7/22/85

Gibson is a bit partison/in his Hale Boggs on J. Edgar Boover but I believe that he is essentially correct.

His conjecture on p. 2, that Boggs was teacting to something by the FHI, denied by his widow. has support in an FRIEQ tickler recently disclosed to Mark Allen and which I used in litigation. A few extra pages of that tickler are attached.

Of course we have no way of kneet knowing that the FRI had prepared dosslers on the committee members and its staff twice.

I know of no support for what Gibson prates about Boggs on the Commission (63) because he was absent most of the time and not really doing much the rest of the time. I think that here Gibson has Moggs and Russell confused because Russell did go after

and by now you are aware of the difference laws between what is disclosed, including by Boggs, in the ex sees's and not included in the Report.

Honetheless a good piece of which I'd not heard serlier.