Dear Bod.

7/12/75

This is for your information and I believe protection only. I want Jim to know about the content but I do not think it serves your interest for copies to be kicking around so I am asking him to read it and when he sees you give it to you. I believe your interest, not mine, requires this restriction.

The one exception is Mill. I do think you should discuss this with him bat I would expect him to discuss it with you and Jim only.

Letne begin by being as explicit as I cans despite all you have talked yourself into, I do not want you to get into trouble and I believe the pescibility is very real.

I'm sorry that this means telling you about one you appear to have trusted when you should not have. However, I remember your mentioning this to me maybe three years ago, I think Bob Smith did but I'm not sure and I've recently met with the fraud.

This is what happened. Those names I do not give you I cannot because I've been asked to respect a confidential relationship. However, I believe that in time and from Hagh MoDonald you will hear them. I did ask to be released from this confidential relationship yesterday and was refused despite the fact that there is nothing to keep MoDonald from talking about it. If and when he does, then of course I will be under no mestraint.

John Starr, who is no stranger to me having failed to get Dell to sign the \$35,000 contract we had verbally with Dell for <u>Orwald in New Orleans</u> (and thus I did not get the \$31,500 that was mine) and has sent me no accounting for <u>Whitewash</u> II from Dell since the first one, introduced McDonald's book and new ghost, Geoffrey Booca, to a publisher whose counsel is an old friend of mine.

They asked me to act as a consultant on this book. When I agreed, they sent me copies of it and of other materials.

I did not have to get very far into the stuff befare I knew all I had to know. I did read it all and I thereafter checked with people who I knew from earlier correspondence knew MoDonald. I also happen to have known other things, by coincidence. Checking my files confirmed what I recalled.

I was present at a meeting with McDonald and his gang and this publisher and his Lawyer. This was about three weeks age.

At the neeting I did nothing to interfere with any deal the publisher might have wanted to make. I had not with him briefly the night before and for longer that evening and night with the lawyer. The publisher has to this moment not spoken or written to me minoe we had lumch him following the mosting. I left that lunch with the impression that he was going to make an offer of a nature that was not included in my responsibilities to <u>Ecooneld</u>, however.

My belief is that if he made this offer it was insame. In any event, from other sources, I know that either it was not made or not accpted because there has been another deal in which I was not involved and as a consequence I was again involved.

NoDonald and his so-called book are worse than transparent frauds. The book can't exist in anything like its present form without being actionable. There are identifiable characters in it under false names, too. You knew one.

The book opens with any involvement of you if he has not sent you a copy. That rubbish the publisher checked out on his own and told me about it. It involves the New York Review and an affidavit. I see no need to carry this part further in what others will read but you should know that I am not alone with this knowledge. Insofar as you are concerned all this aspect can mean may be personal ambarrassment. I do not think there will be event this and I certainly am going to do nothing.

This gross manufacture is so poorly contrived there is no single part of it that can survive examination after the beginning. It is, without douby, the very worst of this species of worse than trash that I have ever seen. If you want specifies I'll provide them. I'd prefer not to take the time but if you think your interest requires this I will take the time.

If the total - even physical - impedibility of what is represented as fact in the books is not more than enough this common grafter McDonald added more in person and with plenty of witnesses.

What makes all of this a real problem is that there is fraud.

Fart of what makes as fear this can be a serious problem for you is that MoDonald has told others with them he has dealt that you represent him. I have no independent knowledge and I want none. I do know that there was a time when in the recent past you were phoned about this. I have a full enough account from a witness. Long before any of this came to me as a consultation.

Sometime prior to about four days ago there was a deal for this book, with a man nemed Zechariss. I understand he has something called Zohrs publishing company and that it is in San Frnacisco. I do know that with Zaobarias McDonald spont two days recently in an effort to sell encillary rights.

They happen again to have picked a place where I have many friends including people who visit me with some regularity. The last time was only last week. So, I was again consulted. When this happens I have no choice and I do tell the truth. I also produce fact and stand behind it.

I do not believe the deal for the ancillary rights will go through. Unless I as consulted again I have no interest except for the great opportunities the actual publishing of this book could do as personally. The more it is promoted the greater my personal benefit.

If the deal is rejected and if there are specifice, then Zebra will have some very real problems and so will everyone with any kind of McDonald/book connection because it will be apparent to Zebra that despite McBonald's skilled lying they have been defrauded. HoDonald thinks he has been elever about this but he hasn't been. There is absolutely no doubt in my mon-lanyer's mind that he has crossed the line.

I have been paid as a consultant and I am guite propared to stand behing everything I have said. I was trice a consultant. I have not yet received the second payment but there was an agreement and I will be paid.

Other of your associates are up to otherk insmittes. If you went specifics about the newest of Pepkin I'll provide them. He was to have been in Washington this week so if no other way I presume you know. If your stomach isn t churning he has been holding out on you. Again my knewledge comes only from friends who have taken the inflative in seeking my advice. You have seen nothing in public about this but I was consulted long before his recent trap here. One reporter caught Bopkin in what he calls deliberate deception so while I have no knowledge I presume there was a strong reaction out west. This part had nothing to do with me and I learned about it only later.

Recause I can't do what I really want to do I have made no effort to learn whether anything lies behind all this really sick and irrational stuff. There is a consistency in it of which you shild be aware. To this minute I have seen not one thing that does not have a self-destruct built in. Knowing something about commercialisers, whoreast and the irrational of ambition I go no further. I have not tried to learn if any of this is inspired. Hot have I taken time Jim doesn't have to give him details I have obtained.

If I had not know that the CIA had files of both of us I'd not have recommended that the Ray evidentiary hearing begin withé the moves I presented to you and Bill prior to the Ray evidentiary hearing. This is one of the reasons I told Jim to offer me to go over what the CIA has given you. This can take two forms, my reading it in your office and telling you what I can make notes on and see or my going over copies with care and then writing you about it. I am certain there have to be some documents from which some identifications have been removed. I likewise am confident that I can fill in some of the gaps. It also is my belief that among the other considerations is the strong probability that I can link some of this to Henry Heile.

Sincerely,