Dear sylvis (iire),
For tha first ticu in to many years I have kiom jou, in $\gamma$ bu louten of the 17 th you aro unpersunsive. If you do not went to corsider doine that mon a your hnen, wiwillingmes ius sufilcont reavon. Were you to offor it, I would then undertaico to try oud chana your aind. ut a yoar ia a Iong tine. If tiat is the roe on, discussion can wat. Ther oun altruys bo a replacment.

Ordinamily, I do not seck these thi hes. - havon't in soma yonrs bocuase, for the post part, thay aro not only not worth the tine they talce but, umles they ase to add What is nov and shoniticant and that to a large enough audfonce, I buldev they ave become countor-pmoductive. Confrontations are an exception. Hus I have had two with Gerold Arank. Undar tho most atverse circunatances they have been productive (ho blabs).

Both tioge you susgont as altemativas aro excellent ispeakers. However, nitines has adequato knowledge. Gyril is an expert in forensic nedicino, "'d be wil ing to bolluve the country's best. But he really has done no worl of his own, and a nathe on a show does not rake an infornative scow. Sauvage can be brillitant, and I have ben thore wiven he was, as a ainst Roborts. But brilliance is no r placenont for ho ledge. Percy Foneman if not $f l y$ all the way to lien York for free IV publieity on then fleu the makeup roon when he leamel he was to colfront hin becuuse I an the ldilled debater and he is not.

We have 11 bocone sowewhat furst, for a variety of rea ons. The sude will bo tarw of our advorsarias. II they consent, which I an inclined to choubt. Liobolur faced me ono tinme, when I wais at qy vory worst. "o bas since boin silont, and tha first thinc le did theroafter Was to set that wrotch Liston to gabotage a debato in which ineb ler was latuled to an agroed-to, tine-liniting Iornai. In prosume Wrotch favored you with a cony oi his totel felsohood of that period. Eall has even apologized to to. 50 , I think notther of them winl welcaze a joint appearance.
thegariloss, ant dapita any solf-efíacoment, I coubt if uny of us todigy has a botter cormand of the 26 than you. In oiting tivir chaptor and thoir vorse, I do beliuve you sould bo best. If this woru not ty opinion, I'd have thought only of Hoch, doepito his seurung vocal tini ity, vectuse of his lanotadge of the mpubli hod zutexial. Itis recall ia buttor than wine on it. But in smy confrontation with ayy of the logil piga, I rolly do think you would be bost, and that i. the only rea on I suibostod it. Forget about the imelevancy of bing a doviter. Leave that to the lavyors. Fiact is the Lheluentini thing, eard you are zore then articulate.

So, let it wait. Thore is a year. Or, if and whon asked, sive only a conditionol acceptance from witich you can later, should you so dacide, wetreat with wace. Hy belief is that if tina is to $b$ an effoctive thint, as it can be with the 1 arge audionce it reachas, it has the be t prospect not from the undnown but fron the knowe sfruf it down thin craws. baqosing, or even doutroying them, publicly, can bo much nore inprossive and affective than trying to bring now infomation to light. There is an unilijinjos: to consider that. But the dronatic possibilitios of thats nedium whe tho adversarios ought not be forpotton. The bastards lanow it. Four of thon, thege and two otherg, ono wit whom is Specter, save up a syadicatod ghow $12 / 6 / 66$ rathor then confront the alone in a gemu. 0 , If thay cop out, in itsidf that wil. have aikulicance, ans shoulu reach a large onough audience, if Iesc thm Grondto's.

I nave had to dovelop an intarest in Bromer because of the incre ibie 2 BI involvemont, oud that ie contral to zy work, and bucause I wa. peid to. If but-a third of bat I got as a youmgstor for two-thirds less writine, any sum in irportant to pe tociay. I fuet led the plece tonf cht. and the nore I got into it the nore I Iuctant I found ry olf to continue
 are not noti. Thoy begin with maccountable monoy. Humidedy,

