1.4 18,1967

MANCHESTER'S BOOK

Special to The New York Times

LONDON, April 17-Britain's hard on William Manchester's torical theme."
"The Death of a President," Mr. Connolly

Connolly in The Sunday Times, see "how, lacking only the per-the British critics found little spective of history, it could have to praise in the book although been more comprehensively or they agreed that Mr. Man-more fairly done." chester had done a great deal of research.

Perhaps the harshest critic was Dame Rebecca West in 17 The Sunday Telegraph.

"This is a regrettable book," st he wrote. "This is a tale that m should not have been told save m the sackeleth." in sackcloth and ashes. Yet se certainly Mr. Manchester wrote is

this book in all innocence." st In The Observer, Malcolm ft Muggeridge wrote that the H book demonstrated that "powerlu

has its pornography has."

Alistair Cooke, in The Guardian, described Mr. Manchester's "dramatic flair" as that "of a first rate reporter undertaking LONDON, April 17—Britain's a juicy bit of fiction, a James book critics have come down Bond movie script on an his

Mr. Connolly called the book which was published here today by Michael Joseph.

Apart from Bernard Levin in The Daily Mail and Cyril

Mr. Levin said he could not the book was "not history, but no one will be able to write the history of this time without it."

Mr. Levin said he could not be the book was "not history, but no one will be able to write the history of this time without it."

Mr. Levin said he could not