

11/22/73

Dear Mac,

No pro forma reply is necessary, so neither you nor your staff should trouble yourselves.

This morning's Evans-Novak column tells much more than the snatch I caught on TV after you left The August Presence.

You know of my interest in those elements of suppressed evidence. The last I heard from your staff, quite some time ago, the Ervin committee was not disposed to be helpful. I anticipated this and to a large degree I have dependably reconstructed what they masked in printing the few of the documents they included in the hearings from what had been leaked. There is no genuine "national security" matter. To the degree possible and there relevant I have this analyzed in a book-length section of the book on which I'm working. By "there relevant" I mean that I have more, much more and expect to be able to carry this much further. You are in one of the nitty-gritty areas. My in-hand proofs are, I think, more sensational than anything that has yet been published.

It simply is not possible for Nixon to provide what the column says he said he would give you. Not unless it is accompanied with a resignation. Not being a lawyer I can't with assurance say enough to take to court. I can say beyond reasonable doubt outside of court.

Should you receive anything or should you have received anything from other sources that you do not have to keep in confidence, I would welcome it. If there is anything you can let me have, if it or any part must be confidential, please also let me know. I have no way of knowing what you know or what you expect but I do assure you that these areas are much more complex than anything made public so far begins to indicate.

If it is at all possible for you to be absent for the Ford vote, I hope you will be. I am not expressing a philosophical opinion. I have certain evidence in hand and more being worked on for me with an advance appraisal that it is enough to be of major significance. The need for ellipsis can end any time you might desire it. You know the work I have done and the enemies I have. I don't believe I have ever before made reference to danger. I do now. In context on Ford perhaps of less significance than I will have is the fact that there is no possibility of doubt that he swore falsely 11/5 in testifying that he used no secrets in selling his Warren Commission work and secret records. I have now recorded this in federal district court in Washington, CA 2052-73.

Perjury and its subornation ^{have} been commonplace in the various hearings. I do not know how much of it you are aware of, but the staff if not the members of the Ervin committee cannot be without certain knowledge, particularly as it involves the CIA.

My resources are painfully limited, but I am pursuing the areas of your interest in my own and by these limited means. Limitation means it takes time and patience. It also means that for the most part I must restrict myself to effort where I am certain of what truth and fact are and then seek added proofs. In these areas there is a considerable amount of proof I have that I seek to duplicate for compelling reasons. But what I do know, beyond any question, tells me that you cannot possibly be told what Nixon has promised you because it would be the end.

Keep it up in your own quiet way, let me know if there is anything I can do, good luck, and best to all.

Sincerely,
Harold Weisberg