Osweld "iterature Distribution; DSU, WWL footage, Dirty Fork and and by the EXT

By prearrangement, I had an Archives showing of their copies of the WHSU and WHI Coweld- ITM footoge at 11 o'clock this morning. It least in the case of WHSU, this is not the footoge initially supplied defferal agencies. There are indications (but not positive proof) that it is not identical with the footoge I obtained from WHSU and that supplied the Cerrison of fice by Johann Rush, who tack this footoge for WHSU.

The film begins with the stendard "academy" leader, the ASHTE timing mumbering, beginning with 11. Ifter examining it several time, with the projection-ist I made an inspection for mechanized evidence of splicing. There is none. However, between the verious segments, there is blank film, perhaps 6-8 frames in length, that is visible in projection. Frinted in longhand at the beginning of the ADEM footage is the word "BETTERMY". It else is on my copy.

There are five different access in this film. They are the familiar ones. Ferhaps because the equipment wes of better quality the film seems clearer. The first scene shows a large "Gordon" track slong the Jamp St. side of the ITM, near the Common St. Carmer. This same truck appears in the WML footage, leading as to believe that either the truck remained there a long time of both photographers began shouldng about the same time, or one did much editing.

This film beers an FET identification on the real, in ink, and on the bag, rather claps envelope. It has no box of the sort pen american customorily supplies. In fact, it has no ben american marking of any kind (the best film had a yellow Scotch-tops piece for keepint) the film from unsinding on the real). The identification is New Tienes 89-69. Deform the date, which is a surprising date, is the further identification "NOP". There is also the number "lif". The Table film has the same identification except for the number 117. It is labelled "osweld possing out leaflets."

Now both films are dated 12/5/65. Both agencies were given the film well before this date.

There are seven sequences in the SWL film. They show Carald wearing dark, possibly black slacks and a tie that seems to be of the same color. The court sequence in the SDSS film shows him wearing light-colored, pleated slacks.

exemination of the FBI and Secret Service reports show that at least stills from the film more in the hands of federal agents not later than 11/23/65. Information from Purper on the Bush film is deficient in this regard, saying nothing about the time the film wer given faderal agents. However, Hoch overcome this deficiency in his interview of Bush (of 11/24/68, reportsdate 11/25/68). He says "on 11/23 or 11/24 Bush received permission from his boss, John Toporen, to take the criginal film to Fan mericus Films to get copies made". As is not scribin that the two other sequences, the court scene end the vesseld studie interview, sore added st this time. westings about the Sec at Service use of the words "film clip", Rush says they got all the station had. Rush recells that "a few days after the sessessination, while he was working in the doraroom, he talked to some federal agents who obtained stills and a film from him and took notes." Thile he account to believe they were FBT. Hach cites the FBI Coporen interview, CO 6:421-2) to indicate the FRI got the film 10/3/68. I suggest it is entirely inconceivable the FM waited Il days to get the film, that what they got them wer an extra copy, parhaps a version with all requences combined. The Recret ervice descriptions of the film it got make no references to the court and studio sequences (Sontrola 169,703).

Secret Service Control 703 leaves little doubt that it was in touch with of Sawald and Steele In San Autonic for Mrs. John Tersikes to examine. If the exact time the FBI obtained sacces to the factors is in doubt, there is no evaluable reports show they had gotten copies of pictures to witnesses before their

It just is not conceivable that with cavald the accused essessis, there were any pictures of him that all of the federal investigative egencies dad not obtain as soon as it was humanly possible.

Then I coted the date on this film I sake Marian Johnson for the the warren cossission files contain. I had asked him to have for me the prints made from the footage. I had three, two post-card size, similar to the Pizzo and large it is quite grainy, about Exlo in size. Those are in File Co 87, which is pictures (the Secret Porvice Reports establishing that Johnson Rush gave a total of the copies of an undisclosed number of different frames. I saked for FBI prints, of six different scanes. He says they have none. He did show me Carner Axibit which is from the SWI footage, but he refused to make a print for me without their written permission, even though the picture is printed and is commercially made available. This is a departure from previous practise, where the picture ixex is made available, se critains should be, with the restriction stamped on it.

Johnson slee told me they had written me about the Tareikes matter right after Christman. I have not yet received that letter. I suked him to send me a copy. He may they have found staff documents be thinks may explain the month discrepency in the Cermer picture, it having been shown to Mrs. Germer as Exhibit No. I to her highend's efficient suplich mes not executed until a menth after the mes deposed.