A COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF T

My long letter after reading the files that have finally been provided, disorganized and angry as it is, had remarkable confirmation from Clark Clifford and unintendedly from George Bush, with Servasan indicating incomplete comprehension of the Presidential problem on which Clifford was quite explicit. As it says, all on this morning's CRS as TV news.

When you read my letter to Fraden you will find recurring the statement that the Department has much to learn from what I was writing. I did not expect him or the others to want to learn. I do assume they know what they are doing and why. I did want them to ponder whether they want an airing when a new administration is taking ever, whether they wanted to try and exart the customary control on all levels and issues right off.

You will also find recurring citations of proof to support the statement that the speaks and the speak mind control what others can know, from dock analysts to embassedors to the Secretary. I understated too much, even where as you will see I refer to the self-perpetuation of these right extends types and the control they have over who can even go to work for the Pepertment.

Clifferd reminded me of what I'd forgotten. Iwas doing nows at the time of the first war in Palestike. What Clifford said about the Department refusing to do what the "resident erdered it to de - he quoted Tyuman as saying "they've sunk me" - brought such back to sind, including some of the original consepts and research for the book to which I've never been able to return, Tiger to Ride. And an earlier one of the same history, Assep in the State Department.

Now I also realise that my focus was too narrow, whether from disgnet and anger over what the reserve show or from just not realising I do not know. But I was too restrictive in what I have written. The bureaucratic central is all-level. It will taken an exceptional president to make even a dent in it now.

Bush boasted of the total dedication he found in the GIA. I do not doubt the accuracy. That is the essence of the problem. "y now they are staffed by those totally dedicated to old, outdated and wrong policy.

Clifford detailed how the President laid down one policy and the State Department followed its own in opposition to his to the extent that Austin's policy speech on a state of Israel was contrary to the line Tames laid down. Project that ferward now and see the magnitude of that problem today and since them.

On a much lower but still significant level I was caught up in the same thing at the same time. Here JFK tried and failed at a later date, "atin incrios and detente. Vell, he did get to make a beginning in detente by using his cum rather than the bureaucracy's people. In all those issues and event the bureaucracy aims at authoritarization, everywhere, including at home, and in forcelesing all threat to this policy, also everywhere.

The fictitions and never enunciated but implicit charges of disleyalty against an are actually charges of loyalty that from the specks to the top scholens was opposed. They had their own desires, their own policies, and who the hell is a President, whether PRD of Trumen, to tell them? I was a New Pealer so I was a threat. I was against the military distatorships the President opposed so I was an added threat. These people actually regard a non-Bizonian President as a threat, even Risembower.

I was even more of a threat because I did my work well and because I adhered to the tradition of public service, temperarily our policy at Humburg, that one does not do what one does not agree with, in my case bad policy. There is in what I have written brief reference to what none of the State files show, my work when I was in charge of the pre-parations for the pre-US conference at Chapultepec, where Melson Rockefeller headed the American delegation. This was a get-together of the American states before San Francisco. "Alloy had been to oppose the Feron dictatorship. I agreed with this. It is one of the inferences that makes no somehow disloyal. They sought out those who adored distatorships. Reckefeller rewrote policy at Chapultepec. "e did not use the work that had been prepared

 $_{i,d,i,j}^{i,d,i,j}$ 

;

というのでは、 のでは、 の for him to use, ordered on the policy level. This really gets at why OSS had to be wiped out and all those not of the type later in CIA eliminated. However, there were enough of the FBE people left in State to want to persist, I think unthinkingly. Once Heckefeller got away with it they could not then go into San Francisco, having velcomed the Argentine dictatorship at Chapultepec, and try to kick them out. Hy perception that this would be greeted as Tanqui Imperialism all ever was completely correct. This is why, when I had time to think it through, I maked to be relieved. I had done the connexic part earlier. I was then put in charge of the military part. The end product was called the Blue Book on Argentina, As I look back on this now I can see how the extremists of the right could have wanted this, in the same sense that the intelligence agencies sponsor the most extreme and unreasonable criticisms of themselves and to force a sharpening of the lacuse in other countries to the end that the military and its allies spot and eliminate the liberals.

Here there are added explanations of what is missing in the files on me. Even the Otephas knew I was competent at least and had unique experiences for which I was Hired. This is part of why they wanted me fired. They did not go through all the magazine insues and not see the published raves for my work from all sorts of highest levels of government. They did not wise seeing the published picture of we carrying a satchel full of photostates into Thursan Arnold's office when he headed anti-trust. Do you think for a memorit that when he was my counsel they also did not have any avarences?

I could give more illustrations, including where when the CIA and FEX both goafed or seemed to goof I did not. I provided ascurate information. They could not stand this.

How all of this fits into the cold war, which was not FDE's policy, may not be too apparent to one who was not adult in those days. One simple formulation is that each and every military dictatorship was an automatic anti-Soviet vote at the UM. Can you see this as one explanation for menting argentina in?

This also is represented in one of their slipups in not withholding even more. There is the little handwritten FC note you have not yet seen. It was ordered by the specks that " now be allowed sousse to reports on the Hasi and Falange infiltration and control in Latin America when I was ordered to prepare an American statement on this to be made at the UK. Here is where they knew they had to get me and the unit of which I was part. Despite this I did prepare a more than adequate statement. (The one criticism of it is that I draw too heavily on FKI sources! That was not "scholarly." So, there was a second, I quoted earlier official UK policy statements by the American delegation only. The criticism of that came from the man who had drafted it? So you can see survival working.) The Hasi and Falange minds and the countries in which they exercised great control all contributed to the split of the world into competing camps, what her rulned the seconomics of most countries aimse them.

So it is because I was loyal, because I did do what the President santud done, whether he he FDR or Trusan, that I became disloyal and it is to hide all of this that Orwellian mamory-holing of the files was necessary.

There is another slipup, the lesing in of the giving of energes to all this venem to MSA during Misenhouse's day. The only correspondent can make with that may interest you. Mill Costello, who had been a GRS correspondent and was then with 'comy Correctum, arranged for the proper division chief of USIA to ask me to challenge Khruschev to peaceful competition in poultry. When USIA used it State got excited, saw the possibilities, but on what level I do not know. So I did write the letter, USIA asked for some changes I made, and certainly the letter was intercepted as I am oure Mescow's communications to the Vashington inheavy were. But State had asked me to go to Russia to track them how to grow better chickens. Then nothing happened. It had developed to the point where they asked me to arrange for some group to pay my fare. I got the Northeastern Foultry Producers' Council to agree. But these characters wanted no detents.

You may get some added "atin American perspective from what happened three weeks after JJK was killed. He had ordered no recognition of any military distantanthip that

overturned an elected government. Jelmson was persuaded to recognize the Dordnican dictatorship. These meant the radicalization of the democratic elements that were non-communist and anti-communist. They had no should. This is a sample of what happened throughout that area. Guatemala is another. That was not a communist government. For the long-range objectives of our sum authorizations this was not a liability. 't helped them. They wanted the sharpening of issues and conflicts because they knew their boys in the military would win out in the end. You will find that dirtually every latin American military diminus dictator after feron was American trained. Others of that period were american supported, itself like that Strossmer inherited in Paraguay. Why like do you think those countries that can't afford it and have no need for it are overloaded with weaponry? Hobody is going to invade them. There never was a chance of it. The weapons were intended for the only use to which they have been put, ending generatic government.

It now appears to me that there is even more need to press for these files, these that are withheld and do exist and of the existence of which I have proofs. Every day I become now impressed with the importance of FULA/FA in a democratic society. This is why for months I have said that what serves the viability of the Acts is now more important to me than the immediate attaining of an immediate objective. Experient as these Acts are when used in consumer interests for the long-range interest of the country that is nothing compared to their importance on political questions.

I don't think that Cliffor will associate himself with what furthers what he is saying. However, at some point an approach may be worthwhile. There may be someone in his firm who might have the interest and we sure do need some help!

Because I was, as usual, exercycling during the project I missed some from the moise the gadget makes. I think Marvin alb, who did the interviewing, referred to a forthcoming book. I think we'll want to read it.

The bureaucracies continue. In State it must be composed of only those who could durvive the Otopka mind in accuraty. One of the impertances of what I have received in the proof of how the investigations are corrupted, how in secret they lie, so that any other kind of person can't make it. Or if he is in is thrown out. The degree to which they do this is incredible. I'd been an employee for years but they call me an "applicant." This extends to strong anti-communists if they are anti-dictatorship and this is the case of mistaken identity I refer to. They got the wrong famile. Both, however, wage strong anti-communists. So if we can find some way of processing now, in the early days of a different administration, perhaps some good may result. In the long run there can be at least an important doctoral thesis. When I need hear from the Parverd student who is deing one on my files on the executive esserious I'll ask her to take this up with those prefensors sto aus the value in her project. What of my earlier work I do not have I mayo to Howard when he was a Matory major. They will be available. They include even the documentation of the American training of these who became military dictators, cace the policy boys did not have the FDR types to contend with. They include other projects like "conkin Gulf, some that did not work as that one did. Howard made a copy of my instant analysis of Tenkin walf and returned it to me. Of course anyone capable of this kind of analysis had to be gotten rid of. So symm correct analysis of what was going to happen in the Paraguayan revolution before it happened was still more reason for getting rid of me, especially because this was where the FAI and CIA were both in error, referred to above.

If State insists on non-compliance I think this is going to sake quite a court records

Restly.