Dear Jime

As the day wears on I find that I'm either worried or discouraged or depressed by the newest of insantities on last night's ABC airing plus what I've learned in one phone call today. "t is only a little after 9:30 and as distinguished from physically tired I'm weary, particularly after this one call. it won t last for it never does.

By first inkling of the O'toole + promotion of Gonday was from an old friend, an authentic conservative as dimetinguished for a backward looking ignoranus, Steve Barber, London Baily Tolegraph DC bureau chief, by proxy. We wan away but today he is the one of his bureau in and he has three sajor stories to file, so I cut it short. He had had one of his staff fibre me day perfore gesterway.

Now it turns out that Budy who free your account is wholeheartedly into this pley, pretension isolation, dubloumess and no more than remor as information, that it was to promote some kind of book of which he had no knowledge and in which he had no interest. He wont to far as to indicate no real anowledge of O'Tools: Steve would not lie about this.

I waited only long enough to give her a chance to call bud before I placed the call that resulted in the "in conference" report free lakey. "In conference" for two days?

At some point I'm not going to be able to restrain symplif with and about him. Don't worry' it isn't yot. But the time is cooling when I may be tempted to do what could be a Very commercial book long in my thoughts, tearing these crasies up. That could be published!

The close combination of all my fears being right in his copying out in the Ray case and this newest studdity/ego trip are a pretty heavy load from which not to vent!

On this, by the way, when you see him you night tell him that I'm curious about some of Schedmann's sources and is he in fact one? Ong one of the things Schoemann used I can conceive of no other. On another, he is the most abvious and the ethical and moral lapses are even after all this long history a new shock. He might well know if if he confirme its hisself as source or not.

(I have resisted the temptation to write him, thus far.)

Not until Last night did I get to read the Pest's Colby stories. I just don't feel like writing actor you'll not take time to read anyway. So, I tell you that I have then mounted and segregated and that I have arrived them up. It would be very good is we had second who could do the log-work of getting texts of these statements. I've markedup that part of Colby's printed in the Post. It monify confirms all I've been saying . And if it means anything relevant, Warner has either lied or what acounts to the same, resorted to farout domantics with us.

There are a number of places I've marked - I don't remember especially after several unpleasant phone calls about the fraux in my car repair that have interrupted thiswhere it could be a semantics business, where they have to have fikes but use a special designation to say they have none by marely calling it other than what they chose to means by "surveillance."

Sella Absug was quite good on Today today. From it, the stories and what I'd assume from the past there have to be interceptions on my mail. All have to do with first-amendment rights, or where I was doing semething for USIA . Aside from what I told you about

London I've made efforts for publication with both Germanys (thefts also with both, of mail, rather with both in West Germany only), Russia (where the correspondence is quite opposite CIA interest!), Geechoslavakia, Italy and Spain, Also France. Think they don't have anything when the <u>first</u> book says Oswald was a spook? Herice, Belfrage, who has yet to acknowledge redeipt of WW IV. Japan, too. And I have assurances from the Post Office that there was none of this plus a clear fraud case they accepted and then returned, the package still scaled as they mailed it.I think maybe a package.

Colby's testimony, if we can get it, spens to go into all the evasions.