

Dear Sylvia,

11/26/83

Herewith your page a copy of which completes my RR drek and a copy of what I've written the Post about a dirty piece by Dan Schorr, repeating his dirtiness of the past and so far as I can see now intended to buttress Davison. I understand he and Wicker are doing this.

That you want to have nothing to do with her, not even indirectly, is comprehensible. Please don't ask Roger if he is busy. In time it will out. By "it" I do not mean to imply that she has the conjectured connections. Rather what underlies the incredible and very open dishonesty. What a commentary that nobody, not even her editor or publisher, bothered to make even a perfunctory check. Ot knew not to.

I do hope it is good that Roger is busy. I am very fond of him, know him to be a very good person, and wish him all the successes possible in his new career in which he is having such difficulties getting a decent start.

I've heard from TM and have a draft of a response somewhere in the clutter on my desk, from too many ongoing and overdue projects.

Thanks also for the Times' reference. I expected nothing better ~~from~~ <sup>about</sup> her book from it and will not be surprised by a glowing review.

It is rather remarkable that so many untoward and unusual things are happening to push her literary whoring. Of them all only Pacifica surprised me. What happened there may be only the kind of people they are but I'm not yet prepared to assume that. It was just too much, and all of a sudden.

One plus from the Pacifica doublecross is that I have added materially to my research on Oswald by rereading what I'd not looked at in about 18 years. Means so much more now, and I've a good file on it.

Perhaps the most remarkable thing is Oswald's incredible and complete silence over his actual Marine Corps career. Not a peep, hint or even clue, save for the single bit of writing referring to being at Subic bay, which is not quite the same thing as Cubi point, which is the actuality.

Jenner knew he had been assigned to Operation Strongback, but as with Tague's movie, I recall no record indicating this.

I've been able to interest someone who may be in a position to obtain seemingly unrelated records that may be of some help and use. Time will tell.

Stay well,

11/21/83

Dear Harold,

The address of the Texas Monthly is P.O. Box 1569,  
Austin, Texas 78767. I'm sorry but I have already  
discarded the copy of the magazine from which I  
sent you the R.L. article. The only thing that I  
inadvertently omitted was page 286. I am enclosing  
that page from my own copy — please return it.

I do not wish to call Norton or involve  
myself in any way, however remote, with the  
Dovisan creature. I do not even intend to  
read her book. I will ask Roger but I have  
the impression that he is extremely busy and may  
not have the time or the inclination.

Good luck on Pacific. As this is already  
the evening of the 21st and Roger's phone  
does not answer, obviously no information  
can be ready for you tonight.

Sylvia