

Harold Weisberg
Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701
1/21/76

Mr. Harold Tyler CERTIFIED-RETURN
Deputy Attorney General Receipt
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Tyler,

It has been quite some time since I wrote you and the Attorney General about several matters within your jurisdiction. These include non-compliance with my FOIA/PA request/complaint, with the covering letter signed by you and an allegation of fraud in a book fabricated by one Hugh McDonald.

There was to have been a calendar call in this suit today. It has been postponed several weeks. This provides additional time for the Department to begin to comply with this law. I think I have had more extensive experience with this law than any one litigant. I'd have no trouble swearing safely that in no single case has there been either voluntary compliance or full compliance or an absence of deliberate abuse of the law, the court and me.

It is and should be the most unpleasant of citizens' duties to have to make this kind of complaint against his government, most of all the Department of Justice. Your Department has yet to address, leave alone rebut, repeated proven cases of perjury as you have yet to comply with my letters notifying you that what has been supplied me in C.A. 75-1996 is not only not compliance but includes proof of the non-compliance. And you delayed any response until you wanted part of what was supplied me used in a TV spectacular, the benefit to the Department being propaganda in its behalf. I hope you will now use the delay in this case to see to it that there is full compliance. Not only can the FBI do this expeditiously but countless lawyers within the Department can specify what is being withheld deliberately. They are in at least three divisions of the Department. At least two of these divisions have recently been in a propaganda campaign to deceive the people and frustrate my rights. I regret very much that this combination of misconduct is the kind of official behavior over which you preside. I call upon you to end it promptly, prior to the coming calendar call, so that this case will not needlessly clutter the courts and waste time for the Department and for me and my counsel.

With regard to the McDonald book, the most obvious explanation of official refusal to do anything about it is that the fraud serves official interests. Whether or not it has direct or indirect official sponsorship it serves this end. I have conducted enough of an investigation of this book to be without doubt it is fraudulent and that the fraud is deliberate. I was a consultant on it to two publishers the defrauding of which was about to be contracted. You have hundreds of employees who can read the printed of the various and contradictory versions and know there is fraud. And if Mr. McDonald's own version of his biography is correct he may be in violation of another law by claiming to have been an FBI agent. (Also CIA.)

As to your claim that nobody knows anything about what was given in my case to other writers, there is no reporter in Washington who cannot tell you who to ask. It is past time for the asking of the wrong question of the wrong employee and then executing a spurious affidavit. Someone in the FBI replaced Roy Nichols and Cartha DeLoach and these authors, at least one of whom flashed copies of FBI reports, do credit the FBI.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg