

Completed ms to New York 3/26/66

Newsweek, Post 1/23/67

7/28/66 RFK wire, letter: taken  
to men go ahead

9/7, Goodwin and Men to Hyannis-  
port on Caroline to Mrs JFK

Early September Goodwin told  
to expect galleys October and  
to have them for but two weeks.  
Men took to Goodwin's of ice  
and gave him 15 days, until  
11/10 The language here seems  
to indicate that although  
Goodwin was travelling in Eur-  
ope Men gave him but 15 days  
anyway. He says he then wrote  
G, traveling in Europe, "In the  
absence of suggestions, I assume  
you have none" and then "I mailed  
the galleys of to meet the Harpe  
Harper production deadline."

"I was going to England the  
morning of Feb 16 and I did."  
He went by boat

12/8 Men landed in NY and read  
of Ross suit.

Financial- NYT 12/20/66, suits  
adv \$40,000. Look recouped  
half Europe

Relationships- NYT 12/20/66  
under suits, end story.

Manchester got from Look  
"complete editorial control"

Newsweek, Post 1/23/67

Commercialism: The Kennedy's got what they sought to avoid, as Manchester put it, because ~~A~~ Apparently Jackie didn't know the details of the memorandum of understanding ~~that~~ between Bobby and me." Newsweek, Post 1/23/67. Note that Manchester drafted this memorandum \_\_\_\_\_

The rest of the quote is very good. It shows what ~~men~~ did with the trust vested in him.

Later "Bobby was so irrational. He was concerned about the money now." This vast money in literary terms added to the whitewash made a greater problem, for the Kennedy's.

Last col, "When you started you said publicly you didn't want to make any money..." He winds up saying that he told RFK "you dictate your terms" ( and this should be compared with his other story that no body was telling him what he'd get) and insisting what he is to get for three years is "not excessive". He brackets a very conservative estimate of what he'll have with the alleged 10 time that much the Library will get, as though that has anything to do with what he does or does not get.

Being rich ownt chage him( live frugally) More the pity!

Harper and Row statement that the book should be published because "the people had a 'right to know the facts of the awesome tragedy'" in the NYT 12/20/66, suits. They'll never get any of the facts of the assassination in M's book!

Canfield declined to answer questions after making statement, as Man later did, both at Overseas Press Club.

His description of the book as, among other things, "sincere", amkes one wonder, for if he knew the fact of the assassination he could not say it and if he did not know the fact that would justify it how could he say it?

Compare this statement with  
Even Thomas's about bad taste