
TO SNEYD AT DUNDAS ADDRESS. REQUEST BUFFALO THROUGH LIASON
WITH TORONTO PD DETERMINE IF POSSIBLE LONG DISTANCE PHONE
CALLS THAT MAY HAVE EMANATED FROM PHONE BOOTH OF INTEREST. STRONG
POSSIBLIITY EXISTS THAT SUBJECT MAY VERY WELL HAVE USED PHONE
BOOTH AND THIS MIGHT ACCOUNT FOR ENVELOPE BEING THERE.

~~CORRECTION LINE 4, LAST WORD IS ADDRESSED.~~

A & D.

P. END

REG 88

4396

JUN 18 1968

JTM

FBI WASH DC

P 70 JUN 18 1968

SH