September 9, 1976

CERTIFIED - RETURN RECEIPT

Robert Walker, President American Program Bur eau 59 Temple Place Beston, Mass. 02111

Dear Mr. Walker:

I have just been finformed that you have announced signing Mark Lane to appear under your auspices to speak on the assessination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., a subject on which you were to have booked me years ago and then refused to on the claim that you agreed with Lune he had an exclusive JFK contract. He had done no work on King.

Your than employee who communicated this factual and legal rubbish to me more recently worked for Lane in Washington. The reports of her departure that have reached me include that she told him he was, literally, crazy. With ego and self-importance he is.

I then offered to speak on this subject free so you could determine whether or not I can do this effectively. You also declined this.

The most obvious proof that you did not have an exclusive contract with Lane is that you were simultaneously booking Clay Shaw. If you will check your files, you will find correspondence on this. I also have it.

Although after that I completed what remains the only book not in accord with the official fiction on the King assassination, you still did not book me. Since then I have done a very large amount of additional work on this subject.

Mr. Lane is not alone in this newest facet of a career of thisvery. Those with whom he has been in recent association have similar careers, on the King essassination and with my work.

There is some of this that in time will come to se. His appearances will be taperecorded by many people. Some send me tapes, without my soliciting it.

He has presented my work as his own in books, erticles, on the electronic media (once in my presence when he defended stealing - taped with his knowledge) and in public appearances. He has done this for years. When he does not claim it as his own, his sick self-concept drives him to such extremes as pretending that what I have spent years fighting the government to get and finally obtain through litigation was released (inferentially to him alone) through the goodness of the federal heart. His compulsions are such that he has even invented phony footnotes to non-existent sources to mask this.

Lane has just occupied a leadership role in ruining any possibility of an investigation by the Congress this year on what I devoted 13 intensive years of investigating. When he could, while I was doing this work, Lane was commercializing it for his own gain. With his unique genius for doing anything that will get attention for him and an incredible lack of factual knowledge on the subject on which he pretends to be the expert, he has been in large measure responsible for the misdirection of the Congress and the misleading of the people.

Halker

I do not know what I can do. I am not of means and I have not been able to consult counsel. I do know what I will want to do and I do believe that law will be on my side. I therefore write you to put you on notice. In my opinion if apu make it possible for Lane to do what he has done throughout his career, you, too, are responsible. More so when you did not keep your word to me after you asked me to go to your offices and reached an agreement with me then.

You have my permission to give Lane a copy of this if in return you will provide me with whatever he may say in writingein response or by dubs of tape recordings if verbally. I am familiar with what he says when I am not present. He says nothing at all when we are together. He is that kind of stalwart man.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg