ing the rifle found with the one displayed and thus no identification Not long ago I discovered something about the tes

imony of Mrs. Markham which proves that the man she saw was not Oswal It is in the first portion of her testimony and is not sibject to que ion since it involves her daily work and its routines. She lived at 3 Ninth Street, around a block and a half or less from Tenth and Patton and was on her way to catch the bus on Jerrefson Boule. at Patton Ave which she regularly did in going to work. She expected to catch this bus at 1:15 p.m. as she had been doing for a long time and at Tenth S still had a full block and one or more street crossings to go. Since she was middle aged, the mother of five and probably a bit heavy, she would not have walked fast. Thus she would have/required 3 or 4 minut at least to walk the remaining distance. Thus when she said the time was 1:06 or 1:07 "I bet" she was probably about right. In any case sh had to catch the bus at 1:15 and definitely expected to do so. Thus the shooting had to have taken place everal minutes before 1:15 since at that time she would have been at the bus stop a full block or more away. Thus the Commission's star witness destroys the case sgainst Oswald. He could not have arrived at Tenth and Patton by 1:15 without running and he certainly could not have made it by a little past 1:08 which is the last time Tippit called in.

Another incident: Joesten mantions two photograph comewhere.one of Fritz gazing intently at Oswal' just an instant befo