

CO CONFIDENTIAL

4/27/74

W. F. MARTIN  
Senator Edward Brooke  
Senate of the D.C.  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Martin,

Replied in a context of "Leary's" letter to Mr. Lattimer. The only reason in my  
letter - have not quoted in it are "my apologies for the time people to your letter."

I have not kept you completely informed about the activities in the company in  
which I have proof, nor have I told you everything I have learned. In part this is because  
I do not want to lose confidence. However, I do tell you it is a fact and my proof is beyond  
any rational question. On the basis of mutual confidence, as I have already indicated, it is  
available to you, except for what - that remains confidential.

For whatever it is worth to you, I tell you that this involves people now in  
the Federal government and includes written proofs in my possession.

There is nothing in my letter to Mr. Lattimer that in any way suggests or implies  
my knowledge of the... especially articles you should interpret as a charge or the usual  
... have the... is your best public record and nothing intended to suggest that  
... will... that anyone, now and then, in present circumstances, be permitted to use any  
of these materials. Except for that portion for which - have said, but including me, on  
I am the first to have requested access to this material. It would be obvious to you that  
I cannot trust the... of all of it, as I can... a lawyer... in a... basis.  
I am further... tell you that an application... for... now... of...  
... I... to... and I... not... to that....

Sincerely,

Harold Rosenberg

Dear Ed and Bill,

Having no idea of the line of belief in Leary's office, there is a limit to what I feel  
I can safely tell them. However, I think you should know that under regulation and contract,  
what was done with Lattimer was wrong and when my letter did not reach the responsible official  
until after his illegal act, ex post facto he changed the regulations in an effort to legalize  
the illegal. This is the second ex post facto change in the federal regulations for which  
what I have done is responsible, in both cases to cloak an illegality. By now there is  
repetitive perjury involved in this miserable mess. I have the original regulations and all  
changes in my possession. There has been delay in what I know had been expected. I can only  
hope that what I have attempted in the course, and that the plans will not come to fruition.  
But to depend upon this would be self-deception. This has the potential for getting much worse  
and destructively harmful to the D.C. You know Justice Marshall. I do not. I can find no rational  
explanation for what he has done and for what, to my knowledge, he has already agreed to. I  
ask that you not tell him this, do not bother with formal acknowledgments, but if you have  
any questions, by all means ask them.