Senator Cherles McC. Mothias United States Senate Weshington, D.C. Attn Miss Carrie Johnson

Dear Mac.

高加坡

In response to your letter of June 25, preparing a chronology of my requests to the Archives would be as enormous undertaking. Instead, I give you some of it, the most recent, in the form of copies of the letters and comment on them. I think a glance at parts of the correspondence will establish the points, will show a deliberate slowdown, entirely unnecessary delay, and that some of the requests just stay unanawared.

Hy letter of May N7 is unshawered after a month. The first thing in it is the notation that my letter of April V was unexweed. It still is not enswered. The first thing on the second page is my request to be put in a proper position to invoke the "Freedom of Information" law (duplicated and also without response to the Department of Justice and the Secret Service). It also contains, not for the first time, the question of the meaninglessness of "declaratifying" files when there is no earthly way of knowing what has been declaratified or when. Even the statement of what charges were made against my deposit account, a simple inquiry, is unanswered. The Archivist's letter of May 16 is in it responded to inathe fourth persgraph: "Everything in it is months eld. Those few things you sent me are all duplicates of what you earlier sent, in response to the same requests, some at least a helf year old... all deting to last year or early this year (one of) last Movember earlier filled". There has been no denial because it instrue.

This is not the first time I have saked to be provided with what I require to use the "Freedom of Information " law, but no government official has ever made any response. If I do not go through channels, with the proper precedures and applications, which requires forms, I would have no stending and I'd be automatically dismissed. Thus they make the law you passed a futility and a deception, if not a freud. I hope that, as a member of the Judiciary Committee, you will take particular note of this.

The requests of my letter of April 6 have never been answered.

My April 7 letter responds to themarchivists of April 4. As above stated, there has been no response. The letter to me of April 4 is in evasion, not response, to an inquiry then in its third month. About two months earlier, when I met Dr. Rhoeds in court, he promise! I'd have a response "coch", as other correspondence discloses. One queh letter is mine of March 25. In it is note that a minimum of two menths clapses before I get any enswer, undenied. And I report unanswered requests in it.

My inquiry of March 5 was not responded to until May 16g and then in an unsatisfactory manner.

My February 28 request for an explanation of the reason, if any, for

delay in snawering my request for the memo on the pictures and X-rays, has never been snawered.

My letter of February 28, which refers to an intrusion into the New Orleans trial by public statements, has never been directly responded to.

There has been no response to my inquiries of January 5 or is so lost in the built-in chaos that have forgotten it.

And my letter of December 2 reveals that they were then taking two months to enswer simple requests.

When you no longer need them, I'd appreciate the return of these letters.

My inquiries of others working in the field indicates that these not known to the Archives as associates of mine get prompt service but those who once did do not once it becomes clear they work with me, Of course, this could be a coincidence, but it does not seem by to ma.

I can send you similar files for other agencies with different responsibilities. For example, well before the end of that administration, Fred Vinson Jr., essistant attorney general, informed me a review of the suppressed ferric file was underway and would "soon" be completed. I have never been able to get enything further from him, his successor or the Archivist. My reason is simple and specific in my letters: I obtained a copy of one and it is not subject to restriction. I saught them, as is not often possible, in violation of the regulations, so them simply exercise raw power. So, every element of government behaves exactly as the Archives does, up to and including the new attorney General. On May 23, 1966, I wrote J. Edgar Hooger, without response. After he issued a press release in November 1968 (referring to charges had not yet made but would in the to-be-published second book - and had never given him a copy of the manuscript, but parts that were mailed were never delivered.)

I taked his press office for a copy, was promised it, never got it, wrote and asked for it, and never got it or an answer. I have asked the Mavy for copies of its published regulations (on sutopsies and the records of autopsies) and gotten neither them not an answer. I'd still like these if you can get them.

This would be enough cause for worry about the state of our acciety and government if Congress had not enacted law to cover such situations. With the passage of such laws, how far, if more polite, are we than the totalitarian societies? It still shakes me.

I do not have the exact date the Memphis papers printed the trenscript of the false broadcasts over the police radio leading eway from Ray. It was after wife 4, 1958, the daybof the king marder. I have written two Memphis papers asking for them, without response. They are not essential for my writing, but they would be valuable for a complete record. I think the library would have little trouble locating them, for they were page-one, undoubtedly, in Memphis, and right after the marder. If this is not too much trouble for them, I would so preciate copies. And I do appreciate your offer. I will make few requests of this sort.

I do hope we have another opportunity for a long chat, preferably here (less than 10 minutes from your law office), where I can show you what he we. I have just had confirmation from one of the more conservative black leaders that they are silent on the King matter because they are accepting to Heover's threat of blackmail! Also, I have three limited editions if you find time for reading. Our best to you all, and thanks.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

6/30/61

Maria

Dear Mac.

On a different subject and not directly related to the letter I wrote you earlier today on the Archives that I also suggest not entirely unrelated as a matter of government policy), is what I set forth here.

Tou may recall the gas-bomb attack on Maiti. It was followed by the charging of 10-12 men with violation of the neutrality laws. On my first trip to Washington thereafter I phoned Carl Belcher, head of the general-swimes section of the Criminal Division of the Department of Mustice. He had responded to my initial latters to Mitchell (there has been no Department of Justice response to any since). He was in but didn't talk to me. His secretary, after a very long dalay, referred me to a Mr. Murrow, to whom I repeated the purpose of my cell. Late that day I was asked to see a Mr. Morris, in the internal Security Division (Federal Triangle Bldg) and I did.

It happens that the end of last October I interviewed two of the Americans who had been Cuban marcenaries at the home of one at El Monte, Calif. This is a suburb of Los Angeles. With their permission I taped the interview. They told me they were in charge of an invasion planned against Esiti, talked of it openly by phene in my presence and that of a young man accompanying me, and even claimed to have simplenes for it. Now it happens that several of those charged with the current offense were members of these same Cuban mercenary groups. I have pictures of them together, at their training camp in Florida. I also have other and lengthy tape-recorded interviews with one of these two and another of the mercenaries I made in February 1968. I have a copy of a letter written by the other of this pair to the head of state of another country setting forth his then-violation of these same laws.

Mr. Morris seid he was envious to have what hed. I invited him to come with a tape-recorder to dub his own tapes, a semera to copy the pictures, and offered either to make copies of the files or to lend them to him for copying. That was friday, June 15. He said he'd be in touch with me and would probably come up the next working day, Monday June 16. I have heard nothing since. I did not expect him to be in touch because of the embarrassment to the government. The FBI should have been keeping tabs on these people. Samething should have been done about the earlier violations. They are the most irresponsible and dange rous men, with some and explosives stacked around the country. Need I remind you of the bombings by the Cubans, some with CIA explosives? They were never adequately investigated for their possible involvement in the Presidential murder. Thus there is an additional embarrassment because I have done some of what the FBI should have.

I suggest, however, that we have have a touchstone to the seriousness of the Department of Justice. Ixelso saked for part of thempublic reford, such as the formal charges. I have received nothing.

Sincerely,

Herold Weisberg