1432 Blandfield Ct. Vienna, Va., 22182 29 December 1993

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, Md. 21702

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Some time ago, in late 1992, we exchanged some correspondence with respect to a letter of mine about the JFK assassination which the Washington Times had published. I was very happy to have heard from you concerning that letter and hope that you are still well and continuing to expose the absurdities of the Warren Report and the coverup in general.

Although the Washington Times at that time was willing to publish a letter critical of the Warren Report, to my disappointment their editorial policy apparently is still to defend the Report if their recent editorial of 11/22/93 is any indication. Since I have a high regard for the paper in other respects, I can only conclude that they feel the matter is of little interest any more and do not wish to make the intellectual effort to sort out all the facts. In fact, that is indeed the tone of the editorial.

In any case, the editorial got my blood boiling again, and I wrote them a long letter which, however, I did not expect to have published on account of its length. Since I understand from our correspondence that you do not ordinarily see the Washington Times, I am enclosing a copy of the editorial and my letter in the hope that you might find them of interest, if only to know that there are some people out there besides yourself who still feel strongly about the matter and who will never let the subject die.

Incidentally, thank you for your recommendation of Sylvia Meagher's book "Accessories After the Fact", which I had heard of before but had never made the effort to purchase and read. I have since done so and, like you, regard it as one of the better books on the subject.

I have also been put in touch with Dr. Cyril Wecht, whose name is undoubtedly familiar to you, who was kind enough to correspond with me about the same letter in the Times. He of course is more interested in the autopsy and the autopsy photographs than other aspects of the case. I have the highest regard for Dr. Wecht also, as I have for you, as one of those who refuses to be intimidated by government pressure and has steadfastly maintained his unwavering position critical of the official findings.

With best wishes for the New Year,

John D. S. Muhlenberg