Four CIA Officials **Defend Censorship** Of Marchetti Book

By Laurence Stern 3/1/74 Washington Post Staff Writer

In a closed federal court by the CIA to the Marchettiroom guarded by U.S. mar. Marks manuscript. shals, four deputy directors of It was to defend its position the Central Intelligence on this point that the government marshaled the rare gathering outside of headquarters national security censorship of of top intelligence officials in

Albert V. Bryan Jr. cleared nology, Edward Proctor for inthe Alexandria courtroom for telligence and Harold L. Brownman for management and services.

former CIA analyst Victor L.
Marchetti and former State descriptions Department intelligence officer John D. Marks, are chal-was described as "capricious" cer John D. Maria, was described as by attorneys for the two aucedures of the CIA on grounds thors, who requested that the improper and capricious.

improper and capricious.

Marchetti and Marks are suiffy the deletions.

CIA Director Colby is expected to testify, also in campeted to testify, also in campeted to testify, also in campeted to testify. rector William E. Colby and era, at today's session. To resecretary of State Henry A. but CIA testimony, the two au-Kissinger, to restore all delethors offered the testimony tions from their manuscript, also behind closed doors of "The CIA and the Cult of Informer National Security telligence," scheduled for pub-A. Knopf Jr.

Colby has said that the court test is crucial to his stat- to be argued for a week, is an utory role as a protector of na- outgrowth of the government's tional security sources and se-first effort to impose pre-pubcrets. Should the CIA lose the lication restraint in the courts case, Colby has ordered legis- on national security grounds, lation drafted for submission In the Pentagon papers case, to Congress which would im-which the government lost, pose new criminal penalties the Justice Department went on former CIA employees who to court after publication of divulge what the government the Vietnam study had begun

Attorneys for the two au newspapers. thors contend that the issues in the battle of the book touch in the battle of the book touch on the First Amendment ques-tions that were raised in the Pentagon Papers case. In the Pentagon Papers case is "whether Knopf's right to pubcurrent trial, however, the is- lish can properly be deemed

a book by two former intelli-gence officials.

U.S. District Court Judge

U.S. District Court Judge U.S. District Court Judge Duckett for science and tech-

the CIA on grounds that the material divulges highly sensitively stood, was that each decided tive intelligence secrets.

Attorneys for the authors,

Attorneys Victor L.

Stood, was
on the basis of his particular expertise that portions of the

This was the procedure that that the censorship action was documents and classification

lication this spring by Alfred rin, who was an expert witness in the Pentagon Papers case.

The case, which is expected deems to be classified mate-rial. in The New York Times, The Washington Post and other

In arguing for the book's sue at hand, is the validity of less extensive than was that of the security standards applied The New York Times in the Pentagon pars case. The government won the first round in the battle of the book in 1972 when Judge Bryan enjoined Marchetti from publishing classified material gathered during his 14 years of CIA employment without prior agency clear-

ance.

When the manuscript was: completed last fall Marchetti and Marks submitted it, under the terms of the injunction, for CIA review.

Initially the CIA ordered more than 300 deletions. After negotiation the number was reduced to 225. By yesterday the government was seeking to strike 162 passages.

Should the government prevail on the remaining points, Knopf reportedly intends to publish the manuscript with the deleted passages marked "Deleted."