THE TIMES-PICAYUNE, NEW ORLEANS

Manchester Says Warren 'Invited' View on Report

with Kennedys

By RELMAN MORIN

NEW YORK (AP)—Author William Manchester says Chief Justice Earl Warren "invited" him to state that the findings of the Warren Commission on the assassination of President John F. Kennedy were wholly acceptable to the Kennedy family.

Writing in Look magazine about his dispute with the Kennedys over his book, "The Death of a President," Manchester says Warren gave him access to the testimony and all other evidence taken by the commission.

He adds: "Rather cannily, I thought, the chief justice had also invited me to read a first draft of the report and declare, John Seigenthaler, editor of the the Kennedys in every respect."

Manchester writes that he "demurred" on grounds that this would be improper.

JUNIOR STAFF The Look article is scheduled

to go on newsstands March 21. In another comment on the Warren Commission, Manchest-

nation has since discovered, the dent Johnson's first Cabinet prestigious names on Earl meeting, eliminating the friction glitter; the long hours were put nedy. It would in brief have in by junior staff men."

Nonetheless, Manchester has and was swiftly rejected." tated that he agrees with the 'POLITICAL' REASONS stated that he agrees with the central conclusion of the commission-that Lee Harvey Oswald assassinated the president Kennedys were based on "politiand there is no credible evidence of any accomplices.

Manchester's article also criticizes Theodore H. Sorensen the deletions proposed in her and Pierre Salinger, White (Mrs. Kennedy's) behalf did not House aides to President Kenne- involve her. They were an ex-dy, in connection with books tension of the attempt to sup-House aides to President Kennethey wrote about the Kennedy press vital facts." administration.

changes in their manuscripts.

BEGGED HIM "Like Pierre Salinger the following year, Ted took the easy way, giving way on point after the author she had chosen as a point and weakening what member of her court. She had should have been a great vol- even persuaded herself, as she ume," the article says. "I spent wrote another writer, that she two hours begging him to hold had 'hired' me, a slip I find his ground. He refused. He was fascinating. Under these cira public figure, not a writer; he cumstances, it was inconceivacouldn't see the principle in-

Arthur M. Schlesinger Jr., was a writer, not a courtier." However, Manchester calls

Said Findings in Accord assistant, resisted the Kennedys' efforts to change the book he wrote about President Kennedy. Thereafter, Manchester wrote, Schlesinger's relations with Mrs. John F. Knnedy became "chilly."

She and her brother-in-law, Sen. Robert F. Kennedy, D-N.Y., chose Manchester, on Salinger's recommendation, to write the authorized history of the assassination of the president. He and the senator, on March 26, 1964, signed a "memorandum of understanding" which gave the Kennedys the right to approve the book before publication.

SECOND THOUGHT

Not long after Manchester completed it in the spring of 1966, those who read the manuscript began suggesting changes.

One of those who read it was Nashville Tennessean and a former associate of Robert Kennedy in the Justice Department.

Manchester writes: "John had already approved the manuscript. But now he or someone was beginning to have second thoughts - political thoughts.

"Among other things, he "As I then knew and as the wanted a new version of Presi-Warren's panel did little except between Johnson and Bob Kenconstituted outright distortion

Manchester has previously said the revisions sought by the cal" reasons. In the Look article he says:

"In sum, over 75 per cent of

The author indicates that he He says they both capitulated believes most of his troubles to demands by the Kennedys for over the proposed changes in his manuscript stemmed from Mrs. Kennedy.

He writes: "It is easy to see how she had come to think of ble to Jacqueline Kennedy that I should deny her anything. I

Mrs. Kennedy a "heroine" for the way she comported herself in the days immediately after the assassination. "In kindling that Arlington flame she rekindled our national pride," he writes.

As to being "hired" to write the story of the tragedy in Dallas, Tex., Manchester has repeatedly stated that he received no money from the Kennedys. He says he used his own money.

Manchester writes that he invested, not only his savings, but 30 months of his life in writing the book. Nonetheless, the article continues, when his dispute with the Kennedys was at its height, Robert Kennedy wired Harper & Row, the publisher, "I feel the book on President Kennedy's death should neither be published nor seria-lized." He asked the publishers to so inform Manchester.

"It is this sheer insanity that explains the Kennedy behavior throughout the controversy," Manchester writes.

The dispute eventually headed for the law courts.

Last Dec. 13, Mrs. Kennedy brought suit against Look magazine, Harper & Row, and Manchester, to block publication of his book. She called it "tasteless and distorted."

When the changes the Kennedys wanted were made, Mrs. Kennedy withdrew her suit against Look on Dec. 21 and the magazine subsequently pub-lished a four-part serial on it. The suit against Harper & Row was withdrawn Jan. 16. Publication of the book is scheduled for April 7.

Manchester writes that Rob-ert Kennedy was "appalled" when Mrs. Kennedy decided to take the controversy to the courts.

NOT EYE TO EYE

He writes: "That evening, her brother-in-law entertained four editors at Hickory Hill and told them he was appalled. Signifi-cantly—he did not join her as coplaintiff."

Unconfirmed reports circulated at the time that Kennedy and his brother's widow did not see eye to eye on the matter of the lawsuit. Manchester now states publicly that the senator disliked it.

The author says that although he Kennedys selected him to write the definitive story of the assassination, they were of little help to him in "opening doors" for his research. "I can think of only three doors that she (Mrs. Kennedy) actually opened for me, none vital," he writes.

DEEP WOUNDS

Summarizing, writes:

"Once, we were united by a unique friendship. But now very deep wounds have been inflict-ei. Eventually, they will heal

but the scar well but the scar agly.

"Had the Kennedys chosen a talent, the resulting been chronicle would have been bland and flat, yet there would have been no row."

Manchester says some will 'disclaim' his book, but he writes that he expected that from the moment he first began putting words on paper.