

1/27/69

Dear Sylvia,

Long as we talked last night and this morning, there are a few things I should have told you and didn't. It has been so long and there is so much. And, of course, there is so much I could not entrust to the phone.

As you will, I think, eventually learn, a major part of the problem of Garrison is really "critics". I wish it were possible, as once I asked you, for you to distinguish between him and the New Orleans evidence. There are a number of cases that should get to court there. Whether or not he has interest I do not know, but I do know what I have developed, entirely independent of him.

One of these involves a man confided for the security of the President of the United States (which one not specified). I have from the Secret Service minimum confirmation, in writing, of their "interest" in him. Matter of fact, I lived in his home for two weeks last summer! Everybody else was terrified, but they were the best New Orleans accommodations I ever had, conducive to good work.

Bringuiet, who is connected with all of the radical right, not just Hergis, but men like Walker, Feneasz (who served the Bringuiet function in the RFA case), lied about almost everything. Were there a perjury case, I have overwhelming documentary evidence, in his own handwriting. He knew of the paramilitary activities, knew Oswald was reported to be involved, and all the things he testified to about Oswald, including his "cover" of fear over the raid on the ammo dump, happened much earlier, thus destroying his explanation. Matter of fact, the converse is also true. Oswald knew of Bringuiet's involvement with such activities and told a N.O. reporter. I have the FBI report and the reporter, on tape, confirming. I have Ricardo Davis on tape, extensively. He was CIA, had been a New York police agent, etc. He told me much, more than he realized. There is part of the story that, someday, I may do as a novel. It was never be credited any other way. I have at least two novels from this work.

I do not plan for return to New Orleans any time soon. My last trip was for two reasons: the case in Washington and the stiff ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ urgent request. I do hope to stay home and work intensively on the abundance of material I have.

Two books have been typed for the camera and several others are in various stages of development. I think all are both important and close to 100% new material. It may not seem possible to you, but there is that much not in the 26 that I have and have been piecing together. This will be slower than my output in the past for my wife has gone back to work to keep the daily bread from increasing the daily-growing indebtedness. I so wish it had been possible to interest a foundation in what I am doing. I believe I have already a kind of documentation of the most unusual thing in our history that is without parallel in any history. Whether or not I will ever get out of the debt it has incurred I have no idea, but I so want to be able to put it all together and make it all available. Others can then make their own uses and contributions.

When I told you I hope you might some day see some of this and you said perhaps in the spring, I thought, later, for I did not rapidly fall asleep, that perhaps you might find a weekend sooner than then. If you do, while I cannot now, as once I would, have offered to pick you up in Baltimore, we do have accommodations and a beautiful situation. Greyhound runs to within four miles of here. If you would care to lose yourself in new material for a weekend, please let me know. Or, you could read the mass of the books largely done. I also did a rapid draft (I find that I can actually turn out a short book in three-four days!) of "A Citizen's Descent" to have it ready in the event it became necessary to do something along that line. I never expect to use it. Hurriedly,