Senator Charles Mathias U.S.Senate Washington, D.C.

Dear Mac.

256

After very leng effort I've finally obtained an instalment of the FBI's records on me. If you read my last letter you may recall I asked you if you had taken at face value what you and others on the Church committee had been appear fed about those of us called "critics" of the Warren Commission. I have now read part of what you were given.

I am distressed that you would even appear to accept such vile stuff without even asking me about it. If it were not so Orwellian, so totally authoritarian and the practise of our own government I'd call it garbage. I know the FRI would do this kind of thing and if I did not ask you for copies of what related to me, so that there could be a response in the consittee's files, I know I asked Schweiker and Hart, neither of whom responded.

What I've gene through thus far is obviously incomplete for the period supposedly covered. Whether it is included, out of order, in what I am told is yet to be sent I have no way of knowing. I have passed the 11/8/66 meme to Marvin Watsen for LMJ that your committee printed without names and the attachmed meme on me. If you have retained a copy, the bottom half of the first page and the first paragraph of the second are obliterated on the copy privided to me. No reason has been given me. We claim to exemption is specified here or elsewhere. This has become the standard FRI device to frustrate successful appeal. If I get a claim to all the exemptions involved it will be a major job just to go through all of this again. In itself that impede my other work. They intend to step it, as another memo you may not have says: "The danger seems considerable if he is not stopped now."

This was in connection with a scheme they had for having an agent sue me. I've recently deposed that authoritarian in an FOIA suit. When he made a reference to these records of which I then had no knewledge after the deposition was over, not to interfere with it, I teld the AUSA and representative of the FBI Office of Legal Counsel that if he would sue I'd waive the statute of limitations. I later wrote this men the same thing, daring him to sue and put his reputation and his work on the line. He has not responded. I did not lib el him. My work about him is accurate and faithful.

For all their power and their willingness to use it, witness scheming to break me with a spurious suit in the name of an agent, these people are like night-sneaks. They can do this kind of dirty work only in secrecy. I'll came to their actual fear of daylight in responding to the specifies not hidden in the 11/8/66 attachment.

The opening paragraph is factually inaccurate. This, too, is typical of the FRI_I've come to knew. The purpose of the inaccuracy is put-down. I'm only a chicken farmer. By then I had not been for years and their files also show this.

Next they have my Senate employment, again with the wrong dates, and my firing. This is attributed to "permitting certain information to leak to the press. Senator LaFollette stated that Weisberg had been dismissed for a breach of trust involving the release of confidential information to a newspaper and the Senator was quite certain the newspaper involved pure was the 'Dailt Werker,' a former east coast communist newspaper."

Except that I was fired, which is not literally true, every word of this is false. I was not en the Senate payrell. I elected not to go back to the job from which I was detailed. I was the administrative assistant to the Administrator of the Farm Security Administration. I was then the committee's editor, custodian of the public record and nothing else. The committee held no secret hearings and I possessed no secrets to leak.

There also was no leak. The material was printed. You can get if from the Library

of Congress. Henry Berger came accross relevant records in the Mine Workers' records in which he was working last summer. It was a letter to ohn Lewis from ardner "Pat" Jackson, a "swis lobbyist and a close friend of mine. It reminded me of what I had forgotten, that a superior had told me to give proofs to a reporter. However, the record was public, the volume was being printed and it was not a secret hearing. One of my jobs was to make the galley proofs available to the press instead of transcripts because the corporate officials from whom we took testimony were permitted to correct their testimony.

(Henry said he had never seen so glowing endersement of a young man ever. This included semething else I'd forgotten, that I prepared testimony for the saving of the Wagner Act and it was solid testimony that was not rebutted. It was delivered by one of Lewis' top assistants. Remember, this is the 1930s.)

The reporter was not a Communist . He was an anti-Communist. It was not the Daily Worker. It was a labor news service. The man was the late Henry Ken, if you knew him when he and Henry Fleischer had the public-relations agency Henry new has.

Southern Committee Committ

東京 小田本本 できる

The real reason levellets wanted to get rid of me is that Jackson and I lobbied another appropriation for the subcommittee he wanted to die. He get along well enough on his father's reputation. He did not like to work and on the committee he did none. I prepared his first questioning at the first hearing, having to work around the clock for days to do it while he was living it up, not even showing up at the office. The most work he ever did was to read a briefing in advance of the hearing.

That investigation was of the migratory worker situation in California. Remember Steinbeck's Grapes of Wrath? Without me and that lobbying, which had to get around FDR and did, there never would have been that investigation. Should I be ashemed now? Or then? Was it wrong then? So the first time he had a complaint, however false it was, LaFollete get his vengeance in a way he could defend with his labor support.

Part of the next paragraph is obliterated in my copy. I'm certain it is as prejudicial and unfaithful as what remains, that I was one of 10 fired by the State Department, "because of suspicion of being a communist or having communist sympathies." As this does say, "He was later allowed to resign without prejudice," my emphasis. In fact I led the defense of the 10 of us and arranged for it. In later withdrawing its action, much to the chagrin of Hoover and the FHI, State apologised for it. The headline of the day, which should have been in the files your committee had, was "backed down." It was a play by the Heanderthals who had moved in and taken control ever more than "security" under the McCarran Act, since

I think I wrote you after obtaining part of the State files only. When State asked the FRI for a name-check on me the FRI lied and said it had no files on me. The reason is apparent: these records cannot stand examination and they were not about to let them be examined in a levalty hearing. That the FRI did lie is in the records I've just obtained from it, together with the high-level contortions to contrive a semantical by-pass. The deal they finally cooked up is a typical non sequetur - State had not asked them to in-

My defense was pro bono by the law firm then headed by the late Judge Thurman Arnold. I had helped him when he was in charge of DJ anti-trust, with all my investigative reporting on Maxi cartels and the like. He or one of the other partners interested Ts. Ogden Reid of the New York Herald Tribune, whe assigned Bert Andrews to de a story. It is one of the many missing from the State file and the one I've just received. It inclinded an interview with Hoover in which Hoover told him that there really was nothing against any of us and that if a couple had been his employees he'd have teld us that we knew people we should be careful about. State was forced to "back down." We were virtually all Jews. Two were

It has been many months since I wrote State about correcting the files it did let me have, far from all, under the Privacy Act. I still await a response and the rest of the files.

3630

Next there is the real gem, "It is alleged that Weisberg held an annual celebration of the Russian evolution. ... a picnic at his residence and was attended by 25 to 30 un-known people..."

The only times that many people were at the farm, not the home, was on the annual puting of the Jewish Welfare Board for Washington-area service personnel and their families. And it was not at the time of the Russian revolution, which was in November, but in September, after the high hely days. It was arranged by a rabbi we knew. You should remember the delight of kids in seeing eggs hatch, baby chicks, ducks and goese and other farm creatures. That is what it was. They had a picnic at our farm, no more.

In the FHI's own records rather than those they passed along the "alleged" is missing.

The actuality is that in those days, at the request of USIA, I had challenged the USSR to peaceful competition in poultry. Later State asked me to go there and show them how to raise better chickens. You may not recall it but I won first prize for the whole United States in the only dressed poultry competition ever held. You should remember my promotional work for the poultry industry.

I do not know what was masked in the copies provided me. I have FRI records that are less that full and much less than truthful about some, like their ganging up with the Dies committee in an attempt to frame we. To survive that monstrous business I had to take a grand jury away from the AUSA and then persuade it to indict the Dies agent. I think that has not happened since and I know of no earlier case. After he got pasted the crap the FEI had fed him as by my persistence alone he did that AUSA, then third men in the Office of the U.S.Attorney in Washington and later chief war crimes prosecutor in Tokyo. had so much respect and so much concern for me that he gave me a transcript of the grand jury testimony. We told me the time might come when I might need it for my defense, given what he had seen. If he were not dead I would not be telling you this. While the transcript is not in my office I can locate it if you have any doubts. You know he risked his entire career in an effort to shene for what he had almost done to a kid, which is what I then was. The FBI had had two agents confine me, illegally, to pressure me into signing a false confession. I merely sat and refused. When they blinked they let me call my lawyer, partner in the law firm Brew Pearson arranged for Jackson's defense and mine. Jackson's friends, like Dean Acheem and others of that firm, who I met through Jackson. ran like hell when he asked them to be counsel. The union did not dare provide counsel because the whole frameup had as its added purpose getting the union movement.

The FBI has not given us the copy of the false confession it tried to pressure me and intimidate me into signing. However, it slipped up and gave me a record showing they had actually distributed it to FBI offices. I have a record referring to it. And so Dies had to cop a plea for his wretched minion.

The same man was also in en a real plot to throw Roosevelt out. I made the mistake of trusting the FMI with those record, believing they'd be returned. It was a shuddering thing. It involved the highest military personage. Now that I'm getting my records together for an archive for some years I've been trying to recapture these records for deposit, for students today gid for the future. The Department of Justice has provided contemporaneous proof that the FBI lied to me over the disposition of them. The FBI claims to have destroyed them, meaning a copy they made. The Criminal Division returned them to the FBI after the alleged date of destruction. I await the next lie.

In the FEI records there is an allegation that I was a co-worker, put in a warmer way, with one suspected of involvement in the "Gregory" case. If my recollection is correct that was the case of a liberal few kink eal economist, Gregory Silvermester. The masked reference seems to be that my beas when I worked for the government had been at a party with him. I know my beas because the government put me to work under him. I do not know what his

politics were. I know he was a liberal. I know he praised me for a large research job I had done, to identify the prominent Communist labor leaders in one of the four Latin American areas into which the Division was divided. (So did the man with whom I was fired for whom I did at and another with whom I was fired who wanted me to do it for his area. Obviously this kind of information was essential for out diplomats.) The alleged "Gregory" man was driven out of the country as he was hounded from job to job. Last I heard of him he was in Canada, teaching past the age of retirement to make it. He had just published the first of a two-volume anti-Castro study.

I can only wonder what else was fabricated, what was obliterated from the poison sent to the white House, what exists in the records still withheld. I have never been a Communist. That I was a New Healer appears like an epithet in the spocks' former secrets - when I was part of the New Heal government. That I am not even a party-lining Democrat you should know very well. I doubt I have known a dozen Communists in my 64 years. I have known many more who could be called fascists.

Two aspects of this trouble me much. One is that you appear to have let yourself be influenced unilaterally well as you know me and my beliefs. That you would credit such stuff without even asking me and not respond when I raised the question with you. The other is that this reflects to me the capability of the FRI and others like it to intimidate the Congress while the Congress is actually investigating it and them. They show you what they can do to you and the Congress trembles. And it is a fact, these kinds of authoritarians have the means and the disposition to hurt those who oppose authoritarianism, which they represent. A political figure who has to run for re-election has something to think about.

In the records I have received there is a theme of reporting, critical of my faith in the Congress to clean up the mess the executive agencies and the Commission made in the JFK assassination. I do not have that faith today. As you may have seen I've been exposing the dishonesties of the House committee, which is updated McCarthyism to me in unjustified criticisms of the agencies. I guess the middle is a lonely place and that Wordsworth was correct about being in the forefront in an era of change. My first book, you may recall, asked for Congress to investigate. You spoke to Manny Celler about it in 1965. The FBI does not like that. These records show it.

In the records I have gone ever I do not think there is a single page that is truthful and fair. Some of the contrivances, especially about the perfection of the Director when he made terrible mistakes, are sickening. They differ in degree only from what I have seen in captured files of enemy authoritarianism. What I am saying is that there is involved much more than the hurt to me. This represents a clear and present danger to the country and to a free system of society.

That hurt to me was the design is explicit. Prior to obtaining these records I had obtained others in an FOIA suit. They spell it cut. This is now in a court record in a case in which the FBI is a defendant. They have not challenged it in any way. They cannot. It is their own record, their own words, the diktat of their dictator.

Perfection is not a human state, Rac. Now that my health is again impaired I am more inclined, especially when I go over records like these, to consider my own record. My record for accuracy is entirely untouched. I have no reason to be ashmaed of my record for integrity. I do find, thanks to the FRI's entirely impreper spying, that I was much too conservative at the beginning, much to generous in seeking justifications for wrongdoing.

When we last met I was recovering from pneumonia and pleurisy. Shortly thereafter I was hospitalized for acute thrombophlebisis. By then there had been permanent damage. I fear it has increased since. There now is an incompletely diagnosed arterial complication. I have undertaken an energous job. I will do as much of it as I can as well as I can for whatever good it can do, whatever value it can have. I have arranged for a permanent archive of all my records. This includes the poison I am seeking from the government. I have waived all personal privacy rights in the archive. But I will rise to defend my reputation if it

is challneged. To date it has never been to my face. Only the kind of venom to which you and your colleagues have been subjected. To the degree it is possible for me to do it on paper I will correct this evil propaganda.

Widespread as non-compliance is I have obtained enough to provide a basis. This is a sample. In time perhaps there will be more. The CIA has not yet complied with my requests for the records on me, from 1971 they have not complied. They have given me a few pages, less that I had obtained elsewhere, and have been sitting on an appeal for years. I hope to be able to sue all these authoritarians.

In one of the FOIA suits I took the witness stand last year to tick off cases of FBI perjury. I was not cross examined on this. We agent came to testify in his own defense. FBI counsel as well as the AUSA had a crack at cross-examining me and daring to try to pull some of the stuff you've been subjected to. The AUSA peoped out on the cross examination and the FBI lawyer remained silent.

I will not accept official perjury if I can do nothing about the willingness of the courts to tolorate it. I also will not be intimindated by these people. The wrong they do must be ended or the country is not safe. I fear the permanent harm to the nation from the wrong that has taken most of my recent years.

In the authentic sense of the abused word, not that given it by the Boovers, the McCarthys, the Dieses and their kind, I consider myself a patriot and my work a patriotic endeavor. By work is not and never has been the pursuit of a whodunit. It addresses the functioning of the basic institutions of our society. When they malfunction we are all in danger and so, in my view, is representative society and any meaningful concept of freedom.

Whether or not you respond I do ask that if you have such stuff as I have cited in your files you keep a copy of this with it. If this can still be done with the records of the Church committee I would appreciate that.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisherg