10/26/69
Dear Sylvia,
I've nom reed your 10/10 letter to the Times carefully. It is excellent. Tor bad there was no space for casual reference to, the ofeicial disciplining of Hosty for unkmown ressons. Det the limes doesn't publish.

It wesn't until I ranched into my pocket for conb money after leeving you that 1 mondered if 1 owed you chenge from the dinner. I merely glanced ot the totel when I hed the creck. If I do, please lpt mo know.

On the return trip I finished reading Finck's N. O. testinony. I regard it es of the greatest aignificence. I'll' be going over it again, With more care, when I return to the autopey writings. I thint you will find, on reading irazier's, that much the same is true. If you have eny ideas or suggestions after reading tuem, fould welcome them. CD5: 400 is a good enough example of how easy it is to miss something. That is one of the first files 1 examined. The arraignment is one of the things that interested me. 1 believe I found different copies of the papers, asked that copies be xeroxed for me, and by golly, I think + never got them (This may have been explafinable as a consequence of the $x$ roxing of s xerox.) But pege 400 I missed: (Evif Frime/)

Some of the other teatimony we will ev ntually get, I hope after the election. We will also errange for it to be evailable. I'll let you knovif ind then.

Sorry the day I was there was so hactic for you. We get to spend too little tin together, for there is too much to cover.

It is to early to evaluste the results of the main purpose of the trip. Hy impression is that the prospective publisher is not', really, seriouoly interested in the subject. "en of principle, as he reputedly is, often sublimete their principles then money is involved. Derhaps I'll hove on idea after he (s reads the book, iffhe does. It is protty long. hbout a third of a mililion words without the extensive eppendix.

Saw Bud Priday. Nothing new on auit. No letter yet from D.J.

