

5/21/71

Dear Sylvia,

I'm on the 4 a.m. kick again, such as been my experience with my publishers and my book. I've started writing one of the accumulated books and indulging an old futility, that this is the one that can attract attention. With the strange things going on among some of the few of us left, I'd rather not advertise it, but it is AGENT OSWALD, for which I have some rather remarkable evidence, in addition to a few things all of us know. I have been able to progress with this, and the question now is one of how, with the depressing recent influences, I'll be able to write. I'm doing and first and last chapter as a submission, then before I get a response, I'll go back and do the rest of it, or at least start until I hear. should things work out, I'll have an imposed doctrine of sorts, I suppose, an imposed size, some limitations. I ought not be keeping these hours again, but when four comes I'm wide awake. Hence, like now, I'm at it.

Last night I was looking for a quote from Waggoner Carr (with whom I've had my own dealings). It suddenly occurred that in a piece you did for M od O you had a good collection of these things. I found them and to my pleasure found something not relevant to this and quite helpful elsewhere, esp. in one of my suits, so I'm writing to as, you to lend me all of the documents you used in that writing. What you may not have used for your purposes may be ideal for mine. The one that serves this extra need, and I have other extra needs, is that referring to the availability of scientific tests. I know this may not make sense, but it perfectly addresses a perjured affidavit.

The 7-3/68 issue piece is so good along the pint I think relevant for what I want to say at this point that I may just use the little I already have on this for the moment and say that the aborting of the Texas investigation was accomplished as described in your piece, and cite it. However, that correspondence, aside from this writing, does serve other purposes for me. My special interests are in Jaworski and Storey and the initials on the Commission correspondence. I regret you've never been able to spend time here, so much of what I have been into remains undisclosed to you. I am interested in anything you have on these two. I had a long and friendly-and entirely unproductive-meeting with Storey, is a very big hub on a very big wheel, and the wheel was immobile while he chatted with me, several boards of directors cooling is his elaborate suite.

Wade, by the way, I think we all miscast, beginning with me. I think I have to admit I was the first not to think it through clearly and the first to clobber him. He has become a good friend and incredible as it may seem, when we consider all those wrong and foolish things (not identical) at the very beginning, he has been basically on our side since my first contact with him. This also I'd prefer not to advertise. He had dealt with me honorably, when he said he would do thing, doing them, and when he could not or would not, not promising to. We have been on a first-name basis for years. He helped me prevent greater tragedies than we have sustained.

Wish I had time for more. I have no pressing need for any of this for my writing. I have unfiled but a ter some digging enough of the original corres. for my own purposes in this draft, and the general reference to this really fine piece of yours will serve all literary purposes. Where your documents can help is in my understanding and, one or more, in litigation. If you'd rather copy them and let me pay you for that, fine. Or, Jerry will probably be glad to do the copying and return the originals to you. He'll be here this weekend. When you see or speak to him, he can tell you more about Kaplan that I learned after writing the Times. Like his anti-black work for the USIA.

Sincerely,