Senator Charles Mich. Mathias U.S. Senate

Washington, D.C.

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 4/24/78

Dear Mac,
This letter ia prompted by the Kaisers story in today's Post headed Doubts Persist About Soviet Defector." It gays the Intelligence committee is looking into the "hotly disputed" case.

I think that the cominttee likeviac is going to be torn and unable to agree.
Moreover, much too much paper has bean generated. I doubt anyone will be able to assimilate all of it.

Wy own belief is that this is much more Byzantine than appears on the surface or any of the surfaces.

There ares aspects about which I think I con be helpful, if you co desire. It is posadile that one of these sapocts is baste. I think it is although I do not thionic the dispute will ever be resolved to the satisfaction of those of Angietosian view of the world ar of intelligence motivities.

Bocsure it is not wise for ma to drive that far and have to lues my feet dove $Z$ co to Vashiaston only when I sat. As of now 1 you of nothtres that will require we to go there for a manche Then I will uso the bus, which gets me there about $8: 30$. I will return on the bus that leaven at $2: 00$ porn. I have a status call in an Foin case that morning. howler, if you ane have or a weber of jour stair is driving that far is no problem if meeting here is.

Separate from whatever may be the facts of the Nosenko sse there is this consideration: why would the GIA and the FEI atomerall my earlier POTs requests relating
 believe what he says, and often I do not, both fancies did pore than merely provide records - also doing the on an exclusive basis.

Why also would both sigancias avon new refuse to comply with my requester?
I have received from these agencies not a angle ploce of paper relating to Nosenko, all of now. I Tiled new requests in about February. Both have been rejected.

While to a degree Epstein' i hon political views and the nature oi his prior writings can provide an answer it cannot be and I believe is not a full sawer.

Our beat to you all,


