## Report Under Microscope a

Professor Directs Massive Analysis of Challenged Findings on Assassination

BY GENE BLAKE

Nagging doubts about the Warren Commission reports on the assassination of President John F. Kennedy are being explored in a massive study at the UCLA law school.

Directing the project is Prof. Wesley J. Liebeler, who served as an assistant counsel on the commission staff. Twenty law students, most of them in their third year, are

ar topic in the report. They will go brough the record, analyze the vidence on both sides and perhaps rticipating. Each has been assigned a particu-

> suggest what the commission should have gone into more thoroughly.

as the basis for some of the things to plans to write. be said in a book which Liebeler Results of the seminar will serve

Liebeler frankly admits the study was spawned by two books critical of the Warren report—"Rush to Judgment," by attorney Mark Lane,—and "Inquest," by Edward Jay Ep-

Although Liebeler himself has been critical of some of the things done by the commission staff and is

book, he is thoroughly in accord with the commission findings.

And he is appaled at the nature of the attacks questioning the conclu-sion that Lee Harvey Oswald was the assassin and acted alone.

Liebeler and his fellows on the commission staff are particularly incensed at what they claim to be misstatements or distortions of the record. He says he has found at least 15 in the Lane book. In assessing Lane's treatment of the subject, Liebeler said he is "forced to the conclusion of dishonesty." He is kinder to Epstein by labeling him merely "incorrect."

Liebeler said Epstein, who wrote

sis in government at Cornell Univerhis book originally as a master's the-

"What is needed at this point," said Liebeler, "is one piece of work which sets forth both sides objectively." Lawe doesn't. Epstein does

caused and has since been convinced to abandon some of his contentions. sity, was overwhelmed by the stir it

"There is evidence in the record not entirely reflected in the text of the Wassen-report. That doesn't mean it wasn't considered.

...

concise place so that the readers can then make up their own minds."
Lisebeler is even wary of published accounts of the controversy.

As an example, he cites a wire service report this week quoting him a saying autopsy X rays of President Kennedy showed all ghots fired at him were from behind and above.

"I did not state that this was shown by the autopsy itself, Liebeler said.

"I did not and could not have made the statement about the X rays, but a story itself, and the statement about the X rays made the statement about the X rays made the statement about the X rays them.

## ARREN REPORT

Continued from First Page

were fired from behind and above for the simple réason I have never seen the X-rays.

"In addition, while I am not an expert on such matters, I doubt that X-rays could show such a thing one way or the other."

But Liebeler believes the pictures of the President's wounds would more likely confirm the findings. And he thinks such material, which he understands has been turned over to the Justice Department by the Kennedy family, should be made available to a responsible body.

He said the commission's working papers, now secreted in the national archives, may be available for scrutiny within 60 days.

The university will be asked for funds to permit students to go to Washington to analyze this material and perhaps to do further research in Dallas, Liebeler said.

Besides reviewing the record, the students may also interview expertssuch as pathologists-to see if some more investigation ought to be done.

## Writing Criticized

But Liebeler emphasizes that his criticism of the commissiion staff work was directed not at the investigation-which he believes was thorough but at the writing of the re-To protoco 600分割海绵红

"The time rush basically affected how the report was written, not the investigation," he said.

Liebeler said Epstein is incorrect when he suggests that the commission took only 10 weeks for its work. He said it basically lasted at least seven months-and some further investigations were carried on even beyond that.

For example, he had raised a question about a print of Oswald's palm reportedly listed by Dallas police from the underside of the rifle which fired the fatal shots. FBI tests showed no such print, nor even any evidence that a print had been lifted.

But Liebeler saw that the matter was pursued in Dallas after the commis-

sion's basic investigation had been completed. Further investigation of the lifted palm print showed markings proving that it could have come only from the rifle in ques-

These are the topics Liebeler has assigned his; students to explore:

1-How did the police get the description that was sent out over the police radio and what is the likelihood that it led to Oswald's arrest? General treatment by the Warren report of eye-witness identification of Oswald.

2 — Evidence on Oswald's rifle capability.

3—Source of the shots. -Did Oswald purchase, the rifle and keep it in his

possession until the time of the assassination?

5-Did Oswald bring the rifle into the Texas School Book Depository on Nov. 22, 1963, or at any other time?

6-Was Oswald at the window at the time of the assassination?

7-Did Oswald kill Officer J. D. Tippit?

8-What did Oswald do from 12:30 p.m. to the time he was arrested in the Texas theater?

9—Did Oswald earlier shoot at retired Maj. Gen. Edwin A. Walker?

10-Analysis of the story that Oswald had a sight mounted on a rifle at a

gun shop in suburban Irving, indicating he owned

another rifle.

11—Analysis of the tes-timony by Sylvia Odio-suggesting that Oswald may have been involved with Cubans in a conspira-

12-Were Oswald and his killer, Jack Ruby, known to each other before the assassination or involved in any kind of conspiracy, directly or indirectly?

13-Make the strongest argument that (a) Oswald was not involved in the assassination at all and (b) that he did not do it alone. Defend the report on these propositions.

14—Where was the bullet found that was recovered after the assassina-

tion?

15 — Medical evidence relevant to the one-bullet theory.

16-Other physical facts

on the bullet-trajectory, fire-arms identification, weight, etc.

17—Did the bullet go through the President's body as suggested by the one-bullet theory, and if not, when was the President hit, what hit him and where is it?

## Wrote Chapter

Liebeler's specific task for the Warren Commission was to write the chapter dealing with Oswald's background and possible motives, plus about onefifth of the chapter on possible conspiracy.

But he also reviewed the chapter on the assassin. He wrote a critical memo which succeeded in bringing about some changes in the text-although not all he wanted, he says.

An honor graduate of the University of Chicago law school in 1957, the 35year-old Liebeler practiced law in New York before he was assigned to work with the commission. He is now in his second year as an actmg professor at UCLA.

He believes that even though not all of the evidence introduced before the commission would be admissable in a murder trial, there probably was sufficient physical evidence to have convicted Oswald had he lived.

But Liebeler raises a deeper and perhaps even more intriguing question, in the light of the current controversy over free press and fair trial.

Where could Oswald ever have gotten a fair trial?