Refuen to the

For the past menth and mere, I have been in a medical crisis accompanied by severe episedes of depression. The origin is partly in the irresponsibility of decters whe treated me mine years age, and even earlier than that, and partly in my own irresponsibility and stupidity in continuing to take prescribed medications ever a very leng period of years, without understanding that I was becoming dependent upon certisone and amphetamines which coased to be available to me when the decter concerned recently had a ceremary and had to retire. The upohet has been a medical and psychological disaster which has immebilized me for weeks, except for an eccasional few hours, which made it mecessary for my niece to come for me and keep me at her home in New Brunswick until yesterday.

Because of these circumstances, I could read your five-page letter only fitfully and under the weight of my ewn distress unconnected with the letter as such. I am nevertheless saddened by it, very much. I have speken often with Jerry Pelicoff, Heward Roffman, Ed Williams about you, and through Jerry I think I also know sensithing of Gary's feelings for you. I wonder if you really understand the profound levelty and deep admiration every one of them feels for you? I think they would practically cut off an arm for you, if they could give you help or confort by doing it.

Whether or not you can believe it. I too have always felt the most profound respect for your achievements in digging up evidence and in perceiving in the decuments what others of us had completely everlooked. Why, then, have we not been closer colleagues and closer personal friends? Why have I not come to visit you after your repeated and generous invitations? Perhaps the time has come when I must attempt to explain. The truth is that I have found it difficult to converse and correspond with you because you take offense shore I mean no offense, because you myself filled with anxiety that we will quarrel and that to prevent that I must welk on eggshells, become an ebedient satellite in your orbit and concur in all your views and policies lest I am to be denounced and excertated for words and actions in which I cannot feel or accept guilt.

On the issue of secrecy, I think we have a semantic conflict or misunderstanding. You have absolutely no obligation to share your discoveries with me. I have nothing to gain from access to the evidence which you make available under conditions of confidentiality except frustration and meral conflict, because I cannot use it or let anyone who use it and yet I feel that if there is one everyiding meral duty it is to put all the evidence before the public as fast and as effectively as possible. In other words. I would hence and esteem you if you never shared any secret material with me so long as you make it public and made it count, whether in a magazine article, a press conference, or any other way. After the passage of years, your withhelding of the Burkley death certificate and the withhelding of the classified documents or the spectrographic findings just add up to withhelding of vital evidence, granting of course the complete difference in metivation and ultimate purpose.

Even from a superficial and distracted reading of your letter, one has to be struck by your bitterness toward a long list of people and your sense of having been betrayed by them—Gary, Jerry, Wecht, Crosby, Epstein, Liften, Ferman, Mary, Maggie, myself. This is not a list of paragons. Each is, at best, a fallible;

seme may have more serious and sustained weaknesses of character and judgment. A few may be really retten apples, as I believe Lane to be, and Epstein, as you sensed long before I did (in the case of Liften, I believe him to be unstable and at times deranged).

But is everyone in a leng-term conspiracy against you? I am not and I have never been. I can stake my life that Jerry and Cary leve and revere you and have never wished or intended to hurt or disappoint you.

I agree with you that it is a time to be dispassionate and objective and to take stock of things anew. I am not angered by your letter, although I am truly serrowful that you see me the way you de, for I know that I have tried in good faith to be ethical toward all the critics and that I have tried to maintain our friendship, even if I could best pretect it by keeping distance between us and breaking that endless procession of long letters in which almost everything that I did, or failed to de, was certain to bring your wrath crashing around my head.

Hareld, I am not well and not my usual self at this time, but I knew that I am not a martyr and not a victim. Whatever my bed is, I have made it myself. My friends and my family are being wenderful and supportive toward me new, as indeed they have always been. I have no repreaches against any of our fellow-critics on grounds of personal injury or betrayal. With many of them, I am on the warmest terms, as I should like to be with you. With these with whem I have broken off relations, it has generally been on matters of principle where no reconciliation was possible rather than on any personal quarrel in which I felt that my interests had been violated. This is a generalized and perhaps simplistic description which does not go into qualitative or quantitative shadings and distinctions; but my and large, it is valid. I know that you have suffered great inner terment and much material deprivation; this case has sutilated and crushed many who were or became involved in it, as it has also much tanecent by-standers.

If only you could believe how much you are respected, admired, and leved, you would be comforted more than you know. But you must be ready to see that for yourself and no one close, however elequent, can make you believe it until you are willing. If you persist in thinking that Jerry or Gary—leaving aside myself and others—deliberately set out to hurt or betray you, then I can only tell you that you are doing yourself and them a bitter injustice.

I am, as I have always been,

Your friend.

Sylvin