

C 12 Monday, Feb. 21, 1972 THE WASHINGTON POST

Justice Had a Role In Mayday Plans

MAYDAY, From C1

the 7,000 arrests were made), "the desirability as a matter of policy of arresting demonstrators who violated the law was generally agreed upon."

That meeting was attended by Kleindienst, Rehnquist, Beal, Chief Wilson and Watt, among others. Likewise, the papers do not say who made the decision to revoke an Interior Department permit for an encampment in West Potomac Parkway on May 2. D.C. police in riot gear came to ihe park at 6 a.m. that day and evacuated an estimated 60,000 to 80,000 demonstrators from the area, in an action generally credited with reducing the size of the Mayday demonstrations.

Kleindienst had acknowledged in Superior Court last year that he participated in making that decision.

The new court papers show that on May 1, Kleindienst, Ehrlichman, Beal, Mardian, Rehnquist, Chief Wilson and other officials discussed "whether such demonstrators should be allowed to remain in West Potomac Park."

At a second meeting that afternoon, a with a White House counsel John Dean replacing Ehrlichman and with Mitchell in attendance, the topic is said to have been "the dispersal of the demonstrators," the court papers assert

They added that various Justice Department officials including former Assistant Attorney General Will

Wilson and Associate Deputy Attorney General Donald E. Santarelli—served as "liaison officials" with law enforcement personnel from the District of Columbia in the Mayday planning.

The court papers are signed by Mitchell and Kleindienst and are said to be based on documentary material and internal memorandums in Kleindienst's office.

The documents were filed as part of the defense in a law suit against Mitchell, Kleindienst and Wilson brought by 13 Washingtonians—primarily attorneys, journalists and students who were arrested during the Mayday demonstrations. The plaintiffs are asking

the court for \$60,000 in damages each and for a declaration that their arrests arenull and void, because of an alleged "predetermined conspiracy (by the government) to disregard and deny the legal and constitutional rights of citizens" during Mayday.

The new papers will not end the legal battle over how much information regarding the Mayday decision -m ak in g processes should be made public.

The attorneys for the plaintiffs—Joseph L. Rauh Jr. and Elliott C. Lichtman —have formally asked that Mitchell and Kleindienst be ordered to specify in more detail what transpired at the planning sessions.

In addition, the attorneys have arranged to take a deposition (a transcribed interview) from Chief Wilson.