Alniled States Senate

Aug. 1,, 1,77

in reply proces roft: hip of.

Respectfully referred to:

Othgrecoithel litines Thice, Faleral Larcha of Investigation

Because of the desire of this office to be responsive to all inquiries and communications, your consideration of the attached is requested. Your findings and views, in duplicate form, along with return of the enclosure, will be appreciated by

Please address reply to: Senate Salect Committee on Intelligence / tten: No. Jean Evens Dirhoep Senate (Cline Aldg.

Charles Mad. Mathles, or.

/ EMCTCZNYCH

Form #2

U.S.S.

Ire in Act

Ļ

110-107-39

17 500 50-1977

Joseph Commission of the Commi

70 OCT 41977

Nearly

At. 12, Frederick, Nd. 21701 8/15/77

Senator Charles Lathias U.S. Sanate Mashington, D.C. 20510 Dear Fac.

After very long effort I've finally obtained an instalment of the PBI's records on ma If you read my last letter you may recall I maked you if you had taken at face value what you and others on the Church counittoe had been eppon fed about those of us called "critics of the Warren Commission. I have now read part of what you were given.

I am distressed that you would over appear to accept such vile stuff without even asidns me about it. If it were not so Orwellian, so totally authoritarian and the practice of our own government I'd call it garbage. I knew the FBI would do this kind of thing and . If I did not ask you for copies of what related to me, so that there could be a response in the counities's files, I know I asked Schweiker and Part, meither of whom responded.

What I've gone through thus far is obviously incomplete for the pariod supposedly covered. Whether it is included, out of order, in what I am told is yet to be sent I have no way of knowing. I have passed the 11/8/66 memo to Kervin Watson for LEU that your committee printed without names and the attachmed memo on me. If you have retained a copy, the bottom half of the first page and the first paragraph of the second are obliterated on the copy provided to me. No reason has been given me. Be claim to exemption is specified here or elsewhere. This has become the standard PRI device to frustrate successful appeal. If I get a claim to all the exemptions involved it will be a major job just to go through all of this again. In itself that impeded my other work. They intend to stop it, as another remo you may not have says: "The denger seems considerable if he is not stopped now."

This was in connection with a scheme they had for having an agent sue me. I've recently deposed that authoritarian in an FOLA suit. When he made a reference to those records of which I then had no knowledge after the deposition was over, not to interfere with it, I told the AUS; and representative of the FET Office of Legal Counsel that if he would sue I'd waive the statute of limitations. I later wrote this man the same thing, As ing him to sue and put his reputation and his work on the line. He has not responded. I did not libel him. It work about him is accurate and faithful.

For all their power and their willingness to use it, witness scheming to break me with a pourious suit in the name of an agent, these people are like might-smooks. They can do this kind of dirty work only in secrecy. I'll come to their actual fear of develight in response ing to the specifics not hidden in the 11/8/66 attachment.

The opening paragraph is factually inaccurate. This, too, is typical of the PHI I've come to know. The purpose of the inaccuracy is put-down. I'm only a chicken farmer. By then I had not been for years and their files also show this.

Next they have my Senate employment, again with the wrong dates, and my firing. This is attributed to "permitting certain information to leak to the press. Senator LaPollathe stated that Weisberg had been dismissed for a breach of trust involving the release of confidential information to a newspaper and the Sanator was quite certain the newspaper involved Aux was the 'Deily Worker,' a former east coast communist newspaper,"

Ancept that I was fired, which is not literally true, every word of this is false. I was not on the Senate payroll. I elected not to go back to the job from which I was detailed. I was the administrative assistant to the Administrator of the Para Decurity Administration. I was then the committee's editor, oustedian of the public recordians nothing else. The committee held no secret properties and I possessed no secrets to leak. There also was no leak. The material was printed. You can get in from the library

of Congress. Henry Berger came actross relevant records in the Mine Workers' records in which he was working last summer. It was a letter to "ohn Lewis from erdner "Path Vackson, a "gris lobbyist and a close friend of mine. It reminded me of what I had forgotten, that a superior had told me to give proofs to a reporter. However, the record was public, the volume was being printed and it was not a secret hearing. One of because the corporate officials from whom we took testimony were permitted to correct their testimony.

Cluded something else I'd forgotten, that I prepared testimony for the saving of the Vagner Act and it was solid testimony that was not rebutted. It was delivered by one of laris' top assistants. Remember, this is the 1930s.)

The reporter was not a Communist. He was an anti-formunist. It was not the Daily Morker. It was a labor news service. The man was the late Henry Ros. if you know him when he and Henry Pleischer had the public-relations against Henry now has.

The real reason LaPollete wanted to get rid of me is that Jackson and I lobbyed another appropriation for the subcommittee he wanted to die. He got along well emough on his father's reputation. He did not like to work and on the committee he did note. I prepared his first questioning at the first hearing, having to work around the clock for days to do it while he was living it up, not even aboving up at the office. The most work he ever did was to read a briefing in advance of the hearing.

That investigation was of the migratory worker situation in California, Remember Steinbech's Grapes of Wrath? Without me and that lobbying, which had to get around FDR and did, there never would have been that investigation. Should I be related now? Or then? Was it wrong then? So the first time he had a complaint, however false it was, levelete got his venguence in a way he could defend with his later support.

Part of the pert peragraph is obliterated in my copy. I'm certain it is as prejudicial and unfaithful as what remains, that I was one of 10 fired by the State Department, "bocause of suspicion of being a communist or having communist sympathies." As this closs say, of the 10 of us and arranged for it. In later withdrawing its action, much to the chapmin of Hoover and the FMI, State apologized for it. The headline of the day, which should have been in the files your committee had, was "backed down." It was a ploy by the Nasanderthals who had moved in and taken control over more than "occurity" under the Nasarran Act, since held unConstitutional.

I think I wrote you after obtaining part of the State files only. When State asked the FMI for a name-check on me the FMI lied and said it had no files on me. The reason is apparent: these records cannot stand analimation and they were not about to let them be examined in a loyalty hearing. That the FMI did lie is in the records I've just obtained from it, together with the high-level contortions to contrive a semantical by was. The deal they finally cooked up is a typical non sequetur - State had not asked them to investigate me.

If defense was mro bono by the law firm then headed by the late Julia Dauran Arnold. I had belied his when he was in charge of DV anti-trust, with all my investigative reporting of hard cartels and the like. He or one of the other pertners interested its. Order Feid of the New York Herald Tribune, who assigned Bart Arirans to do a story. It is one of the mair missing from the State file and the one I've just received. It included an interview with Roover in which Roover told him that there really was nothing against any of us and that if a couple had been his employees he'd have told us that we knew people we should be careful about. State was forced to "back down." We were virtually all Jews. Two were proteges of Kargaret head.

It has been many months since I wrote State about correcting the files it did lot me have, far from all, under the Privacy Act. I still swelt a response and the rest of the files.

Next there is the real gem, "It is alleged that Veisberg held an annual celebration of the Russian devolution. ... a picnic at his residence end was attended by 25 to 30 mm-

The Cilv times that many people were at the farm, not the home, was on the annual puting of the Jewish Welfare Roard for Washington-area nervice personnel and their families. And it was not at the time of the Russian revolution, which was in November, but in September, after the high holy days. It was arranged by a rabbi we know. You should remember the delight of kids in seeing edge hatch, baby chicks, duchs and goese and other farm creatures. That is what it was. They had a Memic at our farm, no nore.

In the Fal's own records rether than those they passed along the "Alleged" is missing.

The actuality is that in those days, at the request of ISIA, I had challenged the DSSR to peaceful competition in poultry. Later State asked me to go there and above them how to raise better chickens. You may not recall it but I won first putse for the whole united States in the only dressed poultry competition ever held. You should remember my improviously work for the poultry industry.

I do not know what was masked in the copies provided me. I have WHI records that are less that full and much less than truthful about some, like their generic up with the Dies condittee in an attempt to frame me. To survive that monstrous business I had to take a grand jury ever from the AUSA and then persuade it to indict the Dies agent. I think that has not happened since and I know of no carlier case. After he got restiff the comp the FEI had fee hit as by my persistence alone he did, that ANDA, then third man in the Office of the U.S.Attorney in Washington and later older war ordines procedutor in Tokyo, had so buch respect and so much concern for me that he gave me a transcript of the grand jury testimony. He told me the time might come when I might need at for my defense, given what he had seen. If he were not dead I would not be telling you this. While the transcript is not in my office I can locate it if you have any doubts. You know he mished him entire career in an effort to atone for what he had almost done to a kid, which is what I then was. The FM had had two agents confine me, illegally, to pressure me into aigning a false confession. I merely sat and refused. When they blinked they let no call so lawjer, partner in the law fire Drew Pewraon arranged for Jackson's defense and going. Jackson's friends, like ween Acheson and others of that firm, who I met through Weskson, ran like hell when he asked then to be commael. The union did not date provide coursel because the whole frameup had as its added purpose getting the union movement.

The FEI has not given me the copy of the false confession it tried to pressure me and intimidate me into signing. However, it alipped up and gave me a record showing they had actually distributed it to FM offices. I have a record referring to it. And so Dies had to cop a plea for his wretched minion.

The same ran was also in on a real plot to throw Roosevelt out. I made the mistake of trusting the FBI with those record believing they'd be returned. It was a shuddering thing. It involved the highest military personage. Now that I'm getting my records to getting for an erchive for some years I've been trying to recapture these records for deposit, for students today and for the future. The Department of Justice has provided contemporaneous proof that the FBI lied to us over the disposition of them. The FBI claims to have destroyed them, meaning a copy they made. The Original Division returned them to the FBI after the alleged date of destruction. I await the next lie.

In the FBI records there is an allegation that I was a co-worker, but in a warmer way with one supported of involvement in the "Propory" case. If my recollection is correct that was the case of a liberal way that "cal occasist, Gregory Silvermester. The maked referent access to be that my boss when I worked for the government had been at a purty with him. I have my boss because the government but me to work under him. I do not know what him.

politics were. I know he was a liberal. I know he preised me for a large research job I had done, to identify the prominent Communist lator leaders in one of the four Latin American areas into which the Pivision was divided. (So did the man with whom I was fired for whom I did it and another with whom I was fired who wanted me to do it for his area. Obviously this kind of information was susceptial for our diplomats.) The alleged "greety ony" has was driven out of the country as he was hounded from job to job, least I heard of him he was to Canada, teaching past the age of retirement to make it. We had just published the first of a two-volume anti-Castro study.

I can only worder what else was fabricated, what was obliterated from the poison sent to the White Rouse, what exists in the records still withheld. I have never been a Communication I was a new Wesler appears like an epithet in the spooks' former secrets - when I was part of the New York government. That I am not even a party-liming Democrat you should know very well. I doubt I have known a dozen Communists in my 64 years. I have known many more who could be called fascists.

Two aspects of this trouble me much. One is that you appear to have let yourself be influenced unilaterally well as you know me and my beliefs. That you would credit such stuff without even asking me and not respond when I raised the question with you. The other is that this reflects to me the capability of the Fall and others like it to infinitelate the forgress while the Congress is actually investigating it and them. They show you what they can do to you and the Congress troubles, and it is a fact, these kinds of authoritarians have the means and the disposition to hurt those who oppose authoritarianism, which they represent A political figure who has to run for re-election has something to think about.

In the records I have received there is a theme of reporting, critical of my faith in the Commess to clean up the mess the executive adencies and the Counsision made in the JFI assassination. I do not have that faith today, he you may have seen I've been exposing the dishonesties of the Rouse committee, which is updated locarithten to me in unjustified criticisms of the agencies. I guess the middle is a locally place and that Wordsworth was correct about being in the forefront in an era of change. By first book, you may recall, asked for Commess to investigate. You spoke to Hanny Celler about it in 1965. The FEU does not like that. These records show it.

In the records I have gone over I do not think there is a single page that is truthful and fair. Some of the contrivences, especially about the perfection of the Director when he nade terrible mistakes, are sickening. They differ in degree only from what I have seen in captured files of every authoritarisms. That I am saying is that there is involved much more than the burt to me. This represents a clear and present danger to the country and to a free system of society.

That hurt to me was the design is explicit. Prior to obtaining these records I had obtained others in an FOIA suit. They spell it out. This is now in a court record in a case in which the FBI is a defendent. They have not challenged it in any way. They cannot. It is their own record, their own words, the diktat of their dictator.

Perfection is not a human state, Fac. Now that my health is again impaired I am more inclined, especially when I go over records like these, to consider my own record. My record for occuracy is entirely untouched. I have no reason to be ashased of my record for integrity. I do find, thanks to the FBI's entirely improper syding, that I was much too conservative at the beginning, much toogrammens in seeking justifications for wrongdoing.

When we last met I was recovering from preumonia and pleuring. Shortly thereafter I was hospitelized for acute thrombophicidiss. By then there had been permanent denote. I feel it has increased since. There now is an incompletely diagnosed arterial complication. I have undertaken an enormous job. I will do as much of it as I can as well as I can for whatever good it can do, whatever value it can have. I have arranged for a permanent archival all my records. This includes the joinon I am sacking from the government. I have welved all personal privacy rights in the archive. But I will rise to defend my rejutation if it

is challdeged. To date it has never been to my face. Only the kind of vanon to which you and your colleagues have been subjected. To the degree it is possible for me to do it on paper I will correct this evil propaganda.

Videspread as non-compliance is I have obtained enough to provide a basis. This is a sample. In time perhaps there will be nore. The Clabes not yet complied with my requests for the records on me, from 1971 they have not complied. They have given me a few pages, less that I had obtained elsewhere, and have been sitting on an appeal for years. I hope to be able to sue all these authoritarians.

In one of the POIA suits I took the witness stand last year to tick off cases of FEI pirjury. I was not cross exemined on this. No agent came to testify in his own defensa. FEI counsel as well as the AUSA had a crack at cross-exemining me and daring to key to pull some of the stuff you've been subjected to. The AUSA pooped out on the cross examination and the PEI livyer remained silent.

I will not accept official perjury if I can do nothing about the willingness of the courts to tolerate it. I also will not be intimindated by those people. The wrong they do must be ended or the country is not asie. I fear the permanent harm to the nation from the wrong that has taken most of my recent years.

In the authentic sense of the abusid word, not that given it by the Poovers, the McCarthys, the Dieses and their kind, I consider myself a petriot and my work a petriotic endeavor. My work is not and never has been the pursuit of a whodunit. It addresses the functioning of the basic institutions of our acciety. When they nalfunction we are all in danger and so, in my view, is representative society and any meaningful concept of freedom.

Whether or not you respond I do ask that if you have such stuff as I have cited in your files you keep a copy of this with it. If this can still be done with the records of the Church committee I would appreciate that.

Sincerely.

Egrold Veisberg

e le



September 20., 1977

1 - Mr. Mintz

Congressional Affiars

Monorable Charles McC. Mathias, Jr. United States Benate Washington, D. C. 20510

TELEVI COVERNMENT

Dear Senator Mathias:

This is to acknowledge require by the PBI of your communication dated August 29, 1977, concerning the Process of Information-Privacy Acts (POIPA) request of Mr. Earold Weisberg.

Please be advised, we have previously released documents to Mr. Weisberg pursuant to the provisions of the Freedom of Information-Privacy Acts.

No other determination can be made in the documents either released or withheld concerning Mr. Meisberg's POIPA request prior to an administrative appeal.

Should Mr. Weisberg wish to file an administrative appeal, it will be incumbent upon him to contact the Deputy Attorney Coneral, United States Department of Justice, Washington, D. C. 20530, (Attention: Privacy Appeal-Denial of Access).

As you sequested, your enclosure is being returned berewith.

Tour Interest is this matter is appreciated.

ST-105

REC-79

Bincerely yours, 190-709-1

MAILED 10

C. M. Kelley

Clarence M. Kelley

Clarence M. Kelley

Director

Fig. Director

Control

Con

70 BGT 41977

TELETYPE UNIT

15 T