Warlin

P. O. Box 30,357, Ell Colcans, Louisiana, 70130. Thenc: C-A 504, 943-6949

Wednesday, 19th April, 1967.

Hon, Hale Boggs, M.C., D.La., House Office Building, Washington, D.C.

Duar Halo:

Please forgive this very poor letter. I've been rather fill of late, and together with other pressing issues, it follows to help matters along any, if you know what I mean as the very side for do. However, in view of our long ordered as a member of containing the containing the commission, I impleme you to real this late and the commission of subtractors, and give make use and, the containing of containing in complete detail, every containing the containing of containing the containing of containing the contain

delight to be lieve you are esticated to an elementarial content of the elements cancers, and for the moment that a content of the content of

and the I'm to the build. These people say that I'm and that I'm to the press. The countless of the press, and countless of the allegation of the press, and countless of the allegation of the press. The countless of the allegation of the press. The press of the press. The press of the police of the allegation of the police of the press of a very prejudicial source, and for more reasons than those evident, were these made and was I misquoted. I may misse quoted, because all I did was refer to a news article, which these as it's in the attached documents), in an effort to explain the level of intelligence of the person I was talking about the time. Because of this, I also tent into other possibilities of this man's abilities, which were confused too. In short, it suffered for it.

If you would be kind enough to read this text, and so advise the Mon. J. Edgar Hoover, as well as others in this regard, at least I'd feel better about it, and it might clear the air a little. For your able assistance I'll be forever grateful. As ever-

Respectivity yours,

J. S. Martin, P. O. Box 30,357, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70130.

Phon. C-A 504, 943-6949

Wednesday, 19th April, 1967.

Hon. Russell B. Long, D.La., Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C.

Dear Buck:

I implore you...Please read the attached correspondence, and documentary file enclosed, it means a great deal to me to have your attention drawn to this issue, as well as your very able assistance in this matter. As you will notice, I've included a copy of a resent letter to our mutual friend the Hon. Hale Boggs regarding this situation.

If you remember correctly one of the people both you and I assisted several years ago did their best to hurt me. We, or rather you, per my request at that time did this man one hell of a favor. Of course I stuck my neck out the most. He needed help and I did my best through you eventhough I should have known better at the time. After all, I'd caught the guy in several deals prior to then for my clients, but he pleaded that he'd mend his ways, and I believed him at the time and got you to help him. Shortly afterwards however, if you remember correctly I wrote you withdrawing my support in his regard because I found out he was using me. Although, at the time if you remember it was too late, and you advised me accordingly, so I let the matter drop. This guy's name was Carl John Stanley. When you see the enclosed text, perhaps you'll remember...Perhaps some day far distant, this guy might mend his ways, but I doubt it. Although, I can't help but believing that everybody has the right, or should have, at least one more chance to start over again, regardless of how bad they may seem. And I've always even tried to help those I've caught if I could, and I felt they were sincere, as was this case, at that particular time.

As you can see, I've been blasted but good. If you'll read my letter to Hale (enclosed), the letter to my attorney, the other material, make copies of seme and forward these up with Hale to the Hon. Mr. Hoover, and others concerned, I'll be so ever indebted to you. Thanks in advance, with every good wish, I remain—

Respectfully, your friend,

JUCK.

PS: Please tell Mr. Hoover that I want no one hurt over this like the loss of a job, et cetera... These are good people, but just a bit confused at that time. PLEASE TROUSE THIS POOR LETTER... I MAYE NOT BURN WELL FOR AMMILE NOW, TO THESE TROUBLEST PRODUCTION OF ... JUL.

J. S. Martin, P. O. Box 30,357, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70130. Phone: C-A 504, 943-6949

Wednesday, 19th April, 1967.

100

Hen. Steven R. Plotkin, Esq., Law Firm: Plotkin, Alvarez & Sapir, Suite 900, Baronne Building, New Orleans, Louisiana, 70112.

ini ir

My Dear Friend, Steve:

After our brief telephone conversations over the west-end, I started thinking about the various legal matters, their many possible complications involved, together with the numerous aspects of their ethical feasibility, et ecters; these sundary legal intrests which now rest in your very able hands and concern my future. Therefore, for the moment I advecate complete suspension of our due-legal-process althoughture, so in the membrine please enclose this letter, all other notes, evidence, and facts, in my personal "Comment-Report Designation, and hold everything in abeyonce for some later reference if they are ever needed as a defensive measure rather than the offensive action in law (demage suit): Temporerily, at least

My reasons for this attitude are legion. However, such an accumulant my part might well clear my name; but by the same telem it also may east unnecessary and uncalled for distrust towards on Government or some of its agencies in the end result. In the ware the case, I could never personally crase such disflute; or contempt for myself from my conscience for having been the possible instrument of such an act... Inasmuch as, it's my contempt during these present controversial times should stand by, and back-up his country, rather than involve himself in some act which might tend to confuse its citizens regarding its true intent of purpose, and otherwise damage its very sincere public image. Suffice it to say, under these conditions, and for these reasons, I can not engage those concerned, nor those facets of Government, and expose either them or myself to the tender nercies of public opinion or the legal profession.

Steve, as you know I've always remained neutral, neither pro or con relative to the Warren Commission (or such activities), nor (have I ever been) an "enthusiastic supporter" of the current Garrison-Probe (even though some press-authorities have said otherwise). To the contrary, I've always tried to cooperate to the fullest extent with all of the Government Offices and Agencies (Federal, State, Parish, and City), regardless of any issue or of the personalities involved in a humble step towards good citizenship. Moreover, I also feel that any move (legal) that we might take at this time could well be misconstrued, and that this is not the time for such a sensationalistic venture.

Furthermore, I shudder to think of our nations future leagues refering to the "Martin Case" in their appeals and other legal actions for years to come. Stop and think: The die has a heady been established. By this I meen in quoting the "Martin Case" as an instance a head Government itself broke the old legal rule of accepted legal pro-

....

ecdure, the "confidential-immunity-eff-an-informant" and set an entire new legal precedent by their act in my case. Then I was emposed publicly through the press by an act at the hand of Government in the legal execution of this new precedent of law. Hence, legal precedent is itself established at this very moment, and could now be used by any selfish intrest. I say this, as at the time I spoke to these people I did invoke such confidential-informant-immunity, upon each and every occarion, and under the existing conditions it was accepted. This is another reason for the way I feel at the time concerning holding up on matters. In short, if we push this case now, we've published openly the fact that the confidential immunity of an Government's Procedures in the "Martin Case", at cetera. Then the confy immediate remedy to this that I can see is to be coned thus, it me might our all through offence in this instance.

....

might be the first (or one of the first) cases in which can something judgment is secured against a police official (the stants of the F.B.I. and Secret Service are police), and unless the continuity of the F.B.I. and Secret Service are police), and unless the continuity of the F.B.I. and Secret Service are police), and unless the cash find vidual row acting out of content of the cash individual row acting out of content for and never been informed that such oral interviews make the cash individual to the continuity of used in my case. Meither was I ever furnished to come of such statements referred to. However, I can see no saturated that those involved might lose their positions and I wouldn't let myself do this to these men who have to all indicate and given good service to our government prior to this obscaling some ways. So again, we have smother reason not to continue

Probably, the only satisfaction I can see that adject be roughed sould be in the form of same very weak press release which tould in all likelihood say semetaing like: "The to the entrement and the maleiple interrognitions made of that time, it has been found that between the interview at a term confused. Therefore, many of the statements allegably made to confused. Therefore, many of the statements allegably made to lartin were incorrect and at that time were made by another for another has been lost, and all but put he out of business. So to speak, . There would have to be satisfied else to clear the can and to stabilize matters, and for the mement to this think in me anower except one I have in mind. Although, this law suit would mement, so that's the use of westing our time, et extern. I would moment, so that's the use of westing our time, et extern. I would moment, so that's the use of westing our time, et extern. I would these reports were made, for more reasons than one, by the misquotations, et cetera. Hence, us right back where we star-

So let's forget it for the mement. With every good wish, I remain-

Respectfully yours,