Dear Sylvia,

As you can see from her thoughtfully-prepared envelope, Shirley asked me to send the letter MacNeil wrote her to you and for you to return when you have finished with it. A few days earlier he had responded to my letter, saying almost exactly the same things in virtually identical words. The parallel with Allman is emazing, as Shirley points out. It is also worthy of suspicion that when the FEI had seeming evidence at that Oswald was near the front door at approximately the timest the Commission conjectured he left the building, the Commission did not use it and the FEI itself was silent on it in reporting to the Commission.

Enclosed are also a few things I was about to mail you.

I was in Boston Thursday night to do a show for Steve Fredericks while he wacations. I left behind word of the appearance of your book at something close to the anniversary. I also left word that hopefully there would be one from Maggie sodn. I tell you these things because the appears to be the top show in the area on redic. It has a "protected" frequency west almost to the New York line and way up into Canada and, of course, reaches a very large audience in the Boston area. I will probably be hearing from Fredericks on his return. If you can give me the pub date I will tell him about it. If you are not reluctent to make suggestions to Naomi hompson, may I encourage you to suggest Fredericks for her press and review list? I have several reason, including that you not suffer competitively. He appears to be the conductor of the only show in that area who is still interested in the assessination and its investigation. Should you thereafter ever be in the Boston area, you might want to present your information to his audience and he would be in a better position to make it possible.

You have made clear that you now have no public-relations interest. None-theless, let me tell you that on WEX_TV, the Contact Show, there is a large sudience. At the moment they will not air the subject. I spoke to Jim Tuverson, producer, Thursday p.m. Bob Kennedy is the personality. Their attitude is a not unfriendly one. They have told me that when their estimate of the climate changes they will went me. At that time I will tell you.

Nothing else really new.

Sincerely,

Dear Harold:

The enclosed will explain itself. Please return when finished. Actually I am stunned by the parallel activities of Messers. McNeil and Allman. It seems as though they did everything as "togetherness" in those critical moments after 12:30pm. At any rate, I will be waiting anxiously to hear what you have to say in this regard.

I have written back to McNeil, but can't see much profit in his reply. Someone should talk to $^{\mathrm{T}}$ erry Ford.

This is a busy week and I won't take up your time with anything else. Needless to say, the small differences between the statement of Allman and the testimony of Jackson still intrigues me.

Until later, Love, S.

Harold:
Please send
Please send
The proced

The proced