altgens #3, Lovelady-smald. icture- Confidential 8/31/71

While awaiting the contacting of Simons or Cohnson at the Archives yesterday, to get pictures to have been printed for me and picked up them, the arrangements having been made more than two weeks earlier, I chatted with Elmer II. Griffith, the Archives photographer. Confidentiality is to protect him, because he is subject to retribution.

He told me that they had made a 30xh0 enlargement of what he described as the "original negative". He did not recall whose picture it was, but he clearly described this one. He said that among their purposes was so rake some kind of "life" study. The made enlargements so great that the pictures got fuzzy, their purposes being to compare such things as shadows in cheeks and things like that.

As a result, although he could not remember the name of the man whose pictures they were comparing, he said it was clear and he was certain that whoever the man was, he was not the man in this picture.

of course, it did have to be determined, to the degree possible, whether this was boveled, the reasons including his testimony that put him out of range of Altgens camera.

Griffith had sufficient recollection of this work to recall the shirt. When I told him the characteristics of the shirt Lovelady really had been wearing, described it as we got it from RA and GRS and Mrs. Lovelady's description to me, he said that could not possibly have been the shirt worn by the man in the picture.

At first rif said he had his own op nion about the identity of the man in the pictur, marely indicating he believed it to be oswald. He then said he was sat slied it was oswald after we talked a while longer.

If I am not satisfied that without doubt this has to be devald, I also am a d always have been satisfied the man in the picture is wearing the shirt taken from 180. However, it seems that the hidden work on this established it was not Lovelady.