Liebeler Wesley

Ling assumination records appeals famild Veisberg 11/26/79 4/15/75 request, Item 7, ifformation provided to other writers

Over the years diming which my timely appeal was not arted an - it has not been acted on yet - I have provided much information, usually with attachments, indicating that contrary to its party line the FSI had a well-established operation for obtaining favorable attention, including in books, and that to this and it provided information and other survices to those enthors from when it expected feverable treatment or other political assets. As my uncondested affidavite in C.A. 75-1996 attest, this operation was contered in the Division of the Ormallian title, "Orine Records," and was under the supersision of then Assistant Director Cartha DeLoach. Becently X informed you that because of untruthful testinony by Si Themas Mission in deposition I was forced to begin the compilation of a special file or records indicating these Fill practices and I affered you and your staff accesses to this file, shich now is too large to copy. meaning for as to do the copying. There son is no reasonable doubt that this Division had its own files and that 94 is a file masher used for such records. The Department has never exercised this file and its councel refused to have the search made when I requested it. despite not questioning the relevant of the recercis in it to the case. This has the side benefit for the defendent in ompiling as to vaste time in propering more evidence because there has been no compliance with Item 7 of my 4/15/75 requeste Deposition testimony established that it is one of three Irons of that request relating to which no search has been made after four years.

The newest record I have seen that establishes that the PBI's response in C.A. 75-1996 are false and known to be false to the PHI is part of a series of related records in  $\neq$  62-109060, Section 102, beginning with Secial 4228 (not attached).

House Varies Consisting shift on and to the Consisting of the paint larger who had been and the paint of a book in response. One of these other councel had been and

In a 10/19/66 more to Delench the reason given for private to provide my help

to idobular is not that this is seminst Fill policy and practice but because the "Roman could not expect invorable treatment" from him. (It also considered him the next "obsorious " of the former Counission councel because he made most requests for information.)

ca 2. 2

In his letter idebular noted that his expected publisher was bringing out a book on the FAT by the Overstreets.

The news domarihes this as yet uspublished book as "a book favorable to the Bureaus" It then malabes that the PMI is fact did help the Overstweets, through then indictent Director W.C. Sullivers (He was in charge of "Domastic Intelligence" and was the closest thing the FMI had to an intellectual in residence.)

I have read the Ovacriment book. There is no doubt at all that such of their information could have come from the FEI only.

This than is further passed that the FMI did provide information and other annistance to writers, contrary to its present probance in Cale 75-1936. The record is also elser that it refused to help matters of whom it was not sure, whose expected work was cortain to be in accord with FMI desires - de factio FMI propaganda, as <sup>S</sup>ulliven bisself described it is his recent books