Tom Kanzold, his "Cold Varrior", Janes J. Angleton - $7 / 1 / 91$
a few ddditional comments and observations on completing the book.
Newspaper reporters, at least in theory, do not ißclude opinions in their news stories and are not supposed to. In theory opinions and most interpretations are for the editorial and oped pages. However, a reported' who writes/a book in which he deals with events and his no such Nestrulum unprop ufo him, if people both arcane and of great significance, for whieh-is he is qualified to undertake He is an wipntion the rulyist. He such a book he has to be at the very Ieast quite well informed assumes additional obligations. These include, for a work like this book, explanations, interpretations and opinions where called for. The reader lacks the ability to do this for hinself. Aper the reader is looking for more than pleasure in reading, for more than(facts. He is looking for understanding of what is a mystery to him. It can also be fairly said that given what rof gold recounts, sometimes in considerable detail, he owes his reader his personal judgements along with a statement of where he stands, what he believes, what his political The awhend

Tu CuTlun'b
views are, so the reader can, assuming honesty, make his own evaluation of fangold's
47 MM 乘if judgement. He avoids judgements and on interpretation, where he has it, fuch as-the $\leq$ spayny in, illegality of some of Angleton's projects, like Operation Chaos, Nsowlng disunity and making other tromble for the anti-war movement, and the finail-interception program (which liangold mentions almost only in passing, considerable understates and in which he entirely eliminates the fact that the acfual interception was by the FBI), he quotes others as saying that it was illegal. Without any explanation of why or how. Or for that matter, given the fact that it was by government agencies, whether or not it violated the Constitution.

Hy own beliefs and opinions grew and became clearer the farthur I got into the book. I recall nothing I wrote several days ago that I think should be withdrawn. I an more convincted that he cast himself as Dr. Faustus and, having done so, showed nothing but respect for his liephistopholes, the CIA as an institution.

If he made the deal I believe he made and withholds any inkling of it from his reader and his publisher he is dishonest.

This does not mean that what he reports is not accurate. But it assures questions and why he deso met repert At. about what he does not report, And this also gets to what I say above that is missing entire $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{y}}$ from this book.

As I read I annotated the book and made a few handwritten notes in a notebook that will be in the file and I do not here take tine for.

One omission that surprises me is what Hangold could and should have learned from OSS people, particularly those who served in Italy. angleton was X-2, or counterintelli-
 gence there. Reurits that passed through my hands when - was in USS, in that day when there Was less practise of the "nned-to-know" concept, reported that the Nazis had penetrated OSS Italy to the extent that it was not uncomrion for intelligence teams to be captured as soon as they were in the field.

This does indicate that Angleton's performance there was not good. If not worse.
I think it may also indicate something else about what he was doing then, and I wondered more about this the farthur I got into the book. I think he then was a political operator and that past the enemy Nazies he saw the bigger enemy, all the world he regarded as "red". (In mentioning Angleton's friendship with the ex-patriot poet Exra Pound I do not recall that Fangold reported that Pound was pro-liussolini, as he was.)

There is no indication of Angleton's political views and beliefs and the farthur I got into the book the nore I came to think that he was an authoritarian. The two projects referred to above are only partial indication of this. It is 0llie North-clear on 351 where without coment or explanation of any kind where Hangold refers to the question asked of hallot by Senator Richard Schweiker of the Uhurch (i事telligence-activities) comnittee, why the CIA's stock of very dangerous shell-fish toxin had not been destroyed:
"Angleton made this extraoidinary reply:'It is inconceivable that a secret intelligence arm of the government has to comply with all the overt orders of the government'."

This response could have come from the Gestapo or the KGB that angleton hated. It is an anti-American, anti-Constitution response.

Mangold does say it is "extraordinary•" But he does not say or even indicate why or how. Or that it says anything at all about angleton and the principles by which he lived abd worked $\rightarrow$ controlled what should have been and wasn't (which 'angold also does not say) counterintelligence for the U.S. government and its people.

That Angleton was a practising and believing authoritarian could not have been lost
on Mangold and certainly could not have been on those above dim throughout his entire CIA career. I separate superiors from the innumerable CIA employees not his superiors because his superiors had added obligations, above all to live within the lan and to see to it that those under them did.

Helns in particular shares responsibility for angleton's innumerable and endless illegalities - really subersions, nonging frm the Linot itufion to the Wivonlivinumbion,

Fiangold treats ielms kindly and onits what was relevant and of which he knew, how he testified to the House Select Comittee on Assassinations, what he said on leaving the court when he was convicted of the much lesser crime with which he was charged for his own offenses. Helms made it cleae that he and Angleton stand side by side on the CIA's immunity from the laws of the land and was even indignant and did not hide it when it was suggested otherwise and when he was changed and convicted with a wrist-slap only. (Joined in by his prestigeous counsel Edward Bennett Willians, who had been a member of the Presidents's Foreign Intelligence Board. It was supposed to overseee what the CIA did and it never did anything about what the nelmses and Aygletons were doing and it had to know they were doing or it did not meet its obligations.) Mangold cites the HSCA records and the newspapers when it served his interest.

Here he did not when it served his readers' interest. Or his own integrity's.
(He has nothing further about this and other toxins or the many other such activities but if he had, he'd not have found it as easy tio exculpate all those above angleton who for all practical purposes encourages and protected him - agreed with what he was doing.)

That angleton had a political agenda is ouvious to the informed reader who takes the time to think and analyze. But most readers are not informed and are not in a position to make these kinds of judgements. The head of counterintelligence has every right to his own political belief's but he has no right to impose them on his duties and actions. I think one writing about them has no right to entirely ignore them, as Mangold does.

In this regard, he a name I've never heard used before and I think is of his own creation for his own purposes. He refers to the Angletonians in the CIA as "The Fundamentalists." They were in fact the conservatives in the CIA. If as I've cone to believe lian-
gold is a British Conservative, this abnormal use of the word that in this couttry has been reserved for those of the religious right extreme is explained. (He makes no mention, Wright's
of ten ass he refers to Peter Wright, of hims sirmilar political views - says though that he and Angleton were friends.)
putlic
It is Wright, as Kangold Khows, who made first $\Lambda^{\text {mention of the plotting by his fellow }}$ British Angletonians (Convseratives in Britain) of which Wright was part to overthrown the ilt betan with Anglition $6-6$ litsyna)
elected Frime Finister, Harolu ijlsonp For that matter, in his passing reference to this, despite all the notes he has "angold omits much, such as citation of the books he knew had been published holding such information, particularly one on it, "The Wilson Plot."

Accident? Carelessness? I think not.
I do intend to suggest that Hangold has his own political agenda in this book.
It would be unfair to hold any author to account for all of what can be regaryled as onissions in a book like this but some cannot be easily explained. For example, with the great importance of Yuri Wosenko in and to this book and particularly because as I have already noted Mangold lied about what Nosneko told the FBI about Os:ald and the KGB, how can the UI liaison role with the Warren Commission be entirely omitted? (Much of it handled by Raymond Rocca, mentioned a couple of times only by Hangold, puttressed by Helms when buttressing was needed, as the CIA believed with Nosenko it was.) How the trat total lack of mention of this role and what CIA said and did be ignored with honesty of intent?

I think this again gets to Mangold as fraust. He did not do what he had not to do for his Hephistoles, the CIA. Without which he would not have had this book.

In many ways it is a fine and informative book and will tell readers much, entirely new to most of them. it is informative, very informative.

But as with Čolby and those "crown jewels" when as DCI he understood that some conp fessions were inevitable, could no longer be avoided, what Hangold evolves serves the CIA's interest. It cleans the CIA' skirts while still hiding much of its dirt. It excuifpates the CIA as an organization, exculpates those above Angleton were were responsible and were not ignorant, and the rest is buried now.

For any who in the future may read this and not know, when CI for the CIA talked the

Warren Comission out of taking secret testimony from Yuri Nosenko what it was really doing is keeping the Commission from having informed testimony that Oswald was suspected of having a United States intelligence connection, the Oswald accused of assassinating the President, and that contrary to the picture of Oswald painted officially, of him as a "red", he was in fact anti-Botiet and anti-American Communist.

Had Nosenko testified it would have been close to impossible if not impossible for to be
the official solution given to the world by the Warren Comnission.
This is not to exculpate the Commission. The first "dirty runor" it got was that Oswald did have such a connection. I've published two of its executive session transcripts relating to this.

With any testimony from Nosenko the Comission would have had great difficulty keeping it secret on the one hand and ignoring it on the other.

Thiss gets to two other of Hangold's omissions of what those who worked with him knew about and he also should have known about.

One of these is the "analysis" of this assassination prepared for the CIA, read Angleton, I think at his request, by an midentified Russian defector, read Golisflyn. (It is utterly irrational, a political diatribe.) Mbe other is the proposed questions to be addressed to the USSR员, as I've indicated guaranteed to infuriate and insult it and so terribly outrageous they could not be sent or asked. After that others feared asking the obvious questions. Thus, although the FBI and CIA and Commission knew of the existence of faily volumingous KGB files on Oswald and what they held they were not requested. Because they were not requested, the USSR could not send them.

This IS to say that the Mangold who lied about what Nosenko said continued covering the CIA by his omission of what is so very relevant to his ANgleton/Golitisyn/CIA book.
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