

NEW ADDRESS: Rt. 7, Frederick, Md.:::301/473-8186

11/28/67

Editor,  
Daily Californian  
University of California  
Berkeley, Calif.

Dear Sir,

I salute you for recording the transformation of UCLA Law Professor Wesley J. Liebeler from the fox who got himself hired to guard the chickenhouse to the pig who got stuck. He squeals, but in non sequeturs.

<sup>11/15/67</sup>  
My speech was too brief. I had to catch a plane to LA. There were expressions of interest in my return. Perhaps we can arrange this, and I can devote my time to the presentation of photocopies of documents that were not published by the Warren Commission, are neither mentioned nor considered in the Report, and were ~~his~~ in the possession of the counsel in charge of that part of the case and that part of the Report, Wesley J. Liebeler. I can present ample evidence that he did have them, if you are willing to consider inconsistent description (by him) of what he did have. I can present his own voice saying he had the FBI reports and that he alone decided not to call David W. Ferrie as a witness because Ferrie was connected with ~~Nothing~~. I can also present his voice acknowledging a connection between Ferrie and those at the 544 Camp St. address that Liebeler acknowledges were financed by the CIA (rather an understatement since the CIA also organized that group). I have a photocopy of Oswald's use of that address.

Now I can present you with a copy of an FBI report that, even despite the not inconsiderable best FBI efforts, discloses that Ferrie said the President should be shot for what he did at the Bay of Pigs (no reason for calling him as a witness when the President was, in fact, shot, and when Liebeler had the testimony of another witness who said the representatives of Cuban groups said exactly this two months before it happened?). Liebeler had this FBI report, among others.

It is a fair interpretation of the conflicting FBI and Secret Service reports that Liebeler elected not to straighten out when it was his job to do that to say, as

he does ("Daily Californian", 11/16/67), "Liebeler said the anti-Castro group, <sup>however, had</sup> moved out of the building long before Oswald ever used it."

Would you rather go to Calatins or by bus?

The question is not whether that one group moved out (as Oswald in New Orleans makes clear-clearer than Liebeler, when it was his job to do that) but whether the activity continued. That it did, I find no mention in your story quoting him of the continued use of that building by the same people, by Guy Banister, who was very much in on it (and whose name Liebeler kept out of the evidence), of how the mail was delivered in that building and who would have gotten any mail addressed to Oswald at 544 Camp St.

Liebeler runs the animal gamut, from fox to pig to eel. The concluding paragraph of your story reads:

"If Weisberg thinks that any staff member of the New Orleans Investigation Committee had any part in a conspiracy, it is an absolute fantasy," Liebeler said.

Is there any reason to presume that I so think? I wrote 200,000 in a book he said he finds painful (here we are in accord; it I think it should pain him, for it is about his own performance). Can he quote anything even indicating I hold this belief? I have made many speeches. Is there anything in any one to justify this cheap device, so typical of his performance, official and unofficial. The fact is, though he makes me wonder if I was wrong, I have made the opposite explicit

Your story begins with the statement that Liebeler denied that anything relating to New Orleans was suppressed. Let me give you a few FBI reports that were not published (Liebeler's Department) and are not in the Report (also Liebeler's Department), having to do with the FBI misrepresentation -by non-identification- of Guy Banister and his address. It was, by the way, but a block from the Daily Coffee Co., where Oswald worked, less from the Crescent City Garage, where he hung out and where the FBI and Secret Service garaged their cars. Then, if this gross deception that was practised on the Commission does not make you wonder what kind of a job Liebeler did, let me refer you to the also-enclosed page from a Secret Service report, which shows that the arrangement for the Cuban use of the office at 544 Camp St.,

was made by a man Liebeler didn't identify, Jack Martin-Bainster's right-hand man.

It helps to <sup>understand</sup> ~~know~~ what Liebeler left out of the evidence in leaving these and many other reports unmentioned to know that there was but an inch between Bainster's office and that of the Cuban Revolutionary Council. The FBI and Liebeler did not tell the Commission that Bainster's office, with the address 531 Camp St., was in exactly the same building as the CRC at 544 Camp St., the address Oswald used. *It is a corner building.*

Unfortunately, Liebeler left hanging when it was his job to get the answers to questions the strange business of the FBI suddenly investigating the Cuban Revolutionary Council <sup>in New Orleans</sup> three days after the assassination, when it had already been officially said that Oswald was the lone and unassisted assassin. It was also investigating Sergio Arcache Smith, the former New Orleans head of that group, the man Governor Connally now keeps in Garrison from extradicting to testify before the New Orleans grand jury. Why should the FBI have been investigating the Cuban Revolutionary Council, organized by the CIA, and Sergio Arcache Smith (of whom, after the report, Liebeler acknowledged privately having heard of CIA financing) in connection with the assassination if there was no reason to believe there was such a connection?

Despite the language in your story ("New Orleans Investigation Committee"), which I take to have been slightly garbled, Liebeler is too modest and I am not unfair in referring to his obligations. I have a tape recording of his expression of modesty, in which he says that because his only colleague, Albert Jenner, was so busy running for the presidency of the American Bar Association, he, Liebeler, had to do just about all of the work. Like the Dutch boy with 20 holes in the dike and only 10 fingers.

I do not want to give you the idea that there is no mention in the Warren report of the fact that Oswald used the 544 Camp St. Address. There is. The Commission said, "The Commission has not been able to find any other indication that Oswald had rented an office in New Orleans." (P 408, enclosed). *(Emph. added.)*

Who said anything about Oswald "renting an office"? That materiality has nothing to do with it.

No. 1 eel.

Liebeler talks about everything that I did not say, says nothing responsive

4  
to what I did. Perhaps, like the new math and the new morality, this is the new way of teaching law at the University of California. It is the not new manner of the Warren Report that I have so thoroughly exposed in my four published books. (Liebeler figures prominently in three, as he earned. Until now he has failed to mention my name or in any way draw any attention to my books, which thoroughly air his handling of other evidence.) It is typical of Liebeler's non-responsive pretenses at response!

Please excuse the haste. I got the clipping from your paper only today.  
again at Berkeley,

If I am invited to speak when I hope I'll have more time, if you'd like I can play lengthy excerpts of Liebeler saying just exactly what I quote him as saying and more. I'm keeping a few secrets from you!

It is a year and a half since Liebeler got my first book, a year since he got my second. If he has had a single complaint or protest, he has not made it to me, as I challenged, nor has he made it in any way that got back to me. Perhaps the eyes that became so practised on the Warren Commission see "Weisberg" but ~~transmit~~ "Meme, Meme, Takel" to his mind.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

P.S. Should you desire to publish any of the documents, you are welcome. If you want more, if I can find the time, cite them from "Oswald in New Orleans" and I'll send them to you. The Ferrie threat is in Chapter 7, "Assassination: A Colloquial Expression." That begins on page 163. The text of the FBI report is quoted on page 184. There also you will find the FBI rendition of the "statement that President Kennedy should be killed" as "without the intention that this be done". What else would Ferrie say after the President had been killed? But Liebeler said he had no ~~connection~~ connection with anything. Except a threat to murder the President, the CIA, Oswald or those connected with Oswald, organized anti-Castro activities and other people soon to be named in court.