

he does ("Daily Californian", 11/16/67), "Liebelser said the anti-Vietnam group moved out of the building long before Oswald ever used it." Liebelser's office is located above

"Would you rather go to Calistoga or by bus?" he asks. An inscription on his card reads:
NEW ADDRESS: Rt. 7, Frederick, Md. 21701-301/473-8109

The question is not whether that lone group moved out of the building in New Haven
11/28/67

Editor makes clear-clears to Liebelser, what is what his job is, and what whether
Daily Californian
University of California-Berkeley. That is it. I find no mention in your paper of the
Berkeley, Calif.

continued use of that building by the Communist Party by Gray said he was very much
Dear Sir,

in on it (and whose name is Liebelser) is not evidence of how the mail was
I salute you for respecting the transformation of UC Berkeley Professor
delivered in that building, addressed to him after any mail addressed to Oswald
Wesley J. Liebelser from the Fox who got himself hired to guard the chickenhouse to the
pig who got stuck. He squeals, but is non-sentient.

My speech was too brief. I had to catch a plane to LA. There were expressions
of my surprise means: the New Orleans Invertorial presentation of photostyles of documents that were not published by the Warren Commission;
Committee had any part in a conspiracy, it is an absolute fantasy," Liebelser said.
are neither mentioned nor considered in the Report, and were the in the possession of

Is there any reason to presume that I do think I wrote 200,000 in a book on
the counsel in charge of that part of the case and that part of the Report, Wesley J.

and he finds painful (here we are in accord: it I think it should gain him after it
Liebelser. I can present ample evidence that he did have them, if you are willing to
is about his own performance). Can he quote anything even indicating he held this
consider inconsistent description (by him) of what he did have. I can present his own
belief. I have never heard anything otherwise than anything in any one to his admitted cheap
voice saying he had been CIA reports and that he alone decided not to tell David W.

Ferrie, so typical of the unknown official and unofficial (as he calls it, though
Ferrie as a witness to the various officials (including me, though
he makes me wonder if he has really made the requisite effort to make his voice
acknowledging a connection between Ferrie and those at the 114 Camp St. address

our stamping with the statement that Liebelser demanded that anything
that Liebelser demanded were financed by the CIA (rather an understatement since the
relationship between them was suppressed. Let me give you a few FBI reports that were
CIA also required the group). I have a photocopy of Oswald's use of that address.

not published in the paper (and are not in the Report). Liebelser's
will present you with a copy of an FBI report that, even despite the
egregiousness of his claim with the Cuban representative by whom he is in contact - of
not being able to get the FBI after he disclosed that Ferrie sold the document should
Buy the car he was driving. - would drive away, but a block from where his office
be shot. - on the day of his no reason for calling him to a witness when
to the police station from the Crescent City Garage, where he had just sold
the car he was driving. and when Liebelser had the testimony of another witness
who was driving a car which had damaged their cars. Then the FBI would be given
who was driving a car which had damaged their cars. Then the FBI would be given
the information that the two groups said exactly this to each other. It
happened in the same place as the FBI report, among others.

Liebelser will also show you to the also-enclosed page from a Secret Service report,
which is a fair interpretation of the conflicting FBI and Secret Service reports
which shows that the arrangement for the Cuban uses of the office at 542 Camp
that Liebelser elected not to straighten out when it was his job to do that to say, as

he does ("Daily Californian", 11/16/67), " Liebeler said the anti-'astro group/moved out however, had of the building long before Oswald ever used it."

"Would you rather go to Calistoga or by bus?"

The question is not whether ~~that~~ one group/moved out before Oswald in New Orleans makes clear/cleared than Liebeler (whether he did or not do that) but whether the activity continued. That it did. I find no mention in your story quoting him of the continued use of that building by the same people, by Guy Banister, who was very much in on it (and whose name Liebeler kept out of his evidence), of how the mail was delivered in that building and who would be responsible for mail addressed to Oswald at 544 Camp St.

Liebeler runs the animal pens at the San Francisco Zoo. The concluding paragraph of your story reads:

"if Weisberg thinks that any staff member of the New Orleans Investigation Committee had any part in a conspiracy, it is an absolute fact," Liebeler said.

Is there any reason to presume that I so think? I wrote 200,000 in a book he said he finds painful where we are in accord; if I think it should pain him, for it is about his past performance). Can he quote anything even indicating I hold this belief? I have never given speeches. Is there anything in any one to justify this cheap device, so typical of his performance, official and unofficial. The fact is, though he makes me believe he was wrong, I have made the opposite explicit.

Now, let me begin with the statement that Liebeler denied that anything relating to Oswald's death was suppressed. Let me give you a few FBI reports that were not published in the ~~Times~~ (or ~~Advertiser~~) and are not in the Report (also Liebeler's expertise) which conflict with the FBI misrepresentation -by non-identification- of Guy Banister ~~as a Cuban~~. It was, apparently, put a block from the Peilly Coffee Co., where Oswald worked, ~~as~~ ~~in~~ the Crossroads Garage, where he hung out and where the FBI and Secret Service obtained their stories. Then, if this gross assertion that was inserted in the Committee does not make you wonder what kind of a job Liebeler did, let me refer you to the also-enclosed page from a Secret Service report, which shows that the arrangement for the Cuban use of the office at 544 Camp St.,

was made by a man who didn't identify himself as Jack Martin. Bainsley thought he was under fire at the time and left out of the evidence hearing those and other reports made by agents at the same time. Between Bainsley and the subject of the tales, "we had some differences," Bainsley said. He did not tell Commission investigators exactly what he told his colleagues, who in exactly the same buildings as the ICRG yet, did not know about the secret.

Liebelser talks about everything that I did not say, says nothing responsive

to what I did. Perhaps, like the new math and the new morality, this is the new way of teaching law at the University of California. It is the not new manner of the Warren Report that I have so thoroughly exposed in my four published books. (Liebel's figures prominently in three, as he earned. Until now he has failed to mention my name or in any way draw any attention to my books, which ~~he~~ ^{are} his handling of other evidence.) It is typical of Liebel's ~~method~~ ^{method} of response.

Please excuse the haste. I will get back to you after dinner only today.
again at Park Lane.

If I am invited to speak, please hope I'll be allowed to do what you'd like
I can play lengthy excerpts of the music I'm writing just now. I'm sometimes saying-
and more. I'm keeping a few songs for you!

It is a poor and a very bad gift, but I have not regretted it since he got my second. It's been held in high esteem by all who have seen it, as he has loaned it to me, as challenged, nor has he ever tried to sell it. He has given it to me. Perhaps the eyes that became so practised on the faces of the people around him, but ~~MEME, MEME, MEME~~ "Meme, Meme, Tekel" / to his mind.

Waisberg

F.S.,
want to
send them to you. That
Expression'." That
184. "I
know
would
come
in
the
Court.