

What a Cowles reporter says of a Cowles magazine and their valuable property (col 2), and how he takes the after-the-fact of serialization rights to mean RFK knew and agreed all along.

It is interesting that Wilson considers Manchester "refused to be a hack" simply because he became a strong man-for-a-buck buck. At this point, the book, good or bad, had been written. All Manchester did was demand a fortune. This is what, in the Wilson analysis, keeps him from being a hack.

His evaluation of his boss's property is that neither historians of the future nor Look's readers will care if Mrs. Kennedy cried, or if Lyndon Johnson was misjudged. They only will want to know what happened, who said what to who. When a book as good as Manchester's is written, it is bound to be published... One presumes Wilson read the book and thus decided it is good, not that he said this because his boss's magazine paid a fortune for it.

How from Manchester's book anyone would know "what happened" is what Wilson did not tell his readers.

This is not inconsistent with the implication of the ~~con-~~clusion, that the Kennedys do not want the truth, for in it he encourages them to "relax now and let as much of the truth as possible come out..."