By Maurine Beasley Washington Post Staff Writer

sequently exonerated of wrongdoing.

The court, in a long-anticipated ruling on the controversial issue of expungement. held that physical destruction of arrest records is both illegal and inadvisable under "our system of government."

It issued the city's first definitive guidelines for insuring that arrest records show that "no culpability" existed in cases where persons are exonerated.

The expungement of arrest records has become an issue in recent years in demonstrations in which large numbers of persons are arrested. Since many of these persons are cleared of wrongdoing, courts have been besieged with a growing number of requests to expunge records.

.The Appeals Court ruled in the case of Dr. Benjamin M. Spock and 74 other persons arrested in an antiwar demon-stration on April 3. 1970. in rest records insure that there stration on April 3, 1970, in Lafayette Park. Six of the defendants-all of whom were on minor charges arrested such as disorderly conductwere tried and acquitted and the government then dropped charges against the remainder. Thereafter the 75 filed motions seeking the physical destruction of their arrest records and seeking also a court order prohibiting the police from disseminating their arrest records.

Earlier this year Superior Court Judge Alfred Burka denied the expungement re-fend in the event of an action quests on grounds that the based on asserted police mis local court lacked power to af- conduct."

of outright destruction." It democratic principles . . ."

Wall - Land Training

4.1

The D.C. Court of Appeals, sent the cases back to Judge ruled yesterday that Washing-Burka for further proceedings ton courts have no power to after spelling out "some guide order arrest records expunged lines as to the nature and even if those accused are sub- scope of available relief." scope of available relief."

In the guidelines, the Appeals Court ordered that "in cases where the arrested person affirmatively demonstrates non-culpability, the police records of that arrest. just like the court records, should be made to reflect that fact."

The Court also held that persons to whom arrest records are disseminated must receive notice of the outcome of the case, "the object being to insure that . . . there will also be included as an integral part of such record the fact that no culpability existed."

Total destruction of arrest records would be unwise, the court held, saying "the hallmark of our system of government calls for the preservation of accurate official records rather than supression of information."

It said that legal requireis no danger of "secret arrest which would be "odious to a Democratic society."

In addition, the Court of Appeals said, persons who wish to bring civil suits against po-licemen for alleged brutality would have no evidence about which to base their cases if arrest records were destroyed. "Clearly, law enforcement's interests are and must be served by preserving records, of arrests if for no other reason than to permit a determination whether and how to de fend in the event of an action

ford the relief sought. Yesterday's decision by the Court of Appeals held that the judge had erred in part be-ency "with a national concept cause he denied "relief short of open government based on 1.1

Ash. A.I

THE WASHINGTON POST Thursday, Oct. 21, 1971

Jourt For ung rest Record