## ontroversial Lee Harvey

By Roz Davis DB Associate City Editor

been brought to public attention. of accused presidential assassin Mark Lane, Warren Commis-Lee Harvey Oswald has again At last week's debate between A much-discussed photograph

pose to that of Oswald in magazine. the Feb. 21, 1964 issue of Life picture used portraying a man in a similar played a blown-up photograph sion critic, and UCLA law prof. Liebeler, as the cover Liebeler dis-

versial conflicting shadows. picture and produce the controthat it was possible to take a the picture was to deomonstrate sion, the only reason he showed counsels to the Warren Commis-According to Liebeler, who of the 14 assistant

mind" said that Liebeler's prevate citizen with an independent "tantamount to the perpetration sentation of the photograph was who describes himself as "a pri-However, Raymond Marcus, author of "The Bastard Bullet,"

## Accurate representation?

TOT ture, while Marcus claims it was representation of the Oswald picthat the picture was an accurate tempted to convince the audience Marcus said that Liebeler at

critics. According to Marcus, 75 objection for many Commission said that the shadows in quesquestion graph was a phoney. Marcus said flatly that the Oswald photophotographers The Oswald photograph has been a point of the professional he questioned 2,5

> was and the body shadow. The disshadow which was at an angle. straight shadow under the nose pute arose over whether the Marcus said that he has atcongruent with the body

tempted to get similar shadows photographs he has taken cus.

was "obviously to prove that and added that legitimate," and added that he has never been successful. The purpose of Liebeler's photographic display Oswald photograph according to Marwas

graphs were not exactly the Liebeler said that the photo-

same, but that they were very similar. He noted that the date hair and smaller ears, causing not the same, that the man in the photographs were taken was one ear not to show at all and Liebeler's photograph had more

the other to be barely visible. Liebeler also stressed the fact

picture on the right was presented by UCLA law prof. on the cover of Life magazine on Feb. 21, 1964. The presidential assassin Lee Harvey Oswald appeared ler and Warren Commission critic, Mark Lane. Accord SIDE BY SIDE -Wesley Liebeler at last week's debate between Liebe-The picture on the left of accused

> a "phoney. photograph are possible to produce. One Commission crific, however, ing to Liebeler, the shadow produced in his picture show that the controversial shadows in the Oswald has stated that Liebeler's picture is

composite, as claimed by man; other additional evidence show ing that the picture wasn't that the Warren Commission ha made by Marcus was that Lieb One of the main objectio

his photograph was sh merely to illustrate a point. ler's) picture. Liebeler stated th it hadn't occurred to him as ler didn't show the Oswald p ture by the side of his (Liet

Effects of photo

was the murderer, and that against Oswald." it was fixed, then it was the me cans that Lee Harvey Oswa "proved to millions of photograph, Marcus said that thought that the photogram damning piece of eviden In his discussion of the Oswa Ame

azine." dress his complaints to Life may has some psychological effect but I suggest Mr. Marcus ac Liebeler replied "I suppose

mission in the investigation. of the film used by the Con has said that he would like t icly that it destroyed four frame have Life magazine admit pul Along the same lines, Liebele

examination. ginal frames and used these fo they had the copies of the or Commission's investigation a Liebeler said, did not affect th The destruction of the frame:

when we put the volumes to destroy those frames." gether, that Life had in fact no publicly that they ike to have given us the complete set, and I' "It's simply the fact," Liebel "that we didn't notice Life now adm