PRODIGY(R) interactive personal service

BOOKS & ARTS BB

BOOKS/NONFICTION TOPIC: 02/13 9:02 PM TIME:

TO:

GERARD MCNALLY (VXWA32A)

FROM: BOB PRAZAK (MGKY53A) SUBJECT: JFK/CHALLENGE TO ALL

What a way to weasel out of your own error. You clearly said Fonzi was in error re: Paine. He was not in error. His point was that R. Paine did not testify before the Committe -- the HSCA committee. Why can't you admit your mistake? Fonzi's tour-de-force book proves that the Last Investigation was a white-wash, and that the probability is extreemly high, the the CIA itself was involved in the Crime of the Century. BP

Bb

desparate. certifude of an autopsy coverup. Gawd, you sound so front, surely indicating its likelhood, as well as the initial statements that while not "proving" a shot from the Crenshaw, O'Connor, et al, as well as the Parkland doctors there is the autopsy coverup, the many witnesess including did a probe of it, and then covered it up. Then of course Humes knew of the neck wound the evening of the 22nd, and independily corroborating Dr. Livingston's assertion that to Cong. John Conyers from researcher Roger Bruce Feinman, Why we have given you tons of it, the last being the letter there. You want evidence that JFK was struck from the front? Intelligence, produce the file on LHO. Perhaps we'll find it CIA? Well, why can't you, with your ties to Army evidence that conclusively ties LHO to organized crime or fictitious, how can they be named? You ask for a piece of of JFK's fictitious assassins? Why Jer, if they are cow did NOT jump over the moon? You ask for the name of one oxymoron Army Intelligence mentors prove that last night, the impossible to prove a negative. Jer, can you or your then which one and when, and even still it is logically you have to prove Oswald, and then that he fired a rifle and of panic. A bullet not fired from Oswald's rifle? Why first Challenge to all?? Why Jer, your mentors must be in a state

02/14/94

SUBJECT: JFK/CHALLENGE TO ALL BOB PRAZAK (MGKY53A) FROM: (ASEAWXV) GERARD MCNALLY

> 02/13 4:57 PM TIME: :DIGOT BOOKS\NONFICTION

BOOKS & ARTS BB

ARTS BB

TOPIC: BOOKS/NONFICTION TIME: 01/03 9:05 PM

TO:

ALL

FROM: GERARD MCNALLY (VXWA32A)

SUBJECT: JFK INFO/OPS

Attention all Posner bashers. The following may be damaging to your self-esteem. Here's proof of why he has written the definitive book on the assassination and closed the case: His original and in-depth work with the ear witness testimony strongly weakens the case for conspiracy, i.e. multiple shooters. Only 1 person in 20 heard more than 3 shots. And, more importantly only 2% of the witnesses orted that they heard shots from more than one direction! Thats 4 people out of 197 earwitnesses! How often has this info appeared in the conspiracy press? ANSWER: never. Self-styled critics ignore or distort anything that does not serve their ends. I was once one of them - I know the score.

For there to be a conspiracy, we need more shooters, more shots and SHOTS FROM MORE DIDRECTIONS. It wasn't that way.

Posner didn't merely pick numbers out of the air, like Marrs or Summers, as a good and thorough investigator, he went to the National Archives and read the original statements of ALL the ear witnesses. Not only those reported by the FBI and included in the WCR; not merely the 178 that the HSCA included; but other reports filed with the DPD and Sheriff's office.

In the echo chamber of Dealey plaza, the majority -44%- were unsure where the shots came from. Go there and stand where AZ stood or HB and you'll understand why. Of those who thought they could determine the source of the shots, the largest number -28%- picked the TSBD. Only 1 in 8 picked the GK. There was a strong correlation with where they were standing.

DON'T IGNORE THIS, CONSPIRACY BUFFS! Your case is weak. You are desperate. The truth is coming out and the man in black holding his Worker and brandishing THE rifle and THE pistol is being revealed in ever greater detail and certainty as the LONE NUT whom you love to exculpate!! Baden is filling in the gaps and Guinn, and FMA and the ME in MS!!!

BOOKS & ARTS BB
TOPIC: BOOKS/NONFICTION

TIME: 02/03 5:06 PM

TO: THEODORE KNORR (MCTC44A)
FROM: GERARD MCNALLY (VXWA32A)

SUBJECT: JFK/ CASE CLOSED!

Dear TK

I too hate for you to be "such a wus." You seem to bend over backwards to give these Loons every break & I think you only make them hungry for more & when did you get a break from them?

I regret even more your much too qualified support for Posner's Case Closed. I have been reading books on this case since BEFORE the WCR was published & I have never seen a book of this quality & scope. I challenge you - or anybody - to take CC and several procon books like Conspiracy by Summers, The Case For Conspiracy by Groden, and Lane's Plausible Denial. Spread them out on your table & begin comparing them on the following key points: 1. Bio of LHO, including boyhood disturbances; troubled Marine experiences & the full story on his defection, using new info avail with collapse of Soviets, 2. Oswald's attempt on the life of Gen Walker, 3. His activities in New Orleans & trip to Mexico City,

4. The events of the assassination, including Oswald's escape & shooting of Tippit & arrest in the TT. 5. JFK's treatment at Parkland & autopsy in Bethesda, 6. A full exposition of the SBT, based on new research by NASA, ITEK, FAA & photo enhancements by Dr M West,

7. An account of Ruby's life & actions before & after the assassination & full discussion of the issue of Organized Crime involvement, 8. Comprehensive discussions of the WC & HSCA & a history of criticm including "Latest Developments." If you compare Posner's work with the others, I am confident we will no longer be in disagreement on this issue. Finally, the Loons you concede points to on Posner have not read his book & will not read it if you assure them it is "just another" book & is similarly "selective" and presumably biased. One of his main virtues is that he is a) an established researcher and b) came to this subject w/o bias : his aim was "to reexamine all the evidence." Ask your correspondents to admit that any of the above authors (and lately, fonzi) is less than brilliant and "right" and you'll get 6 pgs of insults & explitives deleted. I fear your desire to be fair is NOT UNDERSTOOD or appreciated. You keep railing -I think you've got it on disk & just upload the same 1 pager - "the case is closed....etc" : well to most people it is closed & sorry to be the one to tell you, but to most people our position has been dismissed

ARTS BB

TOPIC: BOOKS/NONFICTION TIME: 01/04 7:19 PM

TO: GERARD MCNALLY (VXWA32A)
FROM: GERARD MCNALLY (VXWA32A)

SUBJECT: JFK INFO/OPS

I checked my sources and cannot verify your claim that the HSCA clocked the M/C at 2400fps muzzle velocity. I believe you are not consulting original/accurate sources. The HSCA's own attempts at verifying the possibility of the SBT used a figure somewhat in excess of 2000fps or between 2000-2100 fps. Not a big diff (5%). The jist of the HSCA's and Posner's reasonings on the SBT is that:

1) THE round is very high velocity; comparable to an AK47 or the most powerful military assault rifle;

 The bullet is heavy (400gns) and very stable; it is fully metal jacketed and has great cross-sectional density.

3) The key to understanding the condition of the bullet and the entrance wound on JBC's back lies in a simple concept that the WC overlooked: bullets slow down as they pass through a medium (tissue).

4) The bullet slowed and became aerodynamically unstable ie tumbled creating the jagged back wound and slowed gradually down to about 900 fps when it hit his wrist. The 6.5MM round can pass through 24 ins of plywood and be undeformed.

Incidentally, the frags taken from Connelly's wrist were matched by neutron activation with CE 399.

If you've only been reading the assassination literature, all of this is likely to be news to you.

If you're interested in the ballistics of the SBT, find a copy of Mortal Error. Dohahue is the EXPERT.

X

D. BARTholomand
BARTHOLOMAN

BA