Protest Leader's Indictment Stands

By Maurine Beasley Washington Post Staff Writer

A Superior Court judge re- by lawyers in the U. S. attor-A superior court junge is by lawyers in the U.S. attor-fused yesterday to dismiss a ney's office that Flannery and felony assault indictment Austern declined to prosecute aginst Bradford Lyttle, a Lyttle but had been told to do felony leader of the Mayday antiwar demonstration, who contended that the Mayday-related that the Mayday-related charge represents political harassment.

Wednesday, Dec. 22, 1971

Attorneys for Lyttle argued at a heated hearing before Judge William S. Thompson that the indictment represents a "trumped-up" charge" sion over the objections of the U.S. attorney's office.

Philip J. Hirschkop and Peter Weisman, Lyttle's attorneys, vainly sought permission to subpoena Robert Nardian, head of the Internal Security Division; Thomas A. Flannery, former U. S. attorney and now a judge of the U. S. district Court and David G. Austern, an assistant U.S. attorney

The defense lawyers argued that testimony from the three would show Lyttle's indict-ment was pressed by the Justice Department in spite of a finding by local U. S. attorneys that it had "no merit."

affidavit that he had been told he's in the peace movement."

so by Nardian. The informants

THE WASHINGTON POST

were not named. Refusing to honor the affi-davit, Judge Thompson de-clined to issue the subpoenas. or to dismiss the indictment, the third brought against Lytof assaulting a policeman with sovernment, replied that at tle on the same three counts brought by the Justice Depart-ment's Internal security divisitions technical around a states attorney's ious technical grounds.

The judge said he was "reluctant to order any inquiry ter of "prosecutorial discre-into the prosecution or deci-tion," adding, "it is nothing sion making of the U.S. attor- that the government has to an-ney's office or the Department swer to the defense for." of Justice in the absence of a prima facie showing of prosecutorial misconduct.

"You have not convinced me that there has been a violation by anyone from the internal security office or the district attorney's office," the judge told the defense.

Repeatedly, H i r s c h k o p asked "what are they doing prosecuting an assault on a po-lice officer (charge) unless it's eys that it had "no merit." Hirschkop also submitted an want to silence Lyttle because



BRADFORD LYTTLE '... claims harassment

Assistant U.S. attorney Luke C. Moore, representing the portable loudspeaker last any time representatives of offices throughout the coun-try. He said that this is a mat-