Dear Patti.

If and when the Senate Foreign Affairs Multinational Corporation report seferred to in Larry Sterm's story in today's Post is available and if getting it is no trouble for you, I'd appreciate a copy.

False swearing (including perjury) has become almost as common as paychecks in the executive branch.

Why the Congress and the courts, both of which should long have been aware of it, have tolerated it I don't know. Often I do wonder. I've filed proofs under oath in courts have had them ignored entirely. Without being charged with false swearing myself.

The executive-branch practise of misrepresenting to and deceiving courts has become a fixed practise, almost a way of doing the nation's business.

It has resulted in the kinds of decisions that have given laws meaning exactly opposite that intended by the Congress in enacting them.

Mad is co-sponsor of an amondment to one, to return it to its original meaning. He may not be aware of it, but by trickery and deception the executive branch has accomplished its purposes behind the scenes and if these amendments are passed in political matters the law will be more of a license to suppresse than official corruption has already made it. Or, the Congress will again have been frustrated. It will, in fact, have legislated this license to suppress and may well be unaware of it.

The fourth of my WHITEWASH series of books will be out soon. Each member will receive a copy. There will be some illustrations of this in it and in facsimile, where nobody will have to take my word or my interpretation. The man who swore falsely in that case, and I believe it is perjury, has a fine reputation and may in the past have been a friend of "ac"s. He was Ike's solicitor general and he was general counsel of the Warran Commission.

If the Watergate Committee has printed its report, I have not yet received it.

Thanks and best regards,

Sincerely.

Harold Veisberg