

3/10/90

Dear Ray,

I hope your winding up the business you refer to makes the years ahead - may they be many!- better for you, plural.

I'll tell McKnight and/or the librarian to expect what you'll send after the first of May. A propitious time, by the way, because it is about that time they'll break ground for the new library a good-sized hunk of which is set aside for our things. If you know anyone else who had what could be included, please speak to them or tell me. Even if it is nut stuff because that also is part of the overall. I wasn't kidding you, Hood is a fine institution, which an exceptionally good faculty and the librarian is a first-rate man. Can't speak to well of McKnight as a person or professor. And he is probably the most popular prof on the campus.

Glad you liked Chip's documentary. I do not remember it has been so long since I looked at it but I thought he said the official story had the first shot at 210. Isn't that a great job for a master's thesis, a one-man job at that! He was so broke he had to sleep in his car when he travelled and he is still quite a bit in debt on it.

McKnight is on a sabbatical. His home address is 5439 Shookstown Road, 21701 and 301/473-5639. He or his wife will be home most of the time for some time to come because her mother is ill and is living with them. Wan't leave her alone. But the files should go to Charles Kuhn, librarian, at Hood.

William and I agreed on the shot at 2202. I have it in whitewash II and used pictures of those frames on TV, copied from the published volumes. You can see Willis taking the camera down and stepping into the street in the film between the sprocket holes. Chip knew.

Groden's positions have changed often on many things. He means well and his technical work can't be faulted. He did the first of it for me and came here weekends with it. I got him to duplicate each of the frames in the JFK study, for example, to slow it down.

If you(pl) will be in a position to travel when they dedicate the library, I hope you can be here. And that I still am! Thanks and best wishes to you and to any of the others out there I used to know, like Bill O'Connell, if you see him.

Handy

3/6/80

Dear Harold -

May thanks for talking to McKnight for me. I am in the process of winding up a business I've been in for many years. I should have free time beginning about May 1, and then will get busy getting my files in shape. Please tell Jerry I'll be in touch with him then.

I received Selby's video, and found it an excellent and valuable work.

I did note one important error. Agreeing with the W.C., he has JFN ~~as~~ first reacting to a hit in ~~2-210~~. I recall you and I were in early agreement that he was first hit immediately prior to 2-189 (106-159). There was also Groves position <sup>→ As did Thompson.</sup>

on pg. 142 of his 1976 book  
"JFK: The Case for Conspiracy". I was  
surprised therefore to see him on the  
video supporting the view that JFK shows  
~~no~~ no evidence of being struck prior  
to 210. I know agreeing with the WC  
on this makes it simpler to nail them on  
the shot-training constraint ~~was~~ the separate  
hit to Connally, but its simply incorrect  
to say JFK shows no ~~reaction~~ reaction to  
hit until 210 when careful analysis of  
the Zapruder slides, supported further by  
much testimony (Jackie, Willis, Holland, etc)  
proves otherwise. This is further supported  
by Lillian Castellanos independent proof that  
Willis #5 corresponds to 2:202, by which  
time JFK already hit. (Of course even the WC  
didn't want to say "Oswald" took a wild shot  
through the tree-obstacle and hit JFK 146-149)  
Best regards - RAY